Lidocaine Suppresses Subthreshold Oscillations by Inhibiting Persistent Na⁺ Current in Injured Dorsal Root Ganglion Neurons
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Summary
The aim of this study was to determine the effect and mechanism of low concentration of lidocaine on subthreshold membrane potential oscillations (SMPO) and burst discharges in chronically compressed dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons. DRG neurons were isolated by enzymatic dissociation method. SMPO, burst discharges and single spike were elicited by whole cell patch-clamp technique in current clamp mode. Persistent Na⁺ current (I_{NaP}) and transient Na⁺ current (I_{NaT}) were elicited in voltage clamp mode. The results showed that SMPO was suppressed and burst discharges were eliminated by tetrodotoxin (TTX, 0.2 μmol/l) in current clamp mode, I_{NaP} was blocked by 0.2 μmol/l TTX in voltage clamp mode. SMPO, burst discharges and I_{NaP} were also suppressed by low concentration of lidocaine (10 μmol/l) respectively. However, single spike and I_{NaT} could only be blocked by high concentration of lidocaine (5 mmol/l). From these results, it is suggested that I_{NaP} mediates the generation of SMPO in injured DRG neurons. Low concentration of lidocaine (10 μmol/l) suppresses SMPO by selectively inhibiting I_{NaP}, but not I_{NaT}, in chronically compressed DRG neurons.
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Introduction
Neuropathic pain is a chronic pain syndrome caused by drug-, disease-, or injury-induced damage or destruction of sensory neurons within the dorsal root ganglia of the peripheral nervous system. This type of pain represents a mixture of pathophysiological mechanisms, a complex assortment of spontaneous and elicited pain states, and somewhat an unpredictable response to analgesics. Previous investigations reported the reduction of deafferentation pain with i.v. lidocaine, suggesting a possible therapeutic value of i.v. lidocaine for managing intractable neuropathic pain syndromes (Boas et al. 1982, Kastrup et al. 1987, Bath et al. 1990).

The peripheral mechanisms of neuropathic pain relief from i.v. lidocaine are thought that low concentration of lidocaine suppresses ectopic spontaneous discharges of injured nerve without blocking normal nerve conduction (Devor et al. 1992).

Ectopic spontaneous discharges were known to be generated in injured sensory nerve axons and their cell bodies in dorsal root ganglia (DRG). These spontaneous discharges enter the spinal cord and sensitize dorsal horn neurons (Devor and Seltzer 1999, Obata et al. 2003). In the chronically compressed DRG (CCD) model of neuropathic pain, an important characteristic of the spontaneous activity from CCD neurons is that the patterns of bursting discharges were displayed by 42 % of the spontaneous active units within two weeks after the chronic compression, and mechanical and thermal hyperalgesia were also obvious in the same period (Hu et al. 1998). The amounts of spontaneous discharges are generally well correlated with the degree of pain behavior.
in neuropathic pain rats (Han et al. 2000). Therefore, the ectopic spontaneous discharges play a critical role for both initiation and maintenance of the neuropathic pain state (Michaelis et al. 2000, Liu et al. 2000). A key mechanism underlying ectopic discharges was thought to be subthreshold membrane potential oscillations (SMPO) (Hu et al. 1997, Amir et al. 1999). SMPO is enhanced after nerve injury or injury of the DRG (Liu et al. 2000). In addition, burst discharges in primary sensory neurons are triggered by SMPO and maintained by depolarizing afterpotentials (Amir et al. 2002). Thus, SMPO is regarded as the pacemaker of the generation of neuropathic pain and the target of drugs for the treatment of neuropathic pain (Xing et al. 2001, Xing et al. 2003). However, the mechanism underlying the SMPO is still uncertain.

Persistent Na⁺ current (I_{NaP}) observed in a variety of neuronal types is slowly inactivating, and is associated with control of membrane excitability in the voltage region just subthreshold to spike production (Crill 1996, Hutcheon and Yarom 2000). In some types of neurons, such as those within the dorsal column nuclei (Reboreda et al. 2003), and trigeminal mesencephalic V nucleus (Wu et al. 2001), the generation of SMPO is dependent upon I_{NaP}. Accordingly, it can be presumed that the SMPO observed in injured DRG cells was also generated on I_{NaP}. However, experimental evidence is still lacking. This study sought to determine the effect and mechanism of low concentration of lidocaine on SMPO, burst discharges in chronically compressed DRG neurons by using whole-cell patch-clamp techniques.

**Materials and Methods**

**Surgery**

Experiments were conducted on young Sprague-Dawley rats (n=45, 74±3 g) of both sexes under an institutionally approved protocol. The chronically compressed DRG group (CCD group) was prepared (n=23) according to the method described previously (Hu and Xing 1998). Another group of rats (n=22) served as unoperated controls.

**Preparation of DRG neurons**

L4 or L5 DRG neurons from ipsilateral side of either control or CCD group were dissociated using enzyme digestion according to Zhang et al. (2001) with small modifications. Briefly, rats were deeply anesthetized, L4 or L5 DRG was isolated and incubated in 0.1 % collagenase containing phosphate-buffered solution for 20 min followed by 20 min in 0.1 % collagenase/disperse and 5-10 min in 0.25 % trypsin at 37 °C. After washing out of enzymes, DRG were triturated with fire polished pipettes in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), and cells were plated in a polyethylenimine treated plate mounted on an inverted microscope (DM 1L, Leica, Germany). After the cells attached the bottom, the bath was perfused with oxygenated bathing solution at a flow rate of 2 ml/min.

**Chemicals and solutions**

Collagenase, dispase, trypsin, DMEM, HEPES, Mg-ATP, 1, 4-aminopyridine (4-AP), tetraethylammonium chloride (TEA-Cl), CdCl₂, CsF, tetrodotoxin (TTX), lidocaine were purchased from Sigma. Other reagents were products of Xi’an Chemical reagent plant.

The bathing solution contained (in mmol/l): NaCl 150, MgCl₂ 1.0, KCl 5.0, CaCl₂ 5.0, Glucose 10, HEPES 10; pH was adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. The pipette solution contained (in mmol/l): KCl 140, MgCl₂ 2.0, HEPES 10, Mg-ATP 2.0; pH was adjusted to 7.4 with KOH. The bathing solution for the measurement of Na⁺ currents contained (in mmol/l): NaCl 140, MgCl₂ 1.0, KCl 3.0, CaCl₂ 1.0, 4-AP 3.0, TEA-Cl 10, CdCl₂ 0.1, HEPES 10; pH was adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. The pipette solution for the measurement of Na⁺ currents contained (in mmol/l): CsF 140, EGTA 1.0, NaCl 10, HEPES 10; pH was adjusted to 7.4 with Tris.

**Whole cell patch-clamp techniques**

Micropipettes (3-5 MΩ) were pulled with a vertical puller (PP-83, Narishige, Japan). Recordings were obtained from DRG neurons with a patch-clamp amplifier (Axopatch 200B, Axon Instruments, USA). Cell diameter was estimated before patch clamping using an eyepiece micrometer at 400× magnification. Gigaseal formation and whole cell configuration were achieved in the bathing solution. Offset potential was nulled directly before formation of the seal. Liquid junction potential (<4 mV) was not corrected. Whole-cell capacitance and series resistance were corrected (usually 60-70 %). Neurons were examined in order, as patched, accepting only those exhibiting resting potential (Vr) more negative than −40 mV and an overshooting single spike.

In current-clamp experiment, SMPO and burst discharges were elicited from Vr levels by delivering depolarizing ramp pulses of 1.5-s and less than 2 nA.
amplitude. Single spike was elicited from Vr levels by delivering depolarizing step pulses of 100 ms duration. In voltage-clamp experiment, INaP was elicited by a 3.5-s depolarizing voltage ramp from holding potential of −80 mV to −30 mV (Rizzo et al. 1994). Transient Na+ currents (I NaT) were elicited by applying hyperpolarizing prepulses (100 ms) to −120 mV for removal of inactivation followed by depolarizing voltages steps from the holding potential of −70 mV to −20 mV, by steps of 10 mV.

Data acquisition and analysis

Signals were acquired at 10 KHz and filtered at 5 KHz with a digitdata 1322A (Axon Instruments) and pCLAMP 9 software (Axon Instruments). All data were expressed as mean ± S.D., and analyzed for statistical significance (P<0.05) by Student’s t-test (group comparisons) and Chi-square test.

Results

Different effects of lidocaine on SMPO, burst discharges and single spike

In the current-clamp experiment, recordings were obtained from 127 DRG neurons (30-40 μm) from CCD group (n=13) and 93 DRG neurons from control group (n=10). Input resistance was 254±51 MΩ, capacity was 67±9 pF and Vr was −54.7±3.1 mV. The fraction of neurons exhibiting SMPO and burst discharges was greater in CCD group (27/127, 21.3 %) than that in control group (7/93, 7.5 %) (P<0.05). Amplitude and frequency of oscillations had no significant difference between the two groups.

SMPO was elicited from Vr levels by delivering depolarizing ramp current (less than 2nA). The amplitude and frequency of SMPO were voltage-sensitive, and burst discharges were triggered by SMPO when the amplitude of SMPO reaches threshold (Xing et al. 2001, 2003) (Fig. 1A, 1B). SMPO and burst discharges were lidocaine-sensitive, SMPO was suppressed and burst discharges were blocked by low concentration of lidocaine (10 μmol/l) (Fig. 1A, 1B). Single spike was elicited by delivering depolarizing step current on the same neurons that exhibit SMPO and burst discharges (less than 0.4 nA). When SMPO and burst discharges were eliminated by low concentration of lidocaine (10 μmol/l), single spike could still be evoked. Single spike could only be blocked by high concentration of lidocaine (5 mmol/l) (Fig. 1C).

Characteristics of I NaP and its role in SMPO

In the cells tested (n=9, CCD group), SMPO and burst discharges were reversibly abolished by TTX (0.2 μmol/l, Fig. 2A), suggesting the contribution of I NaP as previously described for similar oscillations in other cell types (Llinás et al. 1991, Pape and Driesang 1998).

To further examine the relationship of I NaP and SMPO, the voltage dependence of I NaP was measured on the same neurons that exhibit SMPO and burst discharges in voltage-clamp mode (n=9, CCD group) (Hu et al. 2002). The membrane potential was held at −70 mV and voltage steps were delivered from hyperpolarized potentials to depolarized potentials. The steady-state
current was measured at the end of the 800-ms-long steps before and after applying TTX (0.2 μmol/l). The result showed a TTX-sensitive inward current, obtained by subtraction, was activated at positive potentials to –65 mV (Fig. 2B, 2C).

We also used slow, ascending voltage ramps (from –80 to –30 mV; 15 mV s⁻¹) to detect I NaP in voltage-clamp mode (Rizzo et al. 1994). 4-AP (3.0 mmol/l), TEA-Cl (10 mmol/l), CdCl₂ (0.1 mmol/l) were added to block K⁺ and Ca²⁺ currents. 140 mmol/l Cs⁺ was substituted for the same concentration of K⁺ in the pipette, to further block K⁺ currents from inside. Voltage-clamp experiments confirmed the presence of I NaP in most DRG neurons tested (38/46 in CCD group and 31/39 in control group, P>0.05). The result showed a low threshold (–62.9±3.5 mV) inward current peaking at –48.3±3.1 mV, which was strongly blocked by 0.2 μmol/l TTX (n=12, CCD group), these characteristics matching those of I NaP (Fig. 2D) (Crill 1996). I NaP peak amplitude varied widely from cell to cell (range 105-289 pA; median 201 pA) in CCD group and control group, much less than the amplitude of I NaT (6.5-10.7 nA). When the currents were normalized for differences in cell size, as indicated by changes in cell capacitance, we found that the current density of I NaP was greater in CCD group (4.6±0.6 pA/pF, n=38) than that in control group (2.5±0.4 pA/pF, n=31) (P<0.05). There was no significant difference of activation potential and maximum activation potential of I NaP between CCD group and control group.

**Different effects of lidocaine on I NaP and I NaT**

In order to discriminate the different effects of lidocaine on I NaP and I NaT, different concentrations of lidocaine were applied and their effects were observed (n=10, CCD group). The result showed the current traces of I NaP were inhibited by low concentration of lidocaine (10 μmol/l) obviously (Fig. 3A). Low concentration of lidocaine (10 μmol/l) had little effect on the amplitude of I NaT, only high concentration of lidocaine (5 mmol/l) could block I NaT apparently (Fig. 3B).

**Discussion**

The effectiveness of systemic lidocaine in relieving chronic pain has been recognized for over 20 years (Mao and Chen 2000). The peripheral mechanisms of neuropathic pain relief from lidocaine therapy are thought to be based upon its suppressive effects on spontaneous ectopic discharges of the injured nerve without blocking normal nerve conduction (Devor et al. 1992). Spontaneous ectopic discharges play a critical role for both initiation and maintenance of the neuropathic pain. Blocking spontaneous discharges...
attenuate pain behaviors both in neuropathic animal models and clinical cases (Gracely et al. 1992, Yoon et al. 1996). SMPO, which can be observed spontaneously or elicited by ramp depolarization, is proved to be a fundamental factor in the generation of abnormal spontaneous discharges, and is regarded as the pacemaker of chronic pain (Hu et al. 1997, Xing et al. 2003). Our results indicated that SMPO and burst discharges, were eliminated by low concentration of lidocaine (10 µmol/l). Single spike, which represents the normal nerve conduction, was not blocked by the same concentration of lidocaine. Data from clinical or experimental evidences indicate that the effective plasma concentration of lidocaine for chronic pain therapy is 1-2 µg/ml, which equals to 3.5-7 µmol/l (Mao and Chen. 2000). The effective plasma concentration of lidocaine for pain therapy is similar with the concentration of lidocaine on SMPO. Therefore, we presumed that the suppressive effect of low concentration of lidocaine (10 µmol/l) on the SMPO may be involved in the peripheral mechanism of neuropathic pain relief.

It is known that $I_{NaT}$ mediates the generation of single spike, but what is the ion mechanism underlying the SMPO? The oscillation sinusoids of DRG neurons are due to an interaction between voltage-dependent, TTX-sensitive $Na^+$ conductance and passive, voltage-independent $K^+$ leak (Amir et al. 2002). Recent research revealed that TTX-sensitive $I_{NaP}$ mediates SMPO in entorhinal cortex and dorsal column nuclei neurons (Agrawal et al. 2001, Reboreda et al. 2003). In the present study, the SMPO were suppressed and burst discharges were eliminated by 0.2 µmol/l TTX, suggesting the contribution of a persistent $Na^+$ current ($I_{NaP}$) in the generation of SMPO as previously described in other cell types (Llinás et al. 1991, Klink and Alonso 1993).

$I_{NaP}$ has been found in various type of neurons. The inactivation of $I_{NaP}$ is very slow and the activation potentials of $I_{NaP}$ is 10-15 mV below the threshold of transient $Na^+$ current (Klink and Alonso 1993). It is believed that $I_{NaP}$ may contribute to oscillations of DRG neurons and affect neurons excitability (Amir et al. 2002). Steady-state current-voltage (I-V) plots of the data from the injured DRG neurons (exhibit SMPO and burst discharges) revealed a TTX-sensitive inward current which activation potentials was around –65 mV. We also used slow, ascending voltage ramps to detect the inward $Na^+$ current. The result indicated that the characteristics of the inward current matching those of $I_{NaP}$ (Crill 1996) and showed that $I_{NaP}$ contributes to the generation of SMPO in injured DRG neurons. Furthermore, it is presumed that $I_{NaP}$ was generated on the overexpression of sodium channel subunit Nav1.3 in injured DRG neurons (Lai et al. 2003).

As low concentration of lidocaine (10 µmmol/l) suppresses SMPO, and $I_{NaP}$ mediates the generation of SMPO, the effect of lidocaine on $I_{NaP}$ was examined. The result showed that low concentration of lidocaine (10 µmol/l) inhibits the amplitude of $I_{NaP}$ apparently, but the same concentration of lidocaine has little effect on the amplitude of $I_{NaT}$. Only high concentration of lidocaine (5 mmol/l) inhibits $I_{NaT}$ apparently.

A new finding in the present study was that mechanisms underlying SMPO, burst discharges and single spike were different. The persistent $Na^+$ current was found to be mediated in the generation of SMPO in injured DRG neurons. Burst discharges, triggered by SMPO, have close relationship with neuropathic pain. Thus, $I_{NaP}$ can be associated with neuropathic pain by SMPO. However, single spike, generated on transient $Na^+$ current, represents the normal nerve conduction. Our result suggested that low concentration of lidocaine (10 µmol/l) suppresses SMPO and blocks burst discharges by selectively inhibiting $I_{NaP}$, but not $I_{NaT}$, in

![Different effects of lidocaine on $I_{NaP}$ and transient $Na^+$ current ($I_{NaT}$).](image)
injured DRG neurons. This effect of low concentration of lidocaine on \( I_{NaP} \) may be involved in the peripheral mechanism of neuropathic pain relief from systemic lidocaine. Furthermore, blockade of \( I_{NaP} \) might be a good target for blocking spontaneous discharge in neuropathic pain.
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