The Effects of Head Cooling on Endurance and Neuroendocrine Responses to Exercise in Warm Conditions
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Summary
The present study investigated the effects of head cooling during endurance cycling on performance and the serotonergic neuroendocrine response to exercise in the heat. Subjects exercised at 75 % VO₂max to volitional fatigue on a cycle ergometer at an ambient temperature of 29±1.0 °C, with a relative humidity of approximately 50 %. Head cooling resulted in a 51 % (p<0.01) improvement in exercise time to fatigue and Borg Scale ratings of perceived exertion were significantly lower throughout the exercise period with cooling (p<0.01). There were no indications of peripheral mechanisms of fatigue either with, or without, head cooling, indicating the importance of central mechanisms. Exercise in the heat caused the release of prolactin in response to the rise in rectal temperature. Head cooling largely abolished the prolactin response while having no effect on rectal temperature. Tympanic temperature and sinus skin temperature were reduced by head cooling and remained low throughout the exercise. It is suggested that there is a coordinated response to exercise involving thermoregulation, neuroendocrine secretion and behavioural adaptations that may originate in the hypothalamus or associated areas of the brain. Our results are consistent with the effects of head cooling being mediated by both direct cooling of the brain and modified cerebral artery blood flow, but an action of peripheral thermoreceptors cannot be excluded.
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Introduction
Fatigue during sub-maximal endurance exercise is often difficult to attribute to peripheral mechanisms, such as substrate depletion or metabolite accumulation, particularly in the case of fit individuals where the exercise lasts less than 60 min. In such cases the inability to continue exercising may be due to central fatigue mechanisms, whereby a loss of motivation and/or motor cortical activity are the limiting factors (Davis 1995b). The precise mechanism of the central fatigue process is unknown although there has been particular interest in the role of central serotonergic pathways (Davis 1995a, Newsholme and Blomstrand 1995).

Raised body temperature, mediated through central mechanisms, may have a role to play limiting endurance exercise (Bruck and Olschewski 1987) and there are several strands of evidence to support this view. The first is the common observation that endurance times are reduced at high ambient temperatures (Galloway and Maughan 1997). It has been suggested that some aspect of body temperature per se may be the limiting factor (Bruck and Olschewski 1987, Nielsen et al. 1993) and this may be more important than circulatory failure or metabolic depletion that have previously been considered to limit this type of exercise (Fink et al. 1975, Kozlowski et al. 1985).

A second strand of evidence supporting the role of body temperature in mediating central fatigue concerns the release of stress hormones. Prolactin, together with a number of other pituitary hormones, in significant
concentrations close to the point of fatigue at exercise intensities above about 65% VO\textsubscript{2}max (Brisson et al. 1981, Luger et al. 1988, Quirion et al. 1990, Luger et al. 1992). A linear relationship has been reported between core temperature and blood prolactin concentration during exercise and heat stress alone is known to mediate prolactin release (Mills and Robertshaw 1981, Christensen et al. 1988, Quirion et al. 1990, Luger et al. 1992). It has been suggested that body (Brisson et al. 1986) or, more specifically, brain (Brisson et al. 1989) temperature is an important factor causing prolactin release (Mills and Robertshaw 1981, Cabanac and Caputa 1979, Cabanac et al. 1987) and this attenuates the prolactin response to exercise in the heat whilst not affecting core temperature (Brisson et al. 1989, Quirion et al. 1990).

Prolactin release is, at least partly under the control of the serotonergic pathways (Van De Kar et al. 1996, Bridge et al. 2003b) and 5-HT agonists such as buspirone stimulate the release of prolactin (Eison and Temple Jr. 1986, Marvin et al. 1997). Stimulation of central 5-HT activity in this way has also been shown to reduce exercise times in man (Wilson and Maughan 1992) whilst potentiating prolactin release (Marvin et al. 1997).

It is evident that there are close associations between the processes of central fatigue and the release of several pituitary hormones, suggesting there may be common underlying neural mechanisms. The purpose of the present study was to explore this possibility. The first objective was to determine whether head cooling has parallel actions on prolactin release, perceived exertion and time to fatigue during submaximal exercise in the heat. Secondly, since it has been shown that tympanic temperature is a valid measure of selective brain cooling (Cabanac 1993), tympanic temperature was measured to see whether there is any evidence that head cooling acts through direct cooling of the hypothalamus.

Methods

Subjects

Nine healthy, recreationally active male volunteers gave their consent to participate in the study that was approved by the local Ethics Committee. The mean (± S.D.) age, weight and VO\textsubscript{2}max of the subjects were 24±7 years, 81±7 kg and 53±6 ml.min\textsuperscript{-1}.kg\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. This study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki as adopted at the 52nd WMA General Assembly, Edinburgh, October 2000 (2000).

Experimental procedures

Exercise was carried out on an electrically braked cycle ergometer (Lode Excalibur, Holland) with pedal rate maintained between 70 and 90 rpm. The protocol consisted of 3 visits, each separated by at least seven days:

Visit 1: Maximal oxygen uptake (VO\textsubscript{2}max)

Subjects exercised continuously on the cycle ergometer whilst the workload increased in steps of 30 W every 2 min until 80% of the age-predicted maximum heart rate was achieved. Thereafter a discontinuous protocol was used during which subjects were allowed up to 10 min rest between workload increments, each of which was 3-4 min in duration. Gas samples were collected during the last 30 seconds of each workload. A workload corresponding to 75% VO\textsubscript{2}max was identified for each subject.

Visit 2 and 3

Exercise was performed in a climatic enclosure built from polythene sheeting with 3 electric convection heaters that were adjusted to maintain the ambient temperature at 27-29°C and relative humidity at 40-60%, due to the introduction of the water vapour into the ambient air during the cooling trials it was difficult to maintain the relative humidity within a smaller range.

Exercise was performed at the same time of day for each subject. Subjects arrived at the laboratory wearing shorts and a short-sleeved shirt after an overnight fast and rested in a supine position for 15 min. A 20G cannula (Venflon) was inserted into a superficial forearm vein and kept patent by infusion of 0.9% saline, 3 ml every 15 min. The subjects rested in a semi-supine position for 1 hour before exercising to volitional fatigue at a workload corresponding to 75% VO\textsubscript{2}max, performed with no cooling (C) or with head cooling (HC). In the HC trial three fans (centre, 45° left and 45° right) were placed 50 cm from the subject’s face and positioned facing upwards so as to cool only the head. A fine mist of water was sprayed over the subject’s head at 30 second intervals (5-10 ml.min\textsuperscript{-1}). Subjects consumed 500 ml of water one hour before exercise and were encouraged to drink water ad libitum throughout exercise. The order in which the trials were performed was randomised.

Blood collection

Venous blood samples (3 ml) were obtained immediately before, and at 5-min intervals during,
Blood analysis

Blood lactate concentrations were measured in the supernatant of the PCA denatured blood using an NAD+/NADH linked enzymatic spectrophotometric assay. Serum prolactin was determined by radioimmunoassay (Chelsea Kits, UK). The inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation were 2.7 % and 5.9 %, respectively, over the appropriate concentration range (71-2160 mIU.L⁻¹). Serum glucose concentrations were determined using a quantitative enzymatic (hexokinase) method (Sigma Diagnostics, Poole, UK).

Gas analysis

Expired air was collected in Douglas bags during the last 30 seconds of each workload during the VO₂max test and during the 10th, 20th and 30th min of visits 2 and 3. The air was analysed for percentage oxygen and carbon dioxide composition (Servomex series 1400B, Crowborough, UK) and volume measured with a Harvard dry gas meter (Edenbridge, UK). Oxygen uptake (VO₂), minute ventilation (V₆) and respiratory exchange ratio (RER) were calculated using the Haldane transformation. The fractional utilisation of VO₂ and V₆ during the HC and C trials was calculated as the percentage of the peak values attained in the maximal test.

Temperature measurement

Ambient temperature during exercise was measured using a dry bulb mercury thermometer and relative humidity calculated from the dry and wet bulb thermometer differential. Rectal temperature (Trec) was measured using a thermistor probe inserted 10-12 cm into the rectal ampulla, connected to a Wheatstone bridge and voltmeter. The system was calibrated against an electronic thermometer over a range of 30-50 °C. Tympanic temperature (T tym) and skin temperature around the nasal sinus region (T skin) were measured using an infrared thermometer (First Temp, Genius, UK). The tympanic membrane was kept dry throughout the exercise period by inserting a small dry cotton wool bud into the ear after each measurement. All temperatures were recorded before exercise, and then at 5-min intervals up to and including the time of fatigue.

Perceived exertion and heart rate

Ratings of perceived exertion (RPE) were recorded every 5 min during exercise using a 6 to 20 point scale (Borg 1970). Heart rate was measured by telemetry (Sportstester PE 3000, Polar OY, Finland) and recorded every 5 min during exercise and at the time of fatigue.

Data and statistical analysis

Data are reported as mean and standard error mean, unless otherwise stated, and significance was determined using a two way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student’s t-test, where appropriate. Because the times to fatigue were different in the two experimental conditions, several comparisons were made. The majority of subjects managed at least 20 min of exercise in both conditions so up to this time it was possible to compare equivalent time points in the two trials. Secondly, the values at fatigue in the control trial (C fatigue) were compared with the values at the same time point in the HC trial. Finally, comparisons were made between final values at C fatigue and HC fatigue. For the purposes of ANOVA, paired data at equivalent time points up to 20 min and at time C fatigue were used. Location of differences was determined by the Tukey test. Where data were found not to be normally distributed (exercise time to fatigue), they are reported as median and inter-quartile range (IQ range) and significance was determined by a Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranked test.

Results

Exercise time and rating of perceived exertion (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2)

All the subjects reported that exercise with head cooling was more comfortable than under the control conditions. The greater subjective ease of the exercise was reflected in the Borg scale ratings, which were significantly lower at all times during the exercise with head cooling (p<0.001). Eight of the nine subjects were able to exercise for longer with head cooling and the one who did not show this pattern subsequently reported feeling slightly unwell during the week of his head
cooling trial although his results have still been included in the analysis.

On average, head cooling resulted in a 51 % (21-65 %, IQ range, p<0.02) improvement in the median exercise time to fatigue, 45 min (29-61 min, IQ range) with head cooling compared to 24 min (22-47 min, IQ range) for the control ride.

**Physiological measurements**

Head cooling had no obvious effects on the main physiological response to exercise at equivalent time points. Heart rates were similar at the same time points during the exercise in the two conditions and the point of fatigue (HC 172±4 beats.min⁻¹, C 177±3 beats.min⁻¹). Blood lactates increased during the initial 10-15 min of exercise to reach a steady state in 7 of the 9 subjects. There were no differences between the two exercise conditions either during the exercise or at the point of fatigue (HC 6.8±1.2 mmol.l⁻¹, C 7.5±0.6 mmol.l⁻¹).

Similarly, there were no significant differences between the two trials in the serum glucose concentrations (p=0.245), which remained constant with no signs of hypoglycaemia during, or at the end of, exercise. Head cooling had no significant effect (p>0.05) on either fractional oxygen uptake (% VO₂max: HC 76±6 %, C 77±6 %) or fractional minute ventilation (% Vₐemax: HC 57±14 %, C 58±12 %). There was an increase in both VO₂ and Vₑ with time during the exercise but this was similar in the two conditions.

During the HC and C trials seven and eight subjects completed more than 20 min, respectively while six and four subjects continued longer than 30 min, respectively. Haematocrit showed no change during exercise or between trials providing an indication that hydration status was similar in both trials.

**Temperature measurements (Fig. 3, Fig. 4 and Fig. 5)**

At rest Tₜₚ was significantly lower than Tₑ for both trials. During the control trial both Tₜₚ and Tₑ increased over time and towards the latter stages of the exercise Tₜₚ and Tₑ were very similar.

Head cooling attenuated the response of Tₜₚ during the exercise and Tₜₚ remained virtually constant throughout the bout. Head cooling had no significant effect on Tₑ although there was a tendency for Tₑ to be higher in the head cooling condition. Tₜₚ was very different at the point of fatigue between the two conditions with the Tₜₚ at HC fatigue almost 2.5°C lower than the Tₜₚ at Cfatigue. In contrast, Tₑ at fatigue was similar for both conditions (HC 39.0±0.6 °C, C 38.9±0.8 °C).

Tₚ at rest was the same for both trials (HC 31.1±0.5 °C, C 31.3±0.3 °C) but during the head cooling trial Tₚ dropped significantly at 5 min and continued to remain lower for the duration of the trial (25.6±0.9 °C), whereas in the control trial Tₚ rose in the initial 15 min before reaching a plateau (34.8±0.4 °C).

**Prolactin concentration (Fig. 6 and Fig. 7)**

There was a wide variation in the magnitude of the prolactin response to exercise under both conditions. There was no significant difference in the resting values between trials but head cooling significantly and
consistently attenuated the prolactin response at all time points from 10 min into the exercise (p=0.011).

Serum prolactin concentrations were raised nearly six-fold (range 170-1943 %) of resting values at Cfatigue under control conditions compared to a two-fold increase (range 106-505 %) at the same time point in HC. At HCfatigue the prolactin was elevated approximately three fold (range 151-982 %), which was still considerably less than the increase at Cfatigue in the control trial.

**Discussion**

The present study has demonstrated that head cooling leads to a considerable improvement in whole body sub-maximal exercise performance in a warm environment. Therefore even accounting for the potential variability inherent in time-to-exhaustion trials (3-30 %) (McLellan et al. 1995) the exercise duration is still significantly longer with head cooling. The effect is most...
probably associated with a reduction in central fatigue and changes in prolactin release are consistent with the hypothalamus or associated areas being involved in the process. The results suggest there is a potential association between thermoreceptors, the release of stress hormones and central fatigue mechanisms. Although numerous studies have looked at the effect of ambient temperature on endurance exercise performance (Gonzalez-Alonso et al. 1999) and head and/or face cooling has been shown to improve performance of repeated handgrip exercise in the heat (Hirata et al. 1987) we believe the present study is the first to report the effects of head cooling on whole body sub-maximal endurance performance.

Exercise studies, such as these, cannot be conducted in a double blind fashion so it is important to consider whether the improvements in performance, which were dramatic in some cases, were the result of experimental bias. The subjects exercising in the heat might have “just given up” or the investigators could have been especially encouraging to the subjects with head cooling but these considerations are unlikely to have had much impact on the results. All the subjects were experienced in this type of exercise and there was a strong competitive ethos with all aspects of the testing. The treatment order was randomised and consequently it is unlikely that the improvement in performance with cooling was due to a learning effect. Subjects were not informed of either the exercise time or how they were performing compared to the previous trial. The main argument against the improvements being an artefact comes however from the prolactin measurements since these provide an objective index of the stress of the exercise test. Had subjects exercising in the heat “given up” it is unlikely that their prolactin levels would have reached higher values than seen after a longer period of exercise with head cooling (Fig. 6).

There are a number of mechanisms, both central and peripheral, that might account for the difference in exercise performance seen with and without head cooling. However, there were no indications in the present study that differences in exercise time between control and head cooling conditions were the result of peripheral fatigue. Circulating lactate levels were the same in both protocols and subjects reached steady plateau values by about 15 min into the exercise. There was no evidence of a rapid rise in lactate at the point of fatigue that would suggest a metabolic crisis nor was there any hyperventilation or change in RER. Ventilation and heart rates, although high, were very similar in both experimental situations and did not reach the values observed at fatigue in the incremental maximal test. It is notable that in the present experiments rectal temperatures were the same in the two situations showing that the muscle and visceral organs were working under similar conditions.

Face cooling during passive hyperthermia (LeBlanc et al. 1976, Cabanac and Caputa 1979), submaximal (Riggs Jr. et al. 1983, Brisson et al. 1989) and maximal exercise (Endo et al. 2003) is well known to result in a bradycardia attributed to a vagal reflex from cold stimulated skin receptors. Borg ratings of perceived exertion are closely linked to heart rate and so an induced bradycardia might lead to a reduction in perceived exertion. However, in the present study, head cooling did not produce a bradycardia during exercise probably because at exercise intensities above about 65 %VO2max the exercise-induced drive for heart rate overwhelms any reflex activity (Quirion et al. 1990).

The lack of any evidence for peripheral failure, together with the lower ratings of perceived exertion with head cooling, suggest that the improvements in exercise tolerance are likely to be due to central rather than peripheral mechanisms. This conclusion is in agreement with other researchers (Bruck and Olschewski 1987, Nielsen et al. 1993, Pitsiladis et al. 2002) who have suggested that loss of motivational drive is a critical factor limiting exercise tolerance in the heat.

Exercise under control conditions resulted in a
steady increase in $T_{\text{tymp}}$ that mirrored the rise in $T_{\text{rec}}$ and was associated with increases in serum prolactin. Prolactin release has been shown to be directly proportional to $T_{\text{rec}}$ (Mills and Robertshaw 1981, Christensen et al. 1985) and this was broadly true of the results of this study although the relationship was curvilinear rather than linear (Fig. 7). Head cooling modified this relationship and virtually abolished the prolactin response to exercise demonstrating that head cooling has a major influence on hypothalamic function.

An improvement in perception of effort and thermal comfort and an attenuation in the PRL response are common findings in studies that have looked at face cooling during physical work in hot conditions (Sato et al. 1996, Armada-da-Silva et al. 2004, Mundel et al. 2006, Mundel et al. 2007). In this study, the fact that perceived exertion, the onset of fatigue and secretion of prolactin were all affected in a similar way by head cooling indicates that there are common neural pathways responsible for integrating the body’s response to exercise in the heat. This is a three-fold response consisting of thermoregulation, the secretion of stress hormones and behavioural adaptations leading to a disinclination to exercise. This latter response may be likened to the behavioural responses of many animals to hyperthermia, namely a reduction in motor activity and a search for a cooler environment. Temperature regulation and secretion of prolactin are controlled in the preoptic area and hypothalamus and finding that these are related to perceptions of exertion suggests that behavioural changes may also originate, or be heavily influenced by, the activity of these areas.

Given that head cooling had a profound effect on exercise, the question arises as to how the effect is mediated. Head cooling resulted in a large reduction of $T_{\text{tymp}}$ and the method used was adapted from that of Cabanac et al. (1987) who argue that a reduction of $T_{\text{tymp}}$ is evidence of selective brain cooling. Others, however others have opposed this view (Bengelmann 1993, Nielsen and Jessen 1992, Simon 2007). The question to be answered with regard to the present work is whether the tympanic temperature we have measured is a reliable indicator of hypothalamic temperature. Certainly the infrared method we have used has been validated in a number of studies (Jakobsson et al. 1992, Smith and Fehling 1996), which assures us that our method was reliable. However, there has been much debate over the validity of using $T_{\text{tymp}}$ as a measure of hypothalamic temperature (Cabanac 1993, Bengelmann 1993). Indeed, recently Simon (2007) presented a strong argument that $T_{\text{tymp}}$ cannot be used as a consistent index of brain temperature. Despite this, our observations are in accord with the conclusion that face cooling is the main mediator of prolactin release (Mundel et al. 2006) possibly through the mechanism of selectively cooling the brain (Brisson et al. 1991) although, it has to be noted that the reduction in $T_{\text{tymp}}$ was large (2.5 °C) and very similar to that of nearby skin. This raises the possibility, first, that the tympanic temperature we measured may have been contaminated to some degree by the skin temperature and, secondly, that peripheral thermoreceptors may have had some role to play. We have shown that simply exercising in a cool environment (15 °C) has a similar effect to specific head cooling in reducing the prolactin response to exercise and this was attributed to the effect of lower mean skin temperature (Bridge et al. 2003a). Furthermore it appears that changes in skin temperature independent of core temperature can contribute to the regulation of PRL release during exercise in the heat (Low et al. 2005). Armada-da-Silva et al. (2004) postulate that cooling of the face may minimise reduction in blood flow in the cerebral artery that has previously been associated with hyperthermia (Nybo and Nielsen 2001a). These changes in cerebral circulation have been shown to be strongly related to perceptual effort (Nybo et al. 2002). Furthermore, Nybo and Nielsen (2001b) have demonstrated in several studies that the sensations of fatigue associated with hyperthermia are derived from the brain and not from a failure in the peripheral contractile mechanism.

The results presented here indicate that head cooling has a major impact on exercise performance in the heat, modifying the neuroendocrine response, perceived exertion and the time to fatigue. It is suggested that there is a co-ordinated response to exercise involving thermoregulation, neuroendocrine secretion and behavioural adaptations that may originate in the hypothalamus or associated areas of the brain (Mundel et al. 2007). As to the mechanism by which head cooling achieves these effects, our results are consistent with both a direct cooling of the central thermoreceptors in the preoptic area and modification of cerebral artery blood flow. However, we are aware of the possible role of peripheral thermoreceptors and the interaction of central and peripheral thermoreceptors in the control of the neuroendocrine and behavioural responses to exercise in the heat remain to be elucidated.
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