The Effect of Chronic Alcohol Administration on Bone Mineral Content and Bone Strength in Male Rats
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Summary
Alcohol use has been identified as a risk factor for the development of osteoporosis. Eight male Wistar rats at two months of age were alcoho-fed (7.6 g 95 % ethanol/kg b.w. per day) to evaluate the effects of long-term administration (three months) of alcohol in drinking water. We have used a dose which is considered to be comparable to a dose of 1 liter of wine or 2.5 liters of 12 ° beer used in male adults daily. The bones were tested mechanically by a three-point bending test in a Mini Bionix (MTS) testing system. The bones from alcohol-fed rats were characterized by a reduction in bone density as well as in ash, calcium and phosphate content. In alcohol-fed rats the reduction in bone mineral density (10 %) was reflected by about 12 % reduction of mechanical strength of femur (158±5.5 vs. 178±3.2 N/mm2). Alcohol significantly altered femoral cortical thickness. In our experiment alcohol itself did not exert any antiandrogenic effect and it did not produce changes in the weight of seminal vesicles. Liver function test (GGT, ALP, AST) did not differ between alcohol-fed rats and control rats. Alcohol-induced bone loss is associated with increased bone resorption and decreased bone formation. These results document the efficacy of alcohol at the dose of 7.6 g 95 % ethanol/kg b.w. to cause bone loss and loss of bone mechanical strength in intact rats. The results of the present study may be interpreted as supporting the hypothesis of alcohol as a risk factor for osteoporosis.

Key words
Alcohol • Bone mineral density • Bone strength • Male rats

Introduction
Excessive alcohol intake is a well recognized cause of secondary osteoporosis. Alcohol abuse is associated with increased incidence of fractures and complication in fracture healing (Kanis et al. 2005). Higher intake appears to be associated with an increased fracture risk and hip fracture risk (Hoidrup et al. 1999, Mukamal 2007, Iwaniec 2008). There are changes in bone structure detected by histomorphometry and there is a decrease in bone mineral content. Although the relationship between alcohol ingestion and bone disease is evident, the mechanism by which alcohol induces osteoporosis remains unclear. Younger alcoholic patients without other diseases may suffer from an increased risk to develop low bone mineral density (Malik 2009). It is not clear whether alcohol independently affects bone mass. Bone changes may also be produced by factors commonly associated with ethanol abuse like nutritional deficiencies, liver damage and hypogonadism (Odvina et al. 1995, Kim 2003). Thus the etiology of alcohol-associated bone disease is multifactorial. However, not all studies showed an association of alcohol and fracture risk (Berg 2008). The effects of moderate alcohol intake are not thought to be deleterious to skeletal health (Orwoll et al. 2000). Studies in postmenopausal women show a positive association between bone mineral density and alcohol intake, but for men and premenopausal women the bone-alcohol relationship remains unclear (Wosje 2007). The purpose of the current study was to evaluate the effects of chronic administration of moderate ethanol doses on bone mass and strength in intact rats. In our
experiment we have used three-point bending test which is useful for measuring the mechanical properties of bones from small animals.

**Materials and Methods**

Two-month-old male strain rats (Velaz Prague), weighing 205 g were used for the experiments. They were fed on a standard diet containing 23 % protein, 1.2 % calcium and 0.6 % phosphorus with water *ad libitum* and were kept in an indirectly illuminated room with controlled temperature at 24±2 °C. The study was performed in accordance with the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the National Institutes of Health. The animals were randomly divided into two groups of 8 animals each. Two-month-old male rats drinking daily 7.6 g of 95 % ethanol/ kg b.w. daily for 3 months were compared to rats without ethanol. This corresponds to a consumption of 1 liter wine or 2.5 liters of 12° beer in male adults. Controls were pair-fed isocaloric diet which substitutes calories supplied by ethanol.

In our experiment alcohol was mixed in the drinking water (190 ml 95 % ethanol/1000 ml). The solution of alcohol in water has been made every day and consumption was measured daily. We have therefore known the exact amount of daily fluid/alcohol consumption. At the end of the experiment the animals were killed, under ether anesthesia, the blood was withdrawn by cardiac puncture and the seminal vesicles were removed, cleaned and weighed.

Femurs were isolated, cleaned of soft tissues. Marrow elements were flushed out with cold saline through a needle inserted into marrow cavity. Finally the bone was weighed and placed in an unstoppered glass vial filled with deionized water which was then put into desiccator. The bones were suspended on a fine wire mesh and weighed in air and in water to accuracy of 0.1 mg. Volume and density of the tibia were calculated from the mass in air and in water by Archimedes principle. Although assessing bone density using Archimedes principle is time-consuming, it measures true rather than apparent density. The bones were dried to constant weight and then incinerated for 24 h at 600 °C to white ash which was weighed. Bone ashes were then dissolved in hydrochloric acid before determination of calcium and phosphorus content. Bone calcium was measured by the method of (Gitelman 1967) and bone phosphorus according (Kraml 1966).

The activity of plasma tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (Tr-ACP) (EC 3.1.3.2) was determined as previously described (Štěpán *et al*. 1983). The activity of plasma bone alkaline phosphatase (ALP), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), asparate aminotransferase (AST), gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT) were determined by automatic Modular Roche analyzer.

Seminal vesicles were cleaned and weighed and the weight was expressed in relative values (mg/100 g body weight).

For the bone morphology we used the method presented by Beall *et al*. (1984) and Vanderschueren *et al*. (1992). Standardized roentgenographs of right femur were made using Philips mamodagnost 3000 X-ray machine at controlled exposures of 26 kV at 5.5 mA. Morphometric measurements were performed directly on the X rays after magnification. On the roentgenographs the internal, inner bone diameter and cortical width were measured with fine caliper at 40 % of the total length starting from the distal end.

The three-point bending test was used to measure the mechanical properties of the femur. Left femurs were also removed and cleaned of tissue and immediately soft wrapped in gauze soaked in isotonic saline and frozen. After thawing the bones tested were hydrated in distilled water at room temperature 22 °C over a period of 24 h before the experiment. Prior to mechanical testing, all soft tissues were removed and specimens were placed on two rounded bars set 20 mm apart in order to apply the load from medial side of the rat femur (Turner and Burr 1993, Broulík *et al*. 2005). The MTS Mini Bionix 858.02 biomechanical testing system was used for the three-point bending test. The program, written for the test control in TestWare software, controls cross-head speed and measures important quantities such as load, deflection and time. Bending strength σ\(_{\text{omax}}\) (MPa=N x mm \(^{-2}\)) was calculated from the ultimate force \(F_{\text{max}}\) (N) and section modulus of bone \(W_{\text{omin}}\) (mm\(^3\)) where \(\sigma_{\text{omax}} = \frac{M_{\text{omax}}}{W_{\text{omin}}}\) where \(M_{\text{omax}}\) (N x mm) is maximal bending moment and \(L\) (mm) is distance between two supporting bars. Ultimate load (force at failure) is the maximum load that a specimen withstands before fracture.

The bones were measured according to the following principle: the bone cross-section at the fracture location was resurfaced by grinding, to get a planar surface perpendicular to the femur axis, and marked by the colored felt-tip pen and scanned by flat tabletop
scanner at resolution 1200 x 1200 dpi that means pixel size 0.021 x 0.021 mm. The full color digital image obtained from scanner was sent through the process of segmentation. The binary image of the same resolution and size was derived from color image. The binary image was converted into the program written in MATLAB (Turner 1993). This program calculates every important dimensions of the bone cross-section these are \( A \), \( b_{\text{min}} \), \( b_{\text{max}} \), \( J_{\text{min}} \) and \( W_{\text{omin}} \). \( A \) refers to the cross-section area, \( b_{\text{min}} \) and \( b_{\text{max}} \) are minimal and maximal dimensions of the bone cross-section, \( J_{\text{min}} \) is the minimal moment of inertia.

Load-deflection curves were recorded at the cross-head of 2 mm/min by load indicator with a measuring range 0 - 500 N.

The means ± 95% confidence intervals were computed and the significance of differences between the means was evaluated by an analysis of variance and Duncan’s test (1955).

### Results

There were no clinical signs of morbidity in any of the two groups studied. All rats gained body weight during the study but groups given alcohol had smaller weight gains and lower final body weight than the control animals (Table 1). However, the difference did not reach statistical significance. The animals were paired and we did not observe decreased food intake in alcohol-fed animals. Serum calcium, phosphorus, total protein and amylase were not significantly different in either control and alcohol-fed animals. Abnormal liver metabolism was not evident and the ethanol dose had a minimal effect on liver metabolism. Liver function test (gama glutamyl transferase, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase) did not differ between alcohol-fed rats and control rats. Serum alkaline phosphatase was significantly lower in the ethanol-fed as compared with the control animals. None of the rats in this study had diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis (normal level of plasma amylase). Plasma tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TrACP) (EC 3.1.3.2) levels in ethanol-fed rats were significantly higher than those in controls. The increase in plasma tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase in ethanol-fed rats would be consistent with increase of bone resorption.

No significant changes were observed in the weight of the seminal vesicles a highly androgen dependent tissue in alcohol fed animals (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Controls n=8</th>
<th>Alcohol n=8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial body weight (g)</td>
<td>220 ± 4.2</td>
<td>210 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final body weight (g)</td>
<td>302 ± 5.2</td>
<td>290 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur dry weight (mg)</td>
<td>407 ± 17</td>
<td>357 ± 16*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur volume (ul)</td>
<td>379 ± 9</td>
<td>382 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of femur (g/ml)</td>
<td>1.620 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.480 ± 0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur ash content (g/ml)</td>
<td>0.734 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.645 ± 0.06*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur calcium (mg/ml)</td>
<td>278 ± 11</td>
<td>245 ± 7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur phosphate (mg/ml)</td>
<td>123 ± 5</td>
<td>106 ± 7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone strength (N/mm²)</td>
<td>178 ± 3.2</td>
<td>158 ± 5.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminal vesicles (mg/100 g b.w.)</td>
<td>232 ± 18</td>
<td>229 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP (μkat/l)</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.16</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.58*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tartrate ACP (μkat/l)</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mmol/l)</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (μkat/l)</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (μkat/l)</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylase (μkat/l)</td>
<td>27.9 ± 4.2</td>
<td>22.9 ± 4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGT (μkat/l)</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± S.D., *p<0.01 vs. intact animals.
The densities of the femurs, ash weights and calcium and phosphorus content of the femur were significantly reduced in alcohol-fed rats, compared with the animals without alcohol (p<0.01). Three-point biomechanical testing of femurs from alcohol-fed rats revealed significant reduction in cortical strength and energy to failure. In alcohol-fed rats the reduction in bone mineral density (10 %) was reflected in the reduction of mechanical strength of femur (158±5.6 vs. 178.9±3.2 N/mm²), which is reduction about 12 % (Table 1).

Femoral length and outer diameter were not significantly different between alcohol-fed rats and control rats. However, alcohol-fed rats had significantly decreased femoral cortical thickness (Table 2).

### Table 2. Variables of morphometric measurements on femur in individual groups of animals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls n=8</th>
<th>Alcohol n=8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Femur length (mm)</td>
<td>39.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>39.0 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer diameter (mm)</td>
<td>4.18 ± 0.06</td>
<td>4.15 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner diameter (mm)</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.08</td>
<td>2.88 ± 0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical width (mm)</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.05*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± S.E.M., * p<0.01 vs. intact animals.

### Discussion

Alcohol causes substantial decreases in femoral density and biomechanical properties of femur in intact rats. We have used a dose which is considered to be comparable to a dose of 1 liter of wine or 2.5 liters of 12° beer used in male adults daily. The mean values of bone density of the femur were significantly different between the control and alcohol-fed rats. However, bone density and bone mineral content are an indirect measure of bone quality, reflecting the amount and arrangement of the mineral phase but not bone strength itself. In the case of assessing bone density in small animals using Archimedes principle it measures true rather than apparent density (Deyhim et al. 2006).

Measurement of bone mechanical properties is an important tool for evaluating the functional significance of changes in bone mass and/or architecture. Mechanical properties of bone do not depend solely on mineralization, but on the organization and microscopic structure of bone material. Loss of bone strength can arise from changes in spatial distribution of bone material without any loss of osseous tissue or changes in ash composition.

In our experiment there were reductions in the mechanical strength of the midshaft femur in alcohol fed rats when compared to control rats (Table 1). This may be explained by ethanol-induced cortical bone loss (change of cortical width). Histomorphometric studies have shown that in alcohol-fed rats there was a significant reduction in cortical thickness (p<0.05) (Table 2). In alcohol-fed rats the reduction in bone mineral density (10 %) was reflected in the reduction of mechanical strength of femur (158±5.5 vs 178±3.2 N/mm²) which is a reduction by about 12 %. It is not known whether the effects of alcohol on bone cells are direct. It is possible that alcohol alters the activity, production or binding of growth factors produced by the cells. Complete information concerning the mechanism of the skeletal effects of alcohol is still lacking.

In the present study there was no evidence of liver disease or hypogonadism in any of alcohol-fed rats; therefore the confounding effects of these variables on bone mass were excluded. It appears that prolonged alcohol intake is necessary for the negative effects of alcohol to be observed. Both chronic and acute administration of ethanol can suppress plasma testosterone levels in men and in experimental animals. However, we did not find any significant changes in the weight of the seminal vesicles – a highly androgen-dependent tissue – after alcohol administration. Our ethanol dose had a minimal effect on liver metabolism. We can assume that ethanol (7.6 g 95 % ethanol/kg b.w. per day) was solely responsible for the observed changes in bone metabolism.

High bone turnover states may compromise bone strength by reducing bone mass causing focal areas of weakness in trabeculae and preventing complete mineralization of bone structural units (Ott 2001). The decrease in cortical thickness in alcohol fed rats may result from increased resorption at the endocortical surface or decreased formation at either the endosteal or periosteal surface. Increased TrACP and decreased ALP levels were found in alcohol-fed rats (Table 1).

Our results demonstrate that alcohol causes a loss of bone mass and bone strength, in cortical bone possibly through an increase in bone resorption expressed by increased TrACP. It is known that increased resorption results in a decrease in bone density and is the cause of bone loss in postmenopausal women. Interpretation of the
data obtained in this study is difficult because the mechanism by which alcohol acts on bone remains unclear. However, several lines of evidence allow some speculation about the significance of alcohol effect on bone.

Alcohol intake is a risk factor for osteoporosis (Cummings et al. 1995, Kanis et al. 2005). There was a significant inhibition of cell proliferation and extracellular matrix synthesis. In vitro studies have shown that alcohol directly increases bone resorption and inhibits bone formation (Santori et al. 2008). In addition animal studies have demonstrated that alcohol ingestion results in bone loss and inhibition of bone matrix synthesis and mineralization in rats (Turner et al. 1987). Increased bone resorption has been demonstrated in alcoholic subjects (Bickle et al. 1993). In rats ethanol consumed during the post-injury period was responsible for the inhibition of bone repair (Chakkalakal et al. 2005).

While we have shown that alcohol affects the bone in rats, its potential effects in humans are still unknown. After long-term treatment of rats with moderate ethanol dose this drug is able to decrease bone mineral density and biomechanical properties of bone suggesting possible efficacy of alcohol to increase fracture risk in humans. The relationship between ethanol use and bone mineral mass is not mediated via an effect on androgen action or abnormal liver metabolism. In our experiments increased bone resorption and reduction in bone formation have been demonstrated in alcohol-fed rats.
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