Sildenafil Is More Selective Pulmonary Vasodilator Than Prostaglandin E₁ in Patients With Pulmonary Hypertension Due to Heart Failure
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Summary
In some patients, heart failure (HF) is associated with increased pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR). The magnitude and the reversibility of PVR elevation affect the HF management. Sildenafil has been recently recognized as potent PVR-lowering drug in HF. The aim of the study was to compare hemodynamic effects and pulmonary selectivity of sildenafil to prostaglandin E₁ (PGE₁). Right-heart catheterization was performed in 13 euvolemic advanced HF patients with elevated PVR (6.3±2 Wood’s units). Hemodynamic parameters were measured at the baseline, during i.v. infusion of PGE₁ (alprostadil 200 ng·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) and after 40 mg oral dose of sildenafil. Both drugs similarly reduced systemic vascular resistance (SVR), but sildenafil had higher effect on PVR (–28 % vs. –49 %, p=0.05) and transpulmonary pressure gradient than PGE₁. The PVR/SVR ratio – an index of pulmonary selectivity, did not change after PGE₁ (p=0.7) but it decreased by –32 % (p=0.004) after sildenafil. Both drugs similarly reduced pulmonary artery mean and wedge pressures and increased cardiac index (+27 % and +28 %). Sildenafil led more often to transplant-acceptable PVR while causing smaller drop of mean systemic pressure than PGE₁. In conclusion, vasodilatatory effects of sildenafil in patients with heart failure are more pronounced in pulmonary than in systemic circulation.
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Introduction
Some patients with advanced heart failure (HF) develop pre-capillary pulmonary hypertension (PH) that adversely affects right ventricular function (Ghio et al. 2001), exercise capacity (Lewis et al. 2007) and prognosis (Di Salvo et al. 1995). The increase of PVR may occur due to structural remodeling of pulmonary vasculature, leading to “fixed”, irreversible component of PVR. More frequently, elevated PVR results from vasomotor imbalance in pulmonary vascular territory that is partly reversible by hemodynamic unloading or by administration of vasodilators, such as nitrates or prostacyclin analogues. The magnitude of PVR increase and its reversibility with a vasodilator provide crucial information for management of patients with advanced HF (Costard-Jackle et al. 1992, Murali et al. 1992). Increased PVR, particularly if not reversible with vasodilator challenge, predicts poor heart transplant outcome, mainly due to high risk of postoperative failure of the graft right ventricle that is suddenly exposed to vascular bed with elevated resistance (Murali et al. 1993).

Attenuated sensitivity to endogenous cGMP-dependent vasodilators is increasingly recognized as one of the key mechanisms of PVR elevation (Melenovsky et al. 2009). Intracellular cGMP is catalyzed by cGMP-selective phosphodiesterase 5A (PDE5A), an enzyme that is highly abundant in the lung tissue (Kass et al. 2007). Inhibitors of PDE5A, like sildenafil, induce marked pulmonary vasodilatory
response in pulmonary arterial hypertension. In patients and experimental animals with HF, pulmonary tissue-PDE5A activity is further upregulated (Forfia et al. 2007) and therefore pulmonary circulation may be even more susceptible to PDE5 inhibition than systemic circulation. The goal of the study was to compare the effects of sildenafil to a standard vasodilator, routinely used in patients with HF and increased PVR for hemodynamic testing. We hypothesized that acute inhibition of PDE5A with sildenafil is expected to provide more selective pulmonary vasodilatation, than administration of high-dose of prostaglandin E1 (PGE1).

Methods

The study group consisted of HF patients considered for heart transplantation, but with severe pre-capillary pulmonary hypertension indicated to testing of PVR reversibility (transpulmonary pressure gradient >15 mm Hg or PVR>3 w.u. in euvolemic state). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects and the protocol was approved by the ethics committee of IKEM. Catheterization was performed via right internal jugular vein with 7F balloon-tipped pulmonary artery (PA) catheter (Corodyn, Braun AG, Germany) and bedside hemodynamic monitor (Solar 8000, GE, USA). All patients were in fasted state (>6 hours); breathed room air during the procedure and none was hypoxemic (O2 saturation <90 % by pulse oxymetry). Catheter position was optimized under fluoroscopic guidance. Cardiac output was measured by thermodilution and at least three measurements were averaged. Systemic blood pressure was measured with oscillometric cuff on the left arm. Hemodynamic parameters were measured after 20 minutes of rest (baseline) and after 5 minutes of continuous infusion of PGE1 (alprostadil-Alprostan, Leciva, Czech Republic, infusion rate 200 ng·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) into the central vein. After a 30minute washout period, an oral dose of sildenafil (Revatio, Pfizer, USA, dose 40 mg) was administered and measurements were repeated one hour later. Pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) was calculated as transpulmonary pressure gradient (mean PA pressure – mean PA wedge pressure) divided by cardiac output and expressed as Wood’s units (w.u.). Pulmonary selectivity was quantified as the ratio of pulmonary to systemic vascular resistance (PVR/SVR). Transplantation-acceptable values were defined as transpulmonary pressure gradient ≤ 15 mm Hg and PVR ≤ 3 w.u. All values are expressed as mean ± S.D.

Drug-induced changes from baseline were compared with paired t-tests. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered as significant.

Results

The protocol included 13 subjects with symptomatic advanced HF, predominantly due to coronary artery disease. Clinical characteristics are summarized in the Table 1. In medical history, 46 % of subjects had diabetes, 23 % had previous cardiac surgery and 54 % received implantable defibrillator device. One subject had permanent atrial fibrillation. Diuretics and beta-blocking agents were administered in 100 % of patients, angiotensin-converting enzyme or angiotensin-receptor inhibitors in 84 %, aldosterone receptor antagonists in 77 %, statins in 46 %, digoxin in 23 % and low-dose dobutamine in 31 %. Hemodynamic parameters at baseline and after administration of both drugs are summarized in the Table 2. All subjects had significant pre-capillary hypertension and were euvolemic. Baseline PVR positively correlated with systemic vascular resistance (r=0.86) and negatively with cardiac output (r= -0.67).

Administration of prostaglandin E1 led to a significant reduction of PVR (~28 %) and systemic vascular resistance (~30 %), mean PA pressure, PA wedged pressure, with a simultaneous increase in cardiac
index and stroke volume. There was only a trend in the reduction of transpulmonary gradient (–10 %, p=0.08). The ratio of pulmonary to systemic vascular resistance (PVR/SVR ratio) did not change with PGE1 infusion (from 0.27±0.06 to 0.28±0.08, p=0.6), indicating that PVR decreased proportionally to SVR reduction. After infusion of PGE1, 31 % of patients had transplantation-acceptable values of transpulmonary gradient and PVR. All subjects tolerated full PGE1 dose.

Administration of 40 mg of sildenafil reduced PVR (–49 %), transpulmonary pressure gradient (–36 %), mean PA pressure, PA wedged pressure, systemic vascular resistance (–26 %), increased cardiac index and stroke volume. PVR/SVR ratio decreased by 32 % (from 0.27±0.06 to 0.19±0.08 p=0.0008, Fig. 2), indicating that sildenafil-induced PVR reduction was disproportionally larger than the reduction of SVR. Transplantation-acceptable values of transpulmonary gradient and PVR were attained in 69 % of patients.

Individual responses of PVR and transpulmonary pressure gradient after both interventions are summarized in Figure 1 (left). The response to sildenafil was more homogenous than to PGE1. The only person with no reduction of PVR after sildenafil had also minimal response to PGE1. The person was treated with biventricular mechanical support to lower PVR, but
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unfortunately died from bleeding and postoperative right heart failure soon after heart transplantation. The reduction of PVR or transpulmonary pressure gradient after sildenafil reasonably correlated with PGE1-induced changes, i.e. poor responders to PGE1 also poorly responded to sildenafil (Fig. 1 – right panels). Sildenafil-induced change of PVR, transpulmonary pressure gradient and mean systemic blood pressure were on average significantly larger than PGE1-induced effects. Both drugs also significantly differed in change of the PVR/SVR ratio (p=0.001), demonstrating a higher vasodilatory selectivity of sildenafil in pulmonary circulation (Fig. 2).

Discussion

The principal finding of the study is that sildenafil produces larger dilatation in pulmonary than in systemic vascular territory, while the effects of PGE1 are proportional in both vascular beds. Although the mechanisms underlying enhanced responsiveness of pulmonary vessels to sildenafil are not yet precisely delineated in patients with HF, they might be linked to higher PDE5A expression in the pulmonary than in systemic resistance arterioles. High PDE5A activity leads to desensitization of vascular wall to endogenous cGMP-dependent vasodilators, namely nitric oxide and natriuretic peptides (Forfia et al. 2007). It has been recently shown that HF patients with high PVR have attenuated transpulmonary cGMP release that can be restored by sildenafil administration (Melenovsky et al. 2009). By acting more downstream, PDE5A inhibition induces larger pulmonary vasodilatation than provision of nitric oxide itself. Therefore, nitroglycerine or nitroprusside that have only minimal effect (<10 %) on PVR/SVR ratio (Murali et al. 1991). Higher reduction of PVR than of SVR with sildenafil in HF patients has been noticed before (Allaeddini et al. 2004, Al-Hesayen et al. 2006), but our study is the first to compare sildenafil with other vasodilator.

Conversely, PGE1 leads to less selective vasodilatation with only minimal change of PVR/SVR ratio and is associated with larger tendency to induce systemic hypotension. Alprostadil (PGE1) and other prostacyclin analogues are largely degraded during first passage through pulmonary circulation, but some degradation products (PGE0) also have vasodilatory properties. If administered in high dose, prostacyclin analogues and active metabolites spill over into systemic circulation and often produce systemic hypotension (Radovancevic et al. 2005, von Scheidt et al. 2006) with unwanted sympathetic activation (Montalescot et al. 1998).

The study has several relevant clinical implications for pathophysiology and therapy of HF. If PVR elevation in chronic HF patients is not reversible by a vasodilator, the risk of acute right heart failure of transplanted graft is very high (Costard-Jackle et al. 1992). On the other hand, patients with reversible PVR elevation have similar post-transplant outcomes as low PVR patients (Klotz et al. 2003). In our study, sildenafil showed superior ability than PGE1 to unmask the reversible component of PH. Almost ¾ (73 %) of patients reached a satisfactory reduction of the transpulmonary gradient, in contrast to only 31 % after PGE1. Therefore, hemodynamic testing of heart transplantation candidates using sildenafil seems to be a promising alternative to testing with PGE1, particularly with an intravenous formulation (Al Hasayen et al. 2006). The responders could be then treated orally for the long-term, as the benefits of sildenafil on pulmonary hemodynamics seem to persist, without drug tolerance development (Guazzi et al. 2007, Lewis et al. 2007).

In chronic HF, an increased PVR due to precapillary pulmonary vasoconstriction is partly an adaptive mechanism preventing pulmonary congestion. In volume-overloaded HF patients, extensive and selective pulmonary vasodilatation (for example with inhaled nitric

![Fig. 2. Ratio of pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) to systemic vascular resistance (SVR) – an index of pulmonary selectivity of vasodilatation, before and after administration of sildenafil (40 mg orally, right panel) or prostaglandin E1 (200 ng·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ i.v., left panel) in patients with advanced heart failure and pre-capillary pulmonary hypertension.](image-url)
oxides) can increase pulmonary capillary pressure and trigger pulmonary edema (Loh et al. 1994). Interestingly, this was not observed in our study – the average PA wedge pressure dropped after sildenafil, despite substantial pulmonary vasodilatation. This may be explained by simultaneous increase of diastolic left ventricular compliance, by an increase of stroke volume from LV afterload reduction or by attenuated interventricular diastolic interaction from unloading of the right ventricle (Morris-Thurgood et al. 2000). Increased cardiac contractility could also theoretically contribute to stroke volume augmentation, but only neutral (Lepore et al. 2005) or negative (Borlaug et al. 2005) effects on left ventricular inotropy are previously reported for sildenafil. In several short-term studies, sildenafil improved hemodynamics, quality of life and exercise tolerance (Guazzi et al. 2007) in HF patients, but the long-term safety of PDE5A inhibition in general HF population still needs to be carefully tested.

The study has several limitations. The number of studied subjects is relatively small. Due to long plasma half-life of sildenafil (3-5 hours) compared to alprostadil (5-10 minutes), the administration of drugs was not in a random order. However, both administrations were separated by 90 minutes, which provided enough time for washout. Third, the infusion of PGE1 was not titrated to maximally-tolerated dose and this may affect the rate of PVR reversibility compared to other reports (von Scheidt et al. 2006).

In conclusion, the study demonstrated that the vasodilatatory effects of sildenafil are more pronounced in pulmonary than in systemic circulation and that sildenafil had a superior ability than PGE1 to unmask reversible pre-capillary component of pulmonary hypertension due to advanced heart failure.
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