

ST Segment Body Surface Isointegral Maps in Patients With Arterial Hypertension

K. KOZLÍKOVÁ¹, J. MARTINKA¹, J. BULAS²

¹Institute of Medical Physics, Biophysics, Informatics and Telemedicine, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University in Bratislava, Bratislava, Slovak Republic, ²The First Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University in Bratislava, Bratislava, Slovak Republic

Received November 18, 2010

Accepted October 27, 2011

On-line December 20, 2011

Summary

In this retrospective study we analysed changes of the ST segment in patients with arterial hypertension using multi-lead body surface mapping of the electric heart field as the ST segment often shows non-specific changes and is influenced by many different conditions. We constructed isointegral maps (IIM) of chosen intervals (the first 35 ms, the first 80 ms, and the whole ST segment) in 42 patients with arterial hypertension (with and without left ventricular hypertrophy) and in the control group involving 23 healthy persons. We analysed the position and values of map extrema. Spatial distribution of voltage integrals was similar in the control group and in the "pure" hypertensives. Patients with the left ventricular hypertrophy exhibited shifts of the integral minima. Despite our expectations, the highest extrema values were found in the control group and not in the left ventricular hypertrophy group. The extrema values were similar in all hypertensives, with or without left ventricular hypertrophy. Differences could be explained neither by the influence of the age, nor by the body habitus.

Key words

Body surface mapping • Isointegral maps • ST segment • Arterial hypertension • Left ventricular hypertrophy

Corresponding author

Katarína Kozlíková, Institute of Medical Physics, Biophysics, Informatics and Telemedicine, Faculty of Medicine CU, Sasinkova 2, 813 72 Bratislava, Slovak Republic.

E-mail: katarina.kozlikova@fmed.uniba.sk

Introduction

The ST segment on the electrocardiogram is the interval between the end of the QRS complex and the beginning of the T wave. It corresponds to the plateau phase of the ventricular transmembrane action potential. Under normal conditions, the transmembrane voltage changes slowly during this phase and remains at approximately the same level in all ventricular myocardial cells. As a result, only small voltage gradients are present; therefore, the ST segment is normally nearly flat and approximately at the same level with the TP segment; that means, it is isoelectric (Rautaharju *et al.* 2009).

Abnormalities in the ST segment and T wave are caused by an abnormal voltage gradient during plateau and rapid repolarisation phases of the action potential and by changes in the sequence of repolarisation that may occur both with and without abnormal voltage gradients. These abnormalities are often associated with well defined anatomic, pathological, physiological, and pharmacological events. For example, ventricular hypertrophy is associated with changes in the shape and duration of the ventricular action potential of isolated ventricular cells, particularly on the endocardial surface. These changes may contribute to ST segment deviations and are independent of the secondary QRS amplitude changes and of the QRS complex prolongation (Rautaharju *et al.* 2009).

The point where the ST segment begins is commonly called the J point. This can be normally elevated in leads V₁, V₂, and V₃, especially in men less than 40 years old, approximately till 0.3 mV. The amplitude decreases in men with age while in women it

Table 1. Blood pressure and selected echocardiographic characteristics of patient groups.

Group	BPS [mmHg]	BPD [mmHg]	LVM [g]	LVMi [g·m ⁻²]	RWT
HT – all	147 ± 17	93 ± 7	218 ± 40	108 ± 15	0.43 ± 0.05
HT – men	142 ± 16	92 ± 8	231 ± 34	114 ± 16	0.44 ± 0.06
HT – women	157 ± 14	97 ± 6	195 ± 41 [#]	99 ± 7 [#]	0.41 ± 0.04
LVH – all	157 ± 23	94 ± 15	318 ± 70 [*]	156 ± 30 [*]	0.49 ± 0.08 [*]
LVH – men	153 ± 22	98 ± 8	348 ± 65 [*]	164 ± 33 [*]	0.49 ± 0.07
LVH – women	161 ± 24	91 ± 19	279 ± 56 [*]	145 ± 24 [*]	0.49 ± 0.11 [*]

BPS: systolic blood pressure, BPD: diastolic blood pressure; * p < 0.05 group HT against group LVH, # p < 0.05 men against women of the same group

remains relatively unchanged, approximately 0.15 mV (Macfarlane 2001, Surawicz and Parikh 2003, Macfarlane *et al.* 2011). The border between the end of the ST segment and the beginning of the T wave is often difficult to find, but the ST segment abnormalities may occur with or without the T wave abnormalities (Rautaharju *et al.* 2009). In a standard electrocardiographic examination, the ST segment is a useful marker of ischemia, but it can help to detect also left ventricular hypertrophy and other diseases; for example, chronic pressure overload as in arterial hypertension often results in concentric left ventricular hypertrophy (Macfarlane *et al.* 2011).

The aim of this retrospective study was to find out, whether it is possible to detect any changes on body surface isointegral maps of the ST segment in patients with arterial hypertension, without and with left ventricular hypertrophy. The major advantage of body surface potential mapping over the conventional 12-lead electrocardiogram is that they allow exploring the entire chest surface and thus provide all the information on the cardiac electric field available at the body surface (Medvegy *et al.* 2002, Kittnar and Mlček 2010).

We expected higher positive and deeper negative values of voltage-time integrals of the ST segment and its portions in hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy compared to controls and/or to hypertensive patients without hypertrophy as the left ventricular hypertrophy can cause abnormal elevation of the ST segment mainly in standard leads V₁ to V₃ and abnormal depression mainly in standard leads V₅ and V₆ (Khan 2003).

Methods

Patients

We studied 42 patients with arterial hypertension

(25 men, 17 women, mean age 53 ± 12 y, range 25-76 y). All of them were hospitalised for cardiovascular reasons. The body surface potential mapping was performed during the first days after admission; altogether in more than 75 patients. Patients with manifested clinical signs of ischemia, conduction disturbances, renal insufficiency, diabetes and/or pulmonary diseases were excluded from this study.

The diagnosis of arterial hypertension was based on repeated clinical examinations with the systolic blood pressure higher than 140 mmHg and/or the diastolic blood pressure higher than 90 mmHg. This diagnosis was established at least 5 years before admission to the hospital. All patients were treated according to the guidelines with combination therapy used in most patients (Mancia *et al.* 2007). Mean systolic pressure of these patients at the time of map recording was 153 ± 21 mmHg; mean diastolic pressure was 93 ± 12 mmHg (Table 1). Patients were divided into two subgroups according to the presence or absence of the left ventricular hypertrophy based on echocardiographic evaluations. Group HT involved 17 patients without left ventricular hypertrophy (11 men, 6 women, mean age 50 ± 13 y, range 25-76 y). Group LVH involved 25 patients with left ventricular hypertrophy (15 men, 10 women, mean age 54 ± 12 y, range 32-72 y).

The left ventricular mass LVM [g] was calculated according to the formula

$$LVM = 1.04 \cdot [(IVSd + LVPWd + LVIDd)^3 - LVIDd^3] - 13.6,$$

where IVSd is the thickness of the interventricular septum [cm], LVPWd is the thickness of the left ventricular posterior wall [cm], LVIDd is the internal diameter of the left ventricle [cm], all measured in

diastole (Bulas *et al.* 1998). The left ventricular hypertrophy was based on the left ventricular mass index $\text{LVMI} > 109 \text{ g/m}^2$ for women and $\text{LVMI} > 134 \text{ g/m}^2$ for men, where

$$\text{LVMI} = \frac{\text{LVM}}{\text{BSA}}$$

Body surface area was calculated according to Mosteller formula (Mosteller 1987)

$$\text{BSA} = \sqrt{\frac{m \cdot h}{3600}}$$

where m is the body mass [kg] and h is the body height [cm].

In the LVH group, 17 patients had concentric hypertrophy ($\text{RWT} > 0.45$) and 8 patients had eccentric hypertrophy. RWT is the relative wall thickness

$$\text{RWT} = \frac{\text{IVSDd} + \text{LVPWd}}{\text{LVIDd}}$$

Selected echocardiographic characteristics of all patient groups, all obtained in M-mode and measured by one investigator (co-author), are given in Table 1.

The control group (C group) involved 23 healthy people (14 men, 9 women, mean age 34 ± 13 y, range 22-56 y). None of the controls had signs of cardiovascular diseases or cardiovascular risk; all had normal 12-lead standard electrocardiographic and echocardiographic findings as well as blood pressure values.

Body surface potential mapping

Unipolar electrocardiograms for body surface potential mapping were registered using the limited 24-lead system after Barr (Barr *et al.* 1971) and processed using the mapping system ProCardio (Rosík *et al.* 1997). All data were registered in supine position during normal expiration.

Linear baselines were taken through TP segments in each electrocardiogram. The offset of the QRS complex (the J point) and the offset of the T wave were established manually from the root mean square signal. The limiting points were set at the end of a sequence of decreasing values when starting from the middle of the QRS complex or from the middle of the

T wave. To ensure the reproducibility of data processing, all mapping registrations and signal processing were done by the same investigator (the author) and based on the same procedures and algorithms.

The onset of the T wave was established as the first 3/8 of the ST interval (Ikeda *et al.* 1985, Kozlíková 1990). Isointegral maps (IIM) were constructed as distributions of voltage time integrals over chosen time intervals. We constructed and analysed isointegral maps of following intervals: the first 35 ms of the ST segment (IIM J35), the first 80 ms of the ST segment (IIM J80), and the whole ST segment (IIM ST). Mean isointegral maps were calculated from single beat maps (9 ± 3 beats) according to the heart rate for each subject. We analyzed values of maxima and minima and their locations as well as peak-to-peak values (maximum minus minimum) in the mean maps.

Statistical methods

All data were tested for normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test (smaller sample size) or by skewness and kurtosis (larger sample size; Kozlíková and Martinka 2009). Normally distributed data are expressed in form of average plus minus one standard deviation. They were compared using either the analysis of variance with appropriate post hoc tests or the t-test. Data, that were not distributed normally, are expressed in form of median with the 95 % confidence interval. They were compared using either Kruskal-Wallis test with the Dunn's test or the Mann-Whitney test (Altmann 1999). Calculations were performed using the statistical package Statgraphics Plus (Statgraphics 1997). Statistically significant differences were considered for $p < 0.05$.

Results

All electrocardiographic recordings were performed during normal sinus rhythm with mean heart rate of all subjects $67 \pm 10 \text{ min}^{-1}$. There were no statistically significant differences of the heart rate among the examined groups (Table 2). The overall QRS duration was 93 ± 11 ms. The only statistically significant difference was found between men and women in the control group (Table 2). The overall QT interval duration was 399 ± 34 ms and overall ST segment duration was 115 ± 13 ms without any statistical differences among the groups (Table 2).

Table 2. Selected electrocardiographic characteristics of examined groups.

Group	Heart rate [min ⁻¹]	QRS duration [ms]	QT duration [ms]	ST segment duration [ms]	Cornell voltage [mV]
C – all	65 ± 8	91 ± 9	395 ± 27	114 ± 11	1.1 ± 0.6
C – men	65 ± 7	95 ± 9	390 ± 23	111 ± 8	1.2 ± 0.8
C – women	67 ± 10	86 ± 6 [#]	402 ± 34	118 ± 13	1.0 ± 0.4
HT – all	69 ± 10	93 ± 11	394 ± 39	113 ± 15	1.5 ± 0.4
HT – men	69 ± 13	93 ± 10	384 ± 35	109 ± 15	1.5 ± 0.4
HT – women	68 ± 5	93 ± 14	412 ± 43	119 ± 14	1.3 ± 0.4
LVH – all	67 ± 11	94 ± 13	407 ± 36	118 ± 14	2.1 ± 0.8 [*]
LVH – men	70 ± 12	92 ± 10	396 ± 35	114 ± 13	2.0 ± 0.9
LVH – women	63 ± 9	95 ± 15	422 ± 33	123 ± 14	2.1 ± 0.8 [*]

^{*} p < 0.05 group HT or group C against group LVH, [#] p < 0.05 men against women of the same group




Fig. 1. Group mean isointegral maps of the ST segment and its portions. Maps represent the chest surface divided along the right axillary line. Isointegral lines mark places with equal value of voltage time integrals, maximum and minimum are marked by pertinent sign. Step between isointegral lines is 2.0 mV·ms.

The Cornell voltage was used to evaluate the left ventricular hypertrophy from the standard 12-lead electrocardiogram (Macfarlane *et al.* 2011). In the LVH group, the sum of voltages RaVL + SV₃ was higher than 2.0 mV in 7 of 11 women, but it was higher than 2.8 mV only in 1 of 14 men. The sum of voltages was lower than the limit in any other examined subject of this study (Table 2).

Concerning the maps, the mean distribution of integrals was smooth bipolar in all intervals of all examined groups (Figure 1). The maxima were always

concentrated in a relatively small area over the lower sternum, in the vicinity of the standard lead V₂, regardless the group and the examined portion of the ST segment (Figure 2).

The minima covered much larger areas. In the controls and in the HT group, they were mainly located along the spine, but also scattered over the anterior chest. In the IIM ST, the minima in the HT group were shifted significantly downwards compared to the controls. In the LVH group, the minima were located mainly in the precordium, close to the positions of the standard leads

V_5 and V_6 , but also scattered over the back. In the IIM ST, the minima in the LVH group were shifted significantly downwards compared to the controls. No statistically significant differences were found between the groups HT and LVH in the positions of extrema

(Figure 2). The only gender difference was found in the location of the IIM ST minima in the LVH group; the minima in the men were shifted slightly but significantly downwards compared to the women.




Fig. 2. The positions of the maxima and minima in individual maps of all examined groups and all examine portions of the ST segment. The short vertical lines in every map depict the positions of the sternum and the left mid-axillary line, respectively. The short horizontal line depicts the approximate position of the 5th intercostal space. The plus and the minus signs display the median positions of the maximum and the minimum, respectively.

Table 3. Extrema values of portions of the ST segment.

Group	Maximum	Minimum	Peak-to-peak
		IIM J35 [mV·ms]	
<i>C</i>	4.0 (3.1; 6.5)	-0.9 (-1.3; -0.8)	5.0 (3.9; 7.8)
<i>HT</i>	3.5 (2.5; 4.8)	-1.0 (-1.2; -0.7)	4.5 (3.4; 5.8)
<i>LVH</i>	3.6 (2.1; 4.0)	-0.9 (-1.2; -0.8)	4.6 (3.2; 5.4)
		IIM J80 [mV·ms]	
<i>C</i>	11.8 (8.6; 21.2)	-2.8 (-3.3; -2.2)	15.3 (10.8; 20.4)
<i>HT</i>	9.4 (7.4; 12.8)	-2.0 (-2.9; -1.7)	11.2 (9.3; 14.5)
<i>LVH</i>	9.5 (5.6; 11.6)	-2.3 (-2.8; -1.9)	11.7 (8.4; 13.8)
		IIM ST [mV·ms]	
<i>C</i>	24.1 (16.5; 31.7)	-4.5 (-5.3; -3.7)	28.9 (20.8; 36.6)
<i>HT</i>	14.7 (12.3; 20.7)	-3.5 (-4.4; -2.4)	18.1 (14.4; 24.2)
<i>LVH</i>	15.5 (11.0; 20.6) *	-3.4 (-4.5; -2.8)	19.5 (14.6; 25.6) *

* $p < 0.05$ group LVH against group C

The highest extrema (minima taken in their absolute value) were found in the control group (Table 3). Significant differences were between the LVH group and the controls in the IIM ST maxima and in the peak-to-peak values. When comparing the men separately, both HT and LVH groups had significantly lower maxima and peak-to-peak values than the controls in all examined maps. No significant differences were found between the women's groups. What concerns the gender differences, the men had always higher mean values than the women (minima taken in their absolute value). In the control group, it concerned all maps and all extrema. In the HT group, the maxima differed in all maps; the peak-to-peak values only in the IIM J80 and IIM ST. No significant differences were found in LVH group between men and women. No significant differences were found between the HT and the LVH group in any values of extrema.

Discussion

The ST segment portions were chosen for three reasons: the initial part of the ST segment (the first 35 ms) may be dominantly affected by the eventual J point shift; the first 80 ms were chosen to include a substantial part of the ST segment excluding the involvement of the T wave; and the whole ST segment to analyse it regardless its duration. The isointegral maps were chosen because they stress small voltage changes that last for longer time.

The distribution of potentials in the isointegral ST segment maps (IIM ST) of the control group were in accordance with data published in a previous study involving 20 healthy subjects (Ikeda *et al.* 1985). However, their values of extrema were even higher than in our study (maximum 36.0 ± 9.1 mV·ms; minimum -8.0 ± 6.5 mV·ms). Higher voltages in the precordial leads of Japanese people are in accordance with published data (Macfarlane *et al.* 2011).

In another study (Slaviček *et al.* 2001), the same parts of the ST segment as in our study (IIM J35 and IIM J80) were analysed in 24 healthy persons (age 20-36 years). Values of maxima did not differ from our values, but the minimal values were deeper (J35: -1.6 ± 0.5 mV·ms; J80: -5.3 ± 0.5 mV·ms).

The gender differences found in our study are supported not only by standard electrocardiograms mentioned in the Introduction, but also by isopotential maps of the ST segment, 80 ms after the QRS offset (Green *et al.* 1985). Men had slightly higher positive

voltages than women. The amplitudes decreased with age more slowly in women than in men. Negative potentials were recorded in vicinity of standard leads V₅ and V₆ in both women over 50 years and men over 60 years.

The main positions of maxima and minima in our isointegral maps are in accordance also with isopotential maps of the ST segment of healthy subjects and patients with left ventricular hypertrophy that were analyzed approximately 50 ms after the J point (Kornreich *et al.* 1989). They appeared in areas corresponding with our findings.

In this study, the age of the analysed groups was different, controls were younger. To avoid the age influence, we created a subgroup of 6 male controls with more comparable age 36 ± 14 y, but still significantly younger than patients (the mean age of the original male subgroup was 30 ± 12 y). The statistically significant differences of the extrema values disappeared; the extrema medians of male controls decreased by 0 % to about 15 %. Therefore, we cannot exclude the influence of a small sample size instead of a really existing difference. On the other side, a statistically significant upward shift of the control's minima in the IIM ST occurred (approximately to the 3rd intercostal space) compared to both male groups of patients – HT and LVH.

As we expected higher values of ST segment, the increased age of patients cannot be the only reason of our results. Decreased values in patients could be also partially due to their significantly higher body mass index against controls (29.4 ± 5.1 kg·m⁻² versus 23.9 ± 3.1 kg·m⁻²). The female patients were more obese than the males (31.2 ± 6.2 kg·m⁻² versus 28.1 ± 3.7 kg·m⁻²), therefore, this does not sufficiently explain our findings, as body habitus of women appears to be an unimportant variable with regard to the body surface maps despite the significant effects of body habitus of men on both voltage and distribution pattern (Green *et al.* 1985).

In spite of our expectations, we found that patients with arterial hypertension (regardless the left ventricular hypertrophy) had „flutter“ isointegral maps of the whole ST segment, as well as of its portions. Spatial distribution of voltage integrals was similar in controls and in “pure” hypertensives, while the extrema values were closer in the HT and the LVH groups. Patients with left ventricular hypertrophy exhibited shifts of integral minima. Differences could be explained neither by the influence of the age, nor by the body habitus. Therefore, more specialised studies are needed to explain these findings, for example, to compare patients in the

beginning stage of arterial hypertension to those with longer lasting arterial hypertension or to evaluate the influence of different drugs on maps.

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This study was partially supported by the grant KEGA 004UK-4/2011 from the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport, Slovak Republic. These results were partially presented at the 4th Young Biomedical Engineers and Researchers Conference – YBERC 2010, held in Košice (Slovak Republic) on July 1-3, 2010.

References

- ALTMAN GG: *Practical Statistics for Medical Research*. Chapman&Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 1999, 611 pp.
- BARR RC, SPACH MS, HERMAN-GIDDENS GS: Selection of the number and positions of measuring locations for electrocardiography. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* **18**: 125-138, 1971.
- BULAS J, MURÍN J, KOZLÍKOVÁ K: Echocardiographic characteristics of left ventricular hypertrophy. *Cardiology* **7**: 92-98, 1998.
- GREEN LS, LUX RL, HAWS CHW, WILLIAMS RR, HUNT SC, BURGESS MJ: Effects of age, sex, and body habitus on QRS and ST-T potential maps of 1100 normal subjects. *Circulation* **71**: 244-253, 1985.
- IKEDA K, KUBOTA I, YASUI S: Effects of lung volume on body surface electrocardiogram. *Jap Circ J* **49**: 284-291, 1985.
- KELLEROVÁ E, SZATHMÁRY V, KOZMANN G, HARASZTI K, TARJÁNYI Z: Spontaneous variability and reactive postural beat-to-beat changes of integral ECG body surface potential maps. *Physiol Res* **59**: 887-896, 2010.
- KHAN MG: *Rapid ECG Interpretation*. Humana Press Inc., Totowa, New Jersey, 2003, 424 pp.
- KITTNAR O, MLČEK M: Analysis of the electrical heart field. *Physiol Res* **59** (Suppl 1): S19-S24, 2010.
- KORNREICH F, MONTAGUE TJ, RAUTAHARJU PM, KAVADIAS M, HORACEK BM, TACCCARDI B: Diagnostic body surface potential map patterns in left ventricular hypertrophy during PQRST. *Am J Cardiol* **63**: 610-617, 1989.
- KOZLÍKOVÁ K: Surface integral maps, their characteristics and methods of quantitative analysis. (in Slovak) *Brat Med J* **91**: 815-823, 1990.
- KOZLÍKOVÁ K, MARTINKA J: *The Essentials of Biomedical Measurement Processing II*. (in Slovak) Asklepios, Bratislava, 2009, 204 pp.
- MACFARLANE PW: Age, sex, and the ST amplitude in health and disease. *J Electrocardiol* **34** (Suppl): 235-241, 2001.
- MACFARLANE PW, VAN OOSTEROM A, PAHLM O, KLIGFIELD P, JANSE M, CAMM J (eds): *Comprehensive Electrocardiology*. Springer-Verlag, London, 2011, 2310 pp.
- MANCIA G, DE BACKER G, DOMINICZAK A, CIFKOVA R, FAGARD R, GERMANO G, GRASSI G, ET AL.: 2007 Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. The Task Force for the Management of Arterial Hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* **28**: 1462-1536, 2007.
- MEDVEGY M, DURAY G, PINTÉR A, PRÉDA I: Body surface potential mapping: historical background, present possibilities, diagnostic challenges. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* **7**: 139-151, 2002.
- MOSTELLER RD: Simplified calculation of body-surface area. *N Engl J Med* **317**: 1098, 1987.
- RAUTAHARJU PM, SURAWICZ B, GETTES LS, BAILEY JJ, CHILDERS R, DEAL BJ, GORGELS A, ET AL.: AHA/ACCF/HRS recommendations for the standardization and interpretation of the electrocardiogram. Part IV: The ST segment, T and U waves, and the QT interval. *J Am Coll Cardiol* **53**: 982-991, 2009.
- ROŠÍK V, TYŠLER M, TURZOVÁ M: Portable device for ECG mapping. In: *Proceedings of International Conference of Measurement*. I FROLLO, A PLAČKOVÁ (eds), SAV, Bratislava, 1997, pp 367-370.
- SLAVÍČEK J, KITTNAR O, NOVÁK V, TREFNÝ Z, HORÁČEK BM: ECG body surface isointegral and isoarea maps (BSM) in 30 and 60-years-old healthy humans. *Sb Lék* **102**: 369-374, 2001.

SURAWICZ B, PARIKH SR: Differences between ventricular repolarization in men and women: description, mechanism and implications. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* **8**: 333-340, 2003.

Statgraphics® plus, version 3 for Windows. User manual. Manugistics, Inc., Rockville, 1997.
