Effects of Necrostatin-1, an Inhibitor of Necroptosis, and its Inactive Analogue Nec-1i on Basal Cardiovascular Function
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Summary
Inhibition of receptor-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase 1 (RIP1) by necrostatin-1 (Nec-1) alleviates cardiac injury due to prevention of necroptotic cell death. Its inactive analogue necrostatin-1i (Nec-1i), lacking RIP1 activity, serves as a suitable control. It is unknown if these agents influence the heart function in the absence of damaging stimuli. For this purpose, we measured intraarterial blood pressure (systolic – sBP and diastolic – dBP) and ECG parameters after a bolus administration of Nec-1 and Nec-1i in rats during 30 min. Nec-1, unlike Nec-1i, increased sBP and dBP, as well as heart rate reaching the peak at 20 min. The P wave duration tended to be decreased and the duration of the PR interval was shortened by Nec-1 indicating faster conduction of the impulses through atria to the ventricles. The drugs did not influence the QTc interval duration and no episode of ventricular arrhythmia was observed. In summary, Nec-1 temporarily modulates blood pressure and electrical function of the healthy heart. These effects of Nec-1 are likely due to its off-target action or RIP1 has an important role in the regulation of cardiovascular function independently of its action on the necroptotic pathway.
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A recently discovered cell death called necroptosis resembles some morphological features of passive necrosis and is strictly orchestrated by a complex of proteins. Precise mechanisms of necroptosis, mainly those involved in its execution, are not fully understood. However, there is increasing evidence that the activation of signaling involving receptor-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase 1 and 3 (RIP1, RIP3) and a pseudokinase mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein (MLKL) promotes dysregulation of ion homeostasis leading into membrane oncosis. As a consequence, there is plasma membrane disruption and finally the release of intracellular content into the surrounding environment eliciting the inflammatory response (Zhou and Yuan 2014, Xia et al. 2016). In addition, through disrupted membrane of the dying cells, several immunostimulatory molecules are released to stimulate immune response or activate prosurvival processes promoting wound repair (Zong and Thompson 2006).

Identifying the necroptotic RIP1-RIP3-MLKL pathway has indicated that a blockade of either of these proteins can limit the extent of this type of cell death and thus it can be a promising pharmacological target. For inhibition of RIP1, a group of agents called necrostatins (for instance necrostatin-5, -7 and the most used necrostatin-1) is used. In various models of myocardial injury, the inhibition of RIP1 by necrostatin-1 has been found to reduce cellular injury, prevent undesirable remodeling, and improve function (Adameova et al. 2016, Oerlemans et al. 2012). This agent, chemically methyl-thiohydantoin-tryptophan, also acts as an inhibitor of the potent immunomodulatory enzyme indoleamine
2,3-dioxygenase, thereby possessing a possible ability to modulate tissue injury independently of RIP1 inhibition. Its inactive variant Nec-1i, (identical to Nec-1 without a methyl group) lacking the RIP1-targeting effects is also predicted to inhibit this enzyme (Takahashi et al. 2012). Thus, immunomodulation produced by both Nec-1 and Nec-1i can influence the extent of myocardial injury and thereby causing misinterpretation of findings in some cases. In addition, it has not been tested if these agents possess additional off-target pharmacodynamic effects modulating the heart function. In this context, we investigated for the first time the effects of a bolus dose of Nec-1 and Nec-1i on blood pressure and basic electrocardiogram parameters in rats during a 30-min long period after intravenous application.

Protocol has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Pharmacy, Comenius University. All described procedures were performed in accordance with the Guide for the care and Use of Laboratory Animals, published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH publication No 85-23, revised in 1996), preceding an authorization by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Slovak Republic.

Healthy adult male Wistar rats (initial body weight 240-300 g, Dobrá Voda, SAV, SR) were housed in a controlled environment (12:12 light/dark cycle, 23±1 °C, humidity 55±5 %) and given a standard pelleted diet and water ad libitum. After adaptation period, the rats (n=5-7 per group) were randomized into 3 different groups – control (C), necrostatin-1 perfused (Nec-1) and necrostatin-1i perfused (Nec-1i).

In heparinized (2,000 IU/kg) and anesthetized rats (isoflurane, 4 % for induction, 1.2-2.0 % for maintenance), 3-lead ECG electrodes connected to a BioAmp (ADInstruments, New Zealand) were inserted subcutaneously and the left carotid artery was cannulated. Carotid catheter filled with heparin-containing saline was connected to a physiological pressure transducer linked with a PowerLab setup (ADInstruments, New Zealand). Animals were left to stabilize for at least 30 min. The right femoral vein was accessed and necrostatin-1 (0.8 mg/kg; Nec-1 group), necrostatin-1i (0.846 mg/kg; equimolar and purity adjusted; Nec-1i group) or vehicle (20 % v/v DMSO in saline; C group) was applied intravenously as a bolus over the course of around 10 sec. ECG parameters and blood pressure were monitored for 30 min.

Data are presented as deltas compared to the stabilization period in the form of mean ± SEM. Consecutive 10-min intervals were averaged to obtain the used data points (last 10 min of stabilization and minutes 0-10, 10-20 and 20-30 post injection). Group differences in variables with normal distribution were tested for by using mixed-model ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test. Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism version 6.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software, USA). Differences between groups were considered to be significant at p≤0.05.

Although Nec-1 and Nec-1i have been widely used to examine necroptosis in myocardial pathologies (Oerlemans et al. 2012, Koudstaal et al. 2015, Dmitriev et al. 2013, Smith et al. 2007, Lim et al. 2007, Miyamae et al. 2014) effects of these agents on basal function of the cardiovascular system have not been investigated so far. In this study conducted in healthy rats, delta sBP recorded at 30 min after the drug administration did not differ among the groups. However, Nec-1 induced the initial increase of sBP which was observed during the first two 10-min intervals. At the end of the experiment, the values of delta dBP were similar in all experimental groups. However, there was a gradual increase in delta dBP in the Nec-1 group reaching the significance at 20 min (Fig. 1A, B). These effects of Nec-1, which can be attributed in part to the working myocardium, are consistent with a study of Dmitriev et al. (2013), who have shown a marked initial elevation of systolic intraventricular pressure. In contrast to our findings, diastolic BP after intracoronary Nec-1 application was unchanged (Dmitriev et al. 2013).

The same pattern of changes as observed in BP was observed while measuring heart rate. Indeed, Nec-1, but not Nec-1i, induced an increase in HR reaching the peak at 20 min after the drug administration (Fig. 1C). However, it should be pointed out that in spite of this increase in HR, no ventricular tachycardia nor fibrillation was recorded at any of timepoints of the protocol. Although there were several protocol differences in comparison to ours (the used dose, application into the femoral vein vs. intracoronary application), Dmitriev et al. (2013) have also shown HR values being unchanged at 10 min after Nec-1 administration. On the other hand, as they have monitored heart function only during a short 10-min stabilization period prior to ischemia/reperfusion, it cannot be ruled out that heart rate was also changed at a later timepoint after the drug administration like seen in our hands.
Fig. 1. Effects of a bolus injection of necrostatin-1 (Nec-1) and necrostatin-1i (Nec-1i) on delta systolic (sBP) and diastolic (dBP) blood pressure (A, B) and heart rate (C) during 30 min analyzed in consecutive 10-min intervals. Data are expressed as deltas compared to the stabilization period in the form of mean ± SEM. * p≤0.05 vs. Nec-1; # p≤0.05 vs. C.

Alterations in the duration of certain ECG parameters, both shortening and prolongation, is known to produce electrical instability and the probability of arrhythmias development. After Nec-1 injection, the P wave duration, an indicator of atrial depolarization, tended to be shortened during the entire 30-min period. In contrast, Nec-1i did not alter the duration of atrial depolarization. ECG analysis further revealed that the duration of PR interval, referring to atrioventricular conduction velocity to the ventricle, was shorter in the Nec-1 group during 20 min after injection as compared to the group treated with Nec-1i. The QT interval duration, which is precisely monitored because of its association with ventricular tachyarrhythmias (Shah 2010), was unchanged by neither of the drug (not shown). Likewise, the QT interval corrected to heart rate (QTc) did not significantly differ among the groups, albeit a mild non-significant prolongation was observed at 10-min point in the Nec-1 group as compared to control group (Fig. 2A, B).

The data presented in this study indicate that only Nec-1 temporarily modifies basal sBP, dBP, HR and some ECG parameters and that Nec-1i–treated group does not significantly differ from the control group treated with a vehicle. Thus, it can be hypothesized that Nec-1 possess off-target RIP1-independent activity or that RIP1 has additional effects directly regulating cardiovascular function. As Nec-1i, extremely similar to Nec-1 with no ability to inhibit RIP1, has not influenced hemodynamic nor electrical activity of the heart, the latter option rather than the former one explains these findings. Indeed, changes observed in this study might suggest the possibility of Nec-1 being capable of modulating the autonomic nervous system, likely the sympathetic arm which directly regulates both heart function and vascular tone. A critical role of RIP1 has been highlighted in a study showing that RIP1 knockout causes postnatal lethality (Kelliher et al. 1998), thereby indicating its prerequisite for vital function of the organism. In line, a recent study has suggested that RIP3, being a downstream target of RIP1, activates CaMKII (Zhang et al. 2016) which is known to phosphorylate and thus regulate excitation-contraction coupling of the heart (Luo et al. 2013). The link between CaMKII, RIPs, necroptotic cell death and the force of contractile function has been proposed for the first time by our group (Szobi et al. 2014). In fact, we have found that inhibition of CaMKII normalizes the upregulation of RIP1 levels and that these changes are linked with the improved contraction cycle in I/R heart.

From the foregoing discussion it is plausible that RIP1, besides its participation in signaling promoting cell death, is implicated in pathways regulating both pacemaker cells and nonpacemaker cardiomyocytes responsible for regulation of blood pressure. While the former action of RIP1 is mainly relevant in the presence of pathological impulse, the latter might be continuously active and independent of particular heart conditions.
Fig. 2. Modulation of electrical activity of the heart due to administration of necrostatin-1 (Nec-1) and necrostatin-1i (Nec-1i). 
A) Representative ECG tracings of a control (C), Nec-1-treated (Nec-1) and Nec-1i-treated rat indicating the P wave, PR interval and 
RR abnormalities. B) Changes in the duration of the P wave, PR interval, and QT interval corrected to heart rate (QTc). Data are 
expressed as deltas compared to the stabilization period in the form of mean ± SEM. * p≤0.05 vs. Nec-1, # p≤0.05 vs. C.
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