Ivabradine does not Impair Anxiety-Like Behavior and Memory in Both Healthy and L-NAME-Induced Hypertensive Rats
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Summary
Cardiovascular pathologies are frequently associated with anxiety and other behavioral disturbances. Ivabradine, an inhibitor of the hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated channels in the sinoatrial node, decreases heart rate and provides cardiovascular protection. Although ivabradine is increasingly used in cardiovascular medicine, the data on its behavioral effects are lacking. The aim of this work was to show ivabradine's potential effect on behavior in healthy and hypertensive rats. After a four-week treatment period, systolic blood pressure was increased in the N(G)-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME)-group and ivabradine significantly reduced it. Furthermore, it reduced the heart rate in both the control and L-NAME-group. In the control group, ivabradine enhanced the time spent in and transition to the open arms of the elevated plus maze test (EPM). In the L-NAME-group, ivabradine does not show a significant effect on the time spent in the EPM open arms and the number of transitions into them. Furthermore, ivabradine has no impact on cognitive function in both control and L-NAME groups. We conclude that ivabradine showed no undesirable effects on anxiety, locomotion or learning; in fact, some of these parameters were even improved. For the first time it has been shown that ivabradine is a safe cardiovascular drug regarding its effect on psycho-behavioral manifestations.
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Introduction
Cardiovascular diseases are closely linked to psychological alterations and behavioral disturbances. The relation between cardiac diseases and mental disorders seems to be of a bivalent nature. Hemodynamic disorders associated with neurohumoral imbalance may induce autonomic system changes and psychoemotional alterations; on the other hand, psychosocial stressors, anxiety and conflict situations may be a triggering factor for different cardiovascular pathologies. Thus, anxiety and hypertension are considered to be mutually interdependent entities (Aziriova et al. 2014, Aziriova et al. 2016, Malyszczak and Rymaszewska 2016). Elevated heart rate (HR) is a neglected risk factor of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in various cardiovascular pathologies and even among the healthy population (Palatini et al. 2016, Simko et al. 2016, Javorka et al. 2017, Baka and Simko 2018). Treatment by beta-blockers is beneficial for heart failure (HF) or ischemic heart disease, predominantly due to its...
bradycardic and sympatholytic effects (Ponikowski et al. 2016), whereas in hypertension with tachycardia the effect of HR reduction did not bring obvious benefits (Simko and Adamcova 2018). It has been suggested that HR is a mediator of vascular effects induced by chronic stress (Tonhajzerova and Mestanik 2017). Chronic stress impairs endothelial function (Puzserova and Bernatova 2016) and accelerates ischemic brain damage in mice. HR reduction protects against cerebral ischemia by improving endothelial function and reducing the oxidative burden (Custodis et al. 2011). Ivabradine, a new drug acting via a hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated channel blockade with selective inhibition of I<sub>f</sub> channel in the sinoatrial node reducing spontaneous pacemaker activity, showed benefits on morbidity and mortality in HF patients (Swedberg et al. 2010). Moreover, its pleiotropic effect on the vasculature, heart and kidneys (Navaratnarajah et al. 2013, Kleinbongard et al. 2015, Simko et al. 2015) may bring benefits in several off-labelled indications (Oliphant et al. 2016), such as hypertensive heart disease. Ivabradine does not interfere with the sympathetic nervous system, thus avoiding the negative inotropic effect of beta-blockers (Camici et al. 2016). Therefore, it appears to be a promising drug for investigating the impact of pure HR modification on cardiovascular events (Kang et al. 2017).

These attributes of ivabradine could be considered as a hopeful therapeutic strategy for hypertensive patients with elevated HR (Palatini et al. 2016).

Although ivabradine is increasingly used in cardiovascular medicine, the data on its behavioral effects are completely lacking. The aim of our work was to show potential ivabradine-induced behavior modifications in healthy rats and rats with hypertension induced by the chronic administration of N(G)-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME).

**Material and methods**

**Animals and treatment**

Adult (12-week-old) male Wistar rats were randomly divided into four groups (n=10 per group): controls (ctrl), rats treated with ivabradine (Procoralan®, Servier, 10 mg/kg/24 h, Iva), L-NAME (Sigma Chemical Co., 40 mg/kg/24 h, LN), and L-NAME plus ivabradine in corresponding doses (LN+Iva). The substances were administered per os in tap water for the four weeks of the experiment.

Animals were housed in individual cages with a controlled environment of 12 h/12 h light/dark rhythm, 150 lux light intensity, 21±2 °C temperature, 55±10 % humidity and fed with a regular pellet diet ad libitum. The investigation complied with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 8523, revised 1985). The experiment was approved by the ethical committee of the Institute of Pathophysiology, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovak Republic and the State Veterinary Authority of the Slovak Republic (approval number: 1306/14-221).

Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and HR were measured by non-invasive tail-cuff plethysmography after 4 weeks of treatment. During the measurements, the animals were placed in a tunnel-like box, and showed no signs of stress.

Behavioral tests were conducted after four weeks of treatment before euthanasia by decapitation. Behavioral testing was performed during two days. On the first day the open field (OF), elevated-plus maze (EPM) and light-dark box (LDB) tests were accomplished, and after 24 h the novel object recognition (NOR) tests were performed. During the days of behavioral testing the animals were not exposed to SBP and HR measurements. Behavioral testing was performed during the light period of day (between 6 a.m.-6 p.m.).

**Behavioral testing**

Anxiety-like behavior was assessed through the use of a battery of different tests in the following order: OF, EPM and LDB. Memory was evaluated by the NOR test (Holajova and Franek 2018). The animals were tested in the fourth week of treatment. Behavioral analysis was performed using Ethovision XT 10 tracking software (Noldus, Wageningen, Netherlands).

The OF apparatus consisted of a 1 × 1 m square located in a dimly lit room. The OF arena was illuminated by a 50 lux light attached on one side and virtually divided into a central part (50 × 50 cm) and a border zone. The starting zone was the central part of the OF, and each animal was allowed to explore the arena for 5 min. The time spent in the central part (when the animal had all four paws in the zone) was assessed as a parameter of anti-anxiety behavior. After each animal was measured, the arena was cleaned with 60 % ethanol to remove olfactory cues.

The EPM testing was performed in an apparatus with four arms (elevated 0.5 m above floor). Two of the arms were open and two were closed by walls
(0.3 m high). The EPM was positioned in a dimly lit room, the light intensity was about 50 lux in the open arms and 0 lux in the closed arms. The rats were placed in the central junction and observed for 5 min. The time spent in the open arms was assessed as a parameter of anti-anxiety behavior. A rat was considered to be in the open arm only if all four paws were in the open arm of the maze. In addition, the number of entries to particular arms was recorded. After each animal was measured, the apparatus was cleaned with 60 % ethanol to remove olfactory cues.

The LDB test was performed in an apparatus (0.3 × 0.5 m) divided into two parts. The light part was illuminated (50 lux) and the dark part was closed with a lid (0 lux). The rats were placed in the light area and observed for 5 min. The time spent in the light area was assessed as a parameter of anti-anxiety behavior (Racek et al. 2018). After each animal was measured, the apparatus was cleaned with 60 % ethanol to remove olfactory cues.

The NOR test was performed as described previously (Havranek et al. 2015). The OF test was considered a habituation to the apparatus. Thus, NOR tests were performed 24 h after OF test. The NOR test consisted of a sample trial (T1) and a test trial (T2), each of which had a 5 min duration, separated by a 1-hour retention interval. During T1, the animals were confronted with two objects (a plastic bottle and a metallic bottle) placed diagonally across from each other in the corners of the arena. The time spent exploring object 1 (the plastic bottle) and 2 (the metallic bottle) was marked a1 and a2, respectively. During T2, one object (the plastic bottle) was left (time a3) and the other bottle was replaced by a novel object (a glass bottle, time b). Before each trial, the objects and platform were cleaned with 60 % ethanol to remove olfactory cues. During the trial, the animals could freely explore the arena and objects, and the time spent interacting with each individual object during T1 and T2 was recorded and analyzed. Several variables were calculated based on the time spent exploring each object. The values e1 and e2 are measures of the total time spent investigating both objects during T1 and T2 (e1 = a1 + a2; e2 = a3 + b). The d1 index measures the absolute difference between the sample and the novel object (d1 = b – a3). The d2 index is a relative measure of discrimination corrected for the level of exploration in the test trial (e2; d2 = d1/e2) and the d3 index shows the proportion of e2 devoted to the novel object (d3 = b/e2). All parameters were calculated as previously described (Akkerman et al. 2012).

**Statistical analysis**

The results are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. Differences were significant if the p-value was less than 0.05. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to detect whether the data were distributed normally. All data were normally distributed. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a LSD post hoc test was used in the normally distributed data for statistical analysis purposes. The Pearson correlation was used to indicate the relationship between SBP and HR with parameters of anxiety-like behavior and memory in normally distributed data.

**Results**

**Hemodynamic parameters after four weeks of treatment**

Systolic blood pressure was 123.6±2.1 mm Hg in the control group after four weeks of treatment and increased in the LN-group (by 47.8 %, p<0.05). Ivabradine had no effect on SBP in the controls but SBP was reduced by ivabradine in the LN-group (by 14.8 %, p<0.05) (Fig. 1A).

![Fig. 1. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) (A) and heart rate (B) after four weeks of treatment. The effect of ivabradine on SBP and heart rate in controls (Iva) and L-NAME-induced hypertension (LN+Iva). ctrl, control; Iva, ivabradine; LN, L-NAME; * p<0.05 vs. ctrl, # p<0.05 vs. LN.](image-url)
Heart rate was 357.2±4.5 bpm in the control group after four weeks of treatment and remained unchanged in the LN-group. Ivabradine decreased HR in the control group as well as in the LN-group (by 19.3 %, \( p<0.05 \), and 13.3 %, \( p<0.05 \), respectively) (Fig. 1B).

**Open field test**

Locomotion in the control group was 1,863.9±100.9 cm after four weeks of treatment and L-NAME administration had no effect. Ivabradine showed a trend to decrease the locomotor activity in the control group (by 15.7 %, ns) but had no effect in LN-group. Rearing activity in the control group was 55.3±3.6 s after four weeks of treatment, while L-NAME had no effect. Ivabradine decreased rearing activity in the control group (by 22.6 %, \( p<0.05 \)) but not in LN-group.

In the control group, the time spent in the central square of the OF was 15.9±3.0 s after four weeks of treatment; L-NAME had no effect. Ivabradine showed a trend to increase the time spent in the central square of the OF in the control group (by 16.4 %, ns), but had no effect in the LN-group. There were no differences between groups in average speed and zone transitions in the OF.

**Elevated-plus maze test**

In the control group, the percentage of time spent in the open arms of the EPM was 15.8±4.3 %; and there was a trend to increase in the LN-group (by 81.9 %, ns). Ivabradine increased the percentage of time spent in the open arms of the EPM in the control group (by 100.7 %, \( p<0.05 \)), and showed a trend to decrease it in the LN-group (by 32.5 %, ns) (Fig. 2A).

The number of transitions to the open arms of the EPM was 19.7±2.6 in the control group and was not affected by L-NAME. Ivabradine had no influence on the number of transitions in the control group, while L-NAME slightly decreased them (by 23.4 %, \( p<0.05 \)) (Fig. 2B).

There were no differences between groups in average speed in the EPM.

**Light-dark box test**

In the control group, the percentage of time spent in the light area of the LDB was 19.2±3.1 %; and showed a trend to increase in the LN-group (by 34.3 %, ns). Ivabradine did not change the percentage of time spent in the light area of the LDB.
spent in the light area of the LDB in the control group or in the LN-group (Fig. 2C).

The number of transitions to the light area of the LDB was 11.1±1.5 in the control group while L-NAME increased the number of transitions (by 36.4 %, *p*<0.05). Ivabradine did not change the number of transitions in the control group or the LN-group (Fig. 2D).

There were no differences between groups in average speed in the LDB.

**Novel object recognition test**

During first object-exposure, there were no differences between groups in total exploratory activity (e1) (Fig. 3A). In the second trial, after one-hour retention, a slightly higher preference for the new object (d1) was observed in the Iva-group (by 60.0 %, ns) (Fig. 3B). The relative level of discrimination corrected for total activity (d2) was slightly increased in the Iva-group (by 72.7 %, ns) (Fig. 3C). The proportion of time devoted to the novel object compared to the time spent investigating both objects (d3) showed no change (Fig. 3D).

**Correlations between systolic blood pressure or heart rate with behavioral parameters in groups with ivabradine**

In the Iva group, there was a strong negative correlation between SBP after four weeks of treatment and the time spent in the light area in LDB (*r*=-0.923, *p*=0.000) (Fig. 4A). There were no other correlations between SBP or HR and other parameters of the behavior in the Iva group (Fig. 4B-4H).

**Discussion**

Virtually every cardiovascular pathology manifested by hemodynamic and metabolic changes may be related to concomitant psychological or psychiatric disturbances. The cardiovascular disease itself and its negative psychological impact may result in sadness, anxiety, chronic stress or depression (Ivanovs *et al*.* 2018, Trebatická *et al*. 2017). On the other hand, cardiovascular drugs may either alleviate or exacerbate these psychological and behavioral alterations. It is of utmost importance to know the potential pro- or antidepressant or anxiolytic nature of pharmacological substances.
Fig. 4. Correlations between systolic blood pressure (SBP) and heart rate (HR) after four weeks of treatment with parameters of anxiety-like behaviour (A, B, C, E, F, G) and memory (D, H) in ivabradine group. r, Pearson correlation coefficient; p, p-value; LDB, light-dark box; EPM, elevated plus maze; OF, open field; NOR d1, exploration of novel object in the novel object recognition test.
Although the possible behavioral effects of angiotensin, aldosterone and their inhibition by variable substrates are generally known (Hlavacova et al. 2010, Krskova et al. 2009), the data on the ivabradine’s behavioral manifestations are completely lacking. To date, only the work by our group regarding the effect of ivabradine on food and water intake, body weight gain, and exploratory activity has been published (Aziriova et al. 2016).

This experiment demonstrated that in the control group ivabradine enhanced the time spent in the open arms of the EPM and showed a trend to increase the number of transitions into the open arms. In addition, it did not show a negative impact on the cognitive function. Determining the effect of ivabradine or any other cardiovascular drug in non-diseased individuals is of utmost importance, since a discernible number of patients have false diagnoses and their inappropriate placement in long-course therapy may potentially induce iatrogenic psychological alterations. It seems that ivabradine has no adverse effects and might even have anxiolytic effects on behavior.

The model of L-NAME-induced hypertension has been chosen for testing the ivabradine’s effects from several reasons. First, in general, this is one of the best established models of experimental hypertension with proven end-stage organ damage. The situation in the L-NAME model is complex. L-NAME is a non-specific inhibitor of nitric oxide synthase resulting in endothelial dysfunction with decreased NO production in various organs including the brain (Bernatova et al. 1999), hypertension development, and fibrotic remodeling of the left ventricle, kidney, or aorta (Pechanova et al. 1997, Bernatova 2000), spironolactone (Simko et al. 2007), L-arginine (Simko et al. 2005), simvastatin (Simko et al. 2004) and melatonin (Paulis et al. 2009, Paulis et al. 2010, Simko et al. 2010). Thus the comparison of the acquired results is possible. On the other hand, the complexity of the pathophysiological background of this model may be due to the fact that the data regarding psychoemotional manifestation vary significantly – from anxiogenic-like effects (Vale et al. 1998, Czech et al. 2003, Zarrindast et al. 2011) to anxiolytic and antidepressant actions (Guimaraes et al. 1994, Deep et al. 2016). These differences in behavioral manifestations observed in various laboratories may be related to the various dose of L-NAME (ranging from 10 to 60 mg/kg), different period of L-NAME application or different rat age and strain used. In our experiment, four-week L-NAME (40 mg/kg) administration has no effect on behavior and ivabradine did not deteriorate the behavioral parameters in comparison to the control or L-NAME group in any test. We have investigated the potential correlations between SBP and HR on the one hand and EPM, LDB, and NOR parameters on the other one in the Iva group (Fig. 4). No correlations between hemodynamic and behavioral parameters were detected. The only exception was a significant correlation between SBP and LDB light area time (Fig. 4A), however there was no significant change between the control and ivabradine group in this parameter. Altogether, these data seem to indicate that behavioral changes are not related to SBP or HR alterations and might be more tightly bound to the pleiotropic action of ivabradine (on endothelium, mitochondrial metabolism or oxidative stress) or to neurohumoral alterations in the L-arginine-NO cascade or renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system.

This neutral effect of ivabradine on behavior may be of significant clinical benefit. In a number of clinical situations with increased heart rate, beta-blocker inhibiting the receptor-mediated tachycardic effect of the sympathetic nervous system has been the drug of choice. However, the potentially desirable bradycardic and blood pressure reducing effects of beta-blockers may be counterbalanced by their negative metabolic actions (like hyperuricemia and dysliporoteinemia). Moreover, treatment with beta-blockers seems to reduce the
nocturnal melatonin secretion through the blockade of \( \beta_1 \)-receptors in the central nervous system related to sleep disturbances and nightmares (Brismar et al. 1987, Gleiter et al. 1996). In patients with the need of HR reduction, ivabradine, which seems to be metabolically inert and not inducing psychical disturbances, could make it possible to replace beta-blockers or at least to reduce their dose by concomitant treatment.

In conclusion, it was shown for the first time that ivabradine seems to be a safe cardiovascular drug regarding its effect on psycho-behavioral manifestations.
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