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Summary

The aim of this study was to determine the effects of insulin infusion on oxidative stress induced by acute changes in glycemia in non-stressed hereditary hypertriglyceridemic rats (hHTG) and Wistar (control) rats. Rats were treated with glucose and either insulin or normal saline infusion for 3 hours; 90 minutes of hyperglycemic (12 mmol/l) and 90 minutes of euglycemic (6 mmol/l) clamp. Levels of total glutathione (GSH), oxidized glutathione (GSSG) and total antioxidant capacity (AOC) were determined to assess oxidative stress. In steady states of each clamp, glucose infusion rate (GIR) was calculated for evaluation of insulin sensitivity. GIR (mg.kg\(^{-1}\).min\(^{-1}\)) was significantly lower in hHTG in comparison with Wistar rats; 25.46 (23.41 - 28.45) vs. 36.30 (27.49 - 50.42) on glycemia 6 mmol/l and 57.18 (50.78 - 60.63) vs. 68.00 (63.61 - 85.92) on glycemia 12 mmol/l. GSH/GSSG ratios were significantly higher in hHTG rats at basal conditions. Further results showed that, unlike in Wistar rats, insulin infusion significantly increases GSH/GSSG ratios in hHTG rats: 10.02 (9.90 - 11.42) vs. 6.01 (5.83 - 6.43) on glycemia 6 mmol/l and 7.42 (7.15 - 7.89) vs. 6.16 (5.74 - 7.05) on glycemia 12 mmol/l. Insulin infusion thus positively influences GSH/GSSG ratio and that way reduces intracellular oxidative stress in insulinoresistant animals.
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Introduction

Oxidative stress is a common pathogenic factor for the dysfunction of β and endothelial cells. There is evidence that β-cell dysfunction results from prolonged exposure to high glucose, elevated free fatty acids level, or a combination of both (Evans et al. 2003). β-cells are particularly sensitive to reactive oxygen species (ROS) due to inadequate expression of free-radical quenching (antioxidant) enzymes such as catalase, glutathione peroxidase, and superoxide dismutase (Tiedge et al. 1997). The capability of oxidative stress to damage mitochondria and ultimately decrease insulin secretion is therefore obvious (Robertson et al. 2003). It has been demonstrated that oxidative stress generated by short exposure of β-cell preparations to H$_2$O$_2$ increases production of p21$^{phox}$ and decreases flux of insulin mRNA, cytosolic ATP, and calcium into cytosol and mitochondria (Maechler et al. 1999). The key role of increased glucose metabolism in impairing β-cell function through oxidative stress has been recently confirmed. Intracellular ROS increased 15 minutes after exposure to high glucose level, and this effect was blunted by inhibitors of the mitochondrial function (Sakai et al. 2003). Glucose-induced insulin secretion was also suppressed by H$_2$O$_2$, a chemical substitute for ROS (Sakai et al. 2003). Interestingly, the first phase of glucose-induced insulin secretion could be suppressed by 50 µM H$_2$O$_2$, H$_2$O$_2$ or high glucose suppressed the activity of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), a glycolytic enzyme, and inhibitors of the mitochondrial function abolished the latter effects. These data suggest that high glucose concentrations induce mitochondrial ROS, which suppresses the first phase of glucose-induced insulin secretion, at least in part, through the suppression of GAPDH activity (Sakai et al. 2003). These results have been confirmed in vivo. In subjects with normal glucose tolerance, glutathione infusion failed to affect beta-cell response to glucose (Paolisso et al. 1992). In contrast, glutathione significantly potentiated glucose-induced insulin secretion in patients with impaired glucose tolerance (Paolisso et al.
Furthermore, when the latter group was studied in the condition of hyperglycemic clamp, glutathione infusion significantly potentiated the β-cell response to glucose when plasma glucose levels varied between 10 and 15 mmol/l (Paolisso et al 1992). Indeed, many studies show that high glucose concentrations induce endothelial dysfunction. In vitro, the direct role of hyperglycemia has been suggested by evidence that arteries isolated from normal animals and subsequently exposed to exogenous hyperglycemia exhibit attenuated endothelium-dependent relaxation (Bohlen et al 1993). Consistently, in vivo studies have also shown that hyperglycemia directly induces endothelial dysfunction in diabetic and nondiabetic subjects (Giugliano et al 1997, Kawano et al 1999). The role of free radical generation in production of the hyperglycemia-dependent endothelial dysfunction is suggested by studies showing that in vitro (Tesfamariam et al 1992) and in vivo (Marfella et al 1995, Ting et al 1996) the acute effects of hyperglycemia are counterbalanced by antioxidants. Recent studies have demonstrated that single process of superoxide overproduction by the mitochondrial electron transport chain induced by hyperglycemia seems to play key role in the activation of all other pathways involved in the pathogenesis of endothelial dysfunction (Nishikawa et al 2000, Garcia et al 2001).

Prague hereditary hypertriglyceridemic rats (hHTG) were originally derived from Wistar rat colony (Vrána et al 1990). The hHTG rat is a strain expressing hereditary hypertriglyceridemia as in human familiar hypercholesterolemia and associates hyperinsulinemia and hypertension (Vrána et al 1990, Reaven 1993). This rat strain is a relevant model of familiar hypercholesterolemia and metabolic syndrome, available for the assessment of lipoprotein metabolism and insulin resistance (Ueno et al 2004, Zicha et al 2006).

The aim of our study was to assess whether increased production of reactive oxygen species induced by different glycemia levels, could be reversed by exogenously delivered
insulin. Prague hereditary hypertriglyceridemic rats with metabolic syndrome and age-matched healthy control Wistar rats were used for this purpose.

**Material and methods**

Male Wistar rats (n = 20) were provided by Charles River Breeding Laboratories and hHTG rats (n = 20) were provided by doctor Kazdová (IKEM, Prague). The rats were maintained at a constant temperature (23±1º C), with a fixed 12-hr artificial light period. They were housed in stainless steel cages (6 rats per cage) with free access to water and standard chow. At the beginning of the experiment, the rats were aged 3 months. The euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic glucose clamp technique (EHC) and hyperglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp (HHC) were used to study insulin sensitivity. All procedures and experimental protocols were approved by the local animal Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine Charles University in Pilsen.

The euglycemic clamp procedure was performed according to previously described technique (De Fronzo et al 1979). After intraperitoneal anesthesia (ketamin 100 mg/kg i.p. + xylazin 16 mg/kg i.p.), a small incision was made 0.5 cm from the cervical midline and at the level of the forelegs, and the internal jugular vein was exposed. After superior ligation, the vessel was catheterized with silastic tubing, carotid artery was catheterized on the same side. The catheters were tunneled subcutaneously and emerged on the dorsal side of the neck. All skin incisions were closed with a 3-0 thread and the catheters were filled with a heparin (concentration 100 U/ml). The catheters required no more care before the study.

The metabolic experiment was performed 48 hrs after surgery on food-deprived conscious rats. At the beginning of the experiment, two successive blood samples were taken at time -10 min and 0 min for measurement of basal glycemia. Insulin (Actrapid, Novo Nordisk, Denmark) and 30% glucose were then infused; the rate of glucose infusion was
corrected manually every 5 min to maintain desired level of glycemia, the rate of insulin infusion was 0.05 IU.kg\(^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1}\). Each glycemia was maintained for 90 minutes. In control group normal saline instead of insulin was delivered. Blood samples of 1 ml each were taken at time 0 min, 90 min and 180 min. A total of 3.2 ml of blood (including laboratory measurements and glycemia testing) was withdrawn during the experiment for all measurements. Glycemia measurements were performed by the glucose oxidase method using a glucose analyzer (HemoCue, Sweden). During insulin administration glucose infusion rate (GIR in mg.kg\(^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1}\)) was calculated for evaluation of insulin sensitivity. Rats were divided into eight groups of 5 rats each and underwent study experiment as described in Fig. 1.

**Measurement of plasma triglycerides, insulin, albumin, uric acid**

Plasma triglyceride levels were measured by the auto analyzer (BM Hitachi, 717, Meylan, France) using a kit Dialab D96386 (Dialab, Czech Republic). Plasma insulin was estimated by rat ELISA kit (Lincoplex, USA). Albumin was measured using Human 10560 kit (Human Gesellschaft, Germany). Uric acid was measured using DOT AU803 kit (DOT, Czech Republic).

**Determination of antioxidant components**

Total glutathione (GSH and GSSG) levels were determined colorimetrically (Bioxytech, USA). Total antioxidant capacity (AOC) was measured by Total Antioxidant Status (Randox, United Kingdom) using Hitachi 717 analyzer (\(\lambda=600\text{nm}\)).

**Statistical analysis**

Analysis of variance was used to compare multiple group means. When the data were not normally distributed, the Kruskal-Wallis test was performed to compare multiple group
means, followed by the Mann-Whitney test. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All data are expressed as median and interquartile range.

Results

Cross-over study design was used to eliminate the impact of different glycemia sequences on measured parameters and subsequently, corresponding measurements at each glycemia level were merged. Baseline body weight and hematocrit levels were similar in all experimental groups (data not shown). Wistar and hHTG groups significantly differed in triglycerides and uric acid levels. Data are shown in Table 1.

Insulin sensitivity presented as glucose infusion rate (GIR) was significantly lower in hHTG rats on both glycemia levels in comparison with Wistar rats (Fig. 2). GIR (mg.kg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$) was 25.46 (23.41 - 28.45) vs 36.30 (27.49 - 50.42) on glycemia 6 mmol/l and 57.18 (50.78 - 60.63) vs. 68.00 (63.61 - 85.92) on glycemia 12 mmol/l suggesting that hHTG rats were insulin resistant without prior high fructose diet stimulation.

Antioxidant capacity (AOC) did not differ between hHTG and Wistar rats at basal conditions. Moreover, different glycemia levels during clamp did not increase AOC in individual groups nor made any statistically significant changes in AOC between hHTG and Wistar rats (Table 2).

GSH/GSSG ratios were significantly higher among all hHTG groups in comparison with controls (Table 4). Insulin infusion significantly increased GSH/GSSG ratio in hHTG group on glycemia 6 mmol/l, and this effect was partially diminished by increasing glycemia level to 12 mmol/l (Table 3). There were no significant changes in Wistar groups (Table 4). Quotients of GSH/GSSG ratios were used to distinguish between insulin and glycemia effects on redox state, the individual quantities were measured under insulin and normal saline infusions along with corresponding glycemia. As shown in Table 4, GSH/GSSG ratio
improved almost twice in hHTG group on glycemia 6 mmol/l treated with insulin, while this effect was not observed in Wistar group. The decrease of GSH/GSSG ratio induced by hyperglycemia 12 mmol/l in hHTG group was significantly reversed by insulin infusion and again, this effect was not observed in Wistar group (Table 3 and 4). Insulin infusion did not have any significant effect regardless of glycemia levels in the control Wistar group (Table 4).

**Discussion**

Prague hereditary hypertriglyceridemic rats represent a model of nonobese metabolic syndrome with hypertension, hypertriglyceridemia and insulinoresistance (Vrána and Kazdová 1990, Štolba et al. 1992). All these metabolic changes can be aggravated by high carbohydrate intake. hHTG rats were insulinoresistant according to measured GIR during clamps even without prior high fructose diet stimulation. Insulinoresistance of hHTG rats was also followed by higher baseline triglyceride and uric acid levels.

Total antioxidant capacity (AOC) did not significantly change during clamps in both (Wistar and hHTG) animal strains and did not depend on glycemia nor insulin infusion. These results are in agreement with our previous findings (Kyselová et al 2002).

Plasma lipid peroxidation intermediates were not measured due to our previous findings where only minimal changes in TBARS (thiobarbituric acid reactive substances) levels during clamps were observed (Kyselová et al 2002). Non-significant changes of malondialdehyde levels were also observed in type 2 diabetics during hyperinsulinemic clamp (Bravi et al 2006).

Baseline GSH/GSSG ratios as a marker of intracellular oxidative stress in hHTG rats were significantly higher then those in control Wistar rats. These changes are probably caused by chronic activation of antioxidant mechanisms in insulinoresistant animals where higher production of reactive oxygen species is present. GSH/GSSG ratios remained higher in hHTG
rats during the clamps regardless of insulin infusion. The insulin infusion in hHTG rats was capable to increase GSH/GSSG ratio much higher above values reached without insulin. Moreover, insulin infusion was capable of reversing observed decrease of GSH/GSSG ratio induced by hyperglycemia. In contrary, no significant changes in GSH/GSSG ratios were observed in Wistar rats, model of normal metabolic conditions.

These changes could be explained by insulin infusion, because insulin suppresses proinflammatory transcription factors (Dandona et al. 2001, Aljada et al. 2002), ROS generation, and the expression of p47phox which is a key component of NADPH oxidase, enzyme that generates the superoxide radical (Dandona et al. 2001, Van den Berghe et al. 2001). Other important effects of insulin are vasodilatation and inhibition of platelet aggregation (Grover et al. 1995, Steinberg et al. 1994, Trovati et al. 1994). These effects are mediated by an increase in nitric oxide (NO) release and NO synthase activity in the endothelium and the platelet (Aljada et al. 2000, Zeng et al. 1996).

Glucose, on the other hand, has a proinflammatory effect, which occurs even without increasing of plasma glucose concentrations into the pathological range and in spite of endogenous insulin secretion (Mohanty et al. 2000, Dhindsa et al. 2004). Glucose activates key proinflammatory transcription factors suppressed by insulin (Dhindsa et al. 2004, Van den Berghe et al. 2001) and induces an increase in ROS generation and an increase in p47phox expression, which is consistent with an increase in NADPH oxidase (Mohanty et al. 2000). The bioavailability of NO is reduced due to the increased level of superoxide radical, which transforms NO to peroxynitrite. Thus, hyperglycemia induces oxidative stress, inflammation, vascular constriction, platelet hyperaggregability, and thrombosis.

Kuneš et al. (2004) proved a greater degree of relative NO deficiency in male hHTG rats compared to normotensive Lewis controls. It is known, that oxidative stress is accompanied by superoxide radical overproduction. Rapid reaction of NO with superoxide
radical effectively decreases intracellular level of NO below levels needed for activation of guanylylcyclase and that way changes superoxide function to NO antagonist (Fridovich 1995, Beckman and Koppenol 1996). In such situations where superoxide radicals exceed NO levels, peroxynitrite or hydroxyl radical forming could be initiated (Brune at al 1990). Stimulation of NO synthase by insulin infusion with increased NO levels could than explain increased GSH/GSSG ratio in hHTG rats.

In the last few years, many studies have shown benefit of insulin infusions during cardiac surgery (Furnary et al 2003), in patients with burns (Jeschke et al 2004), and in those in medical Intensive Care Units (Krinsley 2004, Langouche et al 2005).

These results let us conclude, that insulin is capable of reducing intracellular oxidative stress through increased GSH/GSSG ratio. Bravi et al (2006) showed, that insulin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus is capable of increasing GSH/GSSG ratio in erythrocytes after 2 hours of incubation with insulin, and in vivo during euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp. Our findings of increased GSH/GSSG ratio in hHTG rats infused with insulin are in agreement with this suggestion. Thus, insulin infusion per se, rather then normoglycemia, positively influences GSH/GSSG ratio and that way reduces intracellular oxidative stress in insulinoresistant animals.
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Figure 1

Study design

Clamp 1: HHC in continuation 90 min and subsequently HHC in continuation 90 min

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycemia 6 mmol/l</th>
<th>Glycemia 12 mmol/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T = 90 min</td>
<td>T = 90 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0 min — 90 min — 180 min

↑ Blood samples

Clamp 2: HHC in continuation 90 min and subsequently EHC in continuation 90 min

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycemia 12 mmol/l</th>
<th>Glycemia 6 mmol/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T = 90 min</td>
<td>T = 90 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0 min — 90 min — 180 min

↑ Blood samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insulin infusion (n = 5)</th>
<th>Clamp 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hHTG (n=20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insulin infusion (n = 5)</th>
<th>Clamp 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal saline infusion (n = 5)</td>
<td>Clamp 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Normal saline infusion (n = 5) | Clamp 2 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insulin infusion (n = 5)</th>
<th>Clamp 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wistar (n=20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insulin infusion (n = 5)</th>
<th>Clamp 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal saline infusion (n = 5)</td>
<td>Clamp 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Normal saline infusion (n = 5) | Clamp 2 |
Table 1
Baseline characteristics of hHTG and Wistar rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Albumin (g/l)</th>
<th>Plasma Triglycerides (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Uric acid (umol/l)</th>
<th>GSH/GSSG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>hHTG - insulin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36.2 (35.6 - 36.7)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.41 - 0.50)</td>
<td>29.0 (25.0 - 43.8)</td>
<td>9.43 (7.60 - 10.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>hHTG - normal saline</strong></td>
<td>37.1 (36.5 - 37.4)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.40 - 0.49)</td>
<td>28.57 (23.8 - 41.2)</td>
<td>8.54 (6.75 - 9.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wistar - insulin</strong></td>
<td>36.3 (35.8 - 37.4)</td>
<td>0.28* (0.26 - 0.30)</td>
<td>18.5* (12.1 - 20.2)</td>
<td>1.85** (1.16 - 2.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wistar - normal saline</strong></td>
<td>36.4 (35.2 - 37.3)</td>
<td>0.29* (0.26 - 0.31)</td>
<td>17.8* (13.0 - 18.9)</td>
<td>1.94** (1.42 - 2.17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05, ** p<0.01 in comparison to corresponding hHTG group

Data are medians and interquartile range
Figure 2

Glucose infusion rate measured under euglycemic and hyperglycemic conditions during hyperinsulinemic clamp in hHTG and Wistar rats

* p<0.01 in comparison to glycemia 12 mmol/l
# p<0.05 in comparison to Wistar rats

Data are medians (boxes) and interquartil range (whiskers)
Table 2

Total antioxidant capacity (AOC) mmol/l

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Basal conditions</th>
<th>Glycemia 6 mmol/l</th>
<th>Glycemia 12 mmol/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HTG – insulin infusion</td>
<td>0.83 (0.80 - 0.89)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.77 - 0.90)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.72 - 0.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wistar – insulin infusion</td>
<td>0.85 (0.80 - 0.99)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.75 - 0.91)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.79 - 0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTG – normal saline infusion</td>
<td>0.86 (0.82 - 0.89)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.80 - 0.86)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.81 - 0.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wistar – normal saline infusion</td>
<td>0.89 (0.83 - 0.96)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.81 - 0.95)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.86 - 0.94)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are medians and interquartil range
Table 3

Reduced/oxidized glutathione ratio (GSH/GSSG)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>GSH/GSSG ratio</th>
<th>Glycemia 6 mmol/l</th>
<th>Glycemia 12 mmol/l</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTG – insulin infusion</td>
<td>10.02 (9.90 - 11.42) *#</td>
<td>P=0.002</td>
<td>7.42 (7.15 - 7.89) *</td>
<td>P=0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTG – normal saline infusion</td>
<td>6.01 (5.83 - 6.43) #</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.16 (5.74 - 7.05) #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wistar – insulin infusion</td>
<td>3.18 (1.44 - 3.69)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>2.11 (1.69 - 2.93)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wistar - normal saline infusion</td>
<td>2.93 (2.24 - 3.42)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.08 (2.10 - 5.30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p<0.01 in comparison to glycemia 12 mmol/l

# p<0.01 in comparison to corresponding Wistar group

Data are medians and interquartil range
Table 4

Quotients of GSH/GSSG ratios measured under insulin and normal saline infusions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Quotients of GSH/GSSG ratios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glycemia 6 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTG</td>
<td>1.76 (1.57 - 1.93) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wistar</td>
<td>0.84 (0.69 - 1.40)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p<0.05 in comparison to glycemia 12 mmol/l

# p<0.01 in comparison to corresponding Wistar group

Data are medians and interquartil range