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Summary

Aim: The aim of this study was to compare the central and peripheral components of cardiorespiratory fitness during incremental to maximal exercise between older men who were either recreational athletes (RA) or leisurely active (LA) men, i.e., those who fall between trained and untrained. Methods: This was a cross-sectional study in which all subjects completed an exercise test on a cycle ergometer. Maximal oxygen consumption \( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} \) and ventilatory threshold (VT) were assessed using gas analysis, and central components of \( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} \) were assessed using a non-invasive thoracic bio-impedance device. Results: \( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} \) (RA: 45.1±4.8 ml/kg/min; LA: 32.2±4.6 ml/kg/min, \( p =<0.001 \)) and SV at maximal exercise (RA: 133.5±24.96 ml/beat; LA: 107.9±17.6 ml/beat, \( p =0.005 \)) were higher in the RA group compared to the LA group. A plateau in SV occurred between 30-45% of maximal exercise capacity in the RA group. No differences in SV were observed across workloads in the LA group. No differences in the calculated arterio-venous oxygen difference \( (a-v)\text{O}_{2\text{diff}} \) were observed between groups. Conclusion: Training volume appears to influence central components of cardiorespiratory fitness among a matched sample of older men who are neither trained nor untrained. This builds a case for increasing the volume of training to preserve cardiorespiratory fitness among older men.

Key Words: stroke volume, aging, \( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} \), fitness
Introduction

Endurance trained older adults have higher maximal cardiac output (Q), stroke volume (SV), and arterio-venous oxygen difference ((a-v)O₂diff) compared to untrained older adults (McLaren et al, 1997). While it is generally accepted that SV plateaus at 40-50% of maximal exercise capacity (Astrand et al, 1964), there is some research to suggest that highly trained individuals may have a continuous increase in SV to maximal exercise (Rivera et al, 1989). Such research has typically compared highly trained older adults (VO₂max > 50ml/kg/min) to untrained/sedentary older adults (VO₂max < 30ml/kg/min) (Rivera et al, 1989; Dogra et al, 2012). The central and peripheral response of recreationally active older adults that fall in between these extremes of trained and untrained is not well described. Specifically, the cardiovascular exercise response profile of older men who are recreational athletes (RA) or simply leisurely active (LA) is not known.

Thus, the purpose of this study was to describe the cardiovascular response of older active men, who are neither highly trained nor completely sedentary, to incremental maximal cycling exercise. It was hypothesized that RA would have a similar response as that previously observed in highly trained older men, and that the RA would exhibit greater cardiovascular health than the LA.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Subjects

Inclusion in this cross-sectional study was limited to males aged 60-80 years without any chronic cardiovascular or respiratory conditions. Subjects were recruited from...
local cycling groups. They completed a training log (7 day recall) prior to testing and were divided into RA or LA based on this recall. Subjects were considered RA if they were participating in moderate-vigorous cycling for a minimum of one hour 3-4 times per week. Subjects were considered LA if they were meeting the minimum recommendations of 150mins/week of moderate to vigorous physical activity (Paterson et al, 2010). A total of 36 older men were screened for participation; two subjects declined further participation and four were considered ineligible due to age and cardiovascular health impairments. Two subjects were excluded from analysis due to technological difficulties during testing, resulting in unusable data. No subjects were taking any medications that would affect cardiovascular response to exercise. All subjects were pre-screened to ensure they were at minimal risk for participation in the exercise testing and all subjects provided written informed consent prior to laboratory testing (Canadian Society of Exercise Physiology, 2013). All procedures were approved by the Research Ethics Boards of Acadia University and the University of Ontario Institute of Technology.

Methodology

Subjects attended one laboratory session. Familiarization to the mode of exercise was not necessary as all subjects were regularly cycling. Anthropometric measures of height, weight, and waist circumference were assessed using a standard medical scale and a tape measure to the nearest 0.5 cm, 0.1 kg and 0.1 cm respectively. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg)/height² (m). Resting heart rate and blood pressure were measured manually after resting in a seated position for 5 minutes. Blood pressure was recorded manually a total of three times. Measurements were entered into the
software prior to and following calibration as per Physioflow instructions. Subjects were then fitted with electrodes and connected to a non-invasive thoracic electric bio-impedance device (Physioflow Enduro, Bristol, PA USA). Resting measures of Q and SV were recorded for up to 2 minutes after sitting quietly for 3 minutes.

Subjects then completed a maximal exercise test on a cycle ergometer (LODE Excalibur, Lode BV, Groningen, The Netherlands) using a ramp incremental protocol (25 Watts/min). Subjects maintained a self-selected pace between 70-100 revolutions per minute. Maximal oxygen consumption (VO$_{2\text{max}}$) was determined by a plateau in VO$_2$ and confirmed by a respiratory exchange ratio $> 1.1$, achievement of age-predicted maximum heart rate (220-age), a rating of perceived exertion $> 19$ (scale of 6-20), and/or volitional exhaustion.

Measures

Gas exchange measurements: Expired CO$_2$ and O$_2$ were collected through a pneumotachograph (Hans Rudolph 2700) and were analyzed using a gas collection system (Parvo Medics OUSW 4.3, USA) at five-second intervals (to align with the impedance cardiography output). VO$_{2\text{max}}$ was recorded as an average of the highest 25 second period (i.e. 5 data points). The first ventilatory threshold (VT) was visually determined independently by two researchers as the point where ventilation increased non-linearly to the increase in O$_2$ uptake and by identifying the point at which CO$_2$ production increased at a faster rate than VO$_2$.

Central and Peripheral Components of VO$_2$: Heart Rate (HR), SV, end diastolic volume (EDV), early diastolic filling ratio (EDFR) and Q were measured at 5 second intervals.
non-invasively using thoracic electric bio-impedance signals. The Physioflow uses
transitions in transthoracic impedance during cardiac ejection to calculate SV (Charloux et
al, 2000) via high-frequency and low-amperage alternating electric current from six
electrodes. The accuracy and reproducibility of the Physioflow has been assessed in
normal-weight and overweight participants during an incremental to maximal exercise test
against the direct Fick method (Richard et al, 2001). The mean difference between values
obtained by the Physioflow was 0.009 l min⁻¹, and the correlation coefficient between the
Physioflow and the direct Fick method was r = 0.946 (Richard et al, 2001). In the present
study, the Fick equation was used to calculate (a-v)O₂diff as follows: (a-v)O₂diff (ml O₂/100
ml blood) = [VO₂ (l/min)/ Q (l/min)] ×100. The Fick equation has been used in previous
studies to calculate (a-v)O₂diff at rest and during exercise in normal-weight and obese
individuals (Vella et al, 2011) and has been deemed to be accurate as per Richard et al.,
2001. Measures of VO₂ and Q data were time aligned for analysis.

Statistical Analyses

Data in tables are presented as means and standard deviation (SD). Independent
samples t-tests were used to compare subject characteristics and VO₂max parameters
between RA and LA. Paired sample t-tests were used to determine differences among
parameters during exercise. A repeated measures analysis of variance was used to assess
for differences within groups during the incremental exercise test. Data in graphs are
presented as means and standard error. All statistics were conducted in SPSS v21 (SPSS
Inc., Armonk, NY). Statistical significance was declared at p<0.05.
Results

RA were cycling 186.9 (±22.6) km per week and training 7.9 (±1.5) hours per week. LA were taking part in light to moderate intensity physical activity (e.g. walking, gardening and cycling) 5.6 hours per week. One LA was a smoker. Additional sample characteristics are presented in Table 1. Other than VO$_{2\text{max}}$ and resting heart rate, there were no differences between the RA and LA group i.e. they were well matched for age and body composition.

VO$_{2\text{max}}$ was significantly different between groups. VT occurred at 75% of VO$_{2\text{max}}$ (range: 51-88%) in the RA and 72% of VO$_{2\text{max}}$ (52-88%) in the LA (p=0.4). However, the absolute VO$_2$ at VT was significantly different between groups (RA: 2.6 l/min; LA: 1.8 l/min; p=<0.001). Additional data at VT and maximal exercise for both groups are available in Table 2. At VT and maximal exercise, VO$_2$, Q and power (in Watts) were significantly higher in RA compared to LA. SV at VT was approaching significance (p=0.055) and was higher in the RA at maximal exercise (p=0.01). EDV was significantly higher in the RA at VT and was approaching significance at maximal exercise (p<0.01).

No group differences were noted for HR or calculated (a-v)O$_2$diff at any workload. At relative exercise intensities, between group differences in Q were observed across all intensities, except for 45%. Differences observed in Q were largely attributed to differences in SV at these intensities, as HR only differed between groups at 70, 90, and 100% of maximal exercise.

SV increased by 15% in RA compared to 6% in the LA from 100W to maximal exercise. There were increases in Q, HR and (a-v)O$_2$diff during incremental to maximal exercise within the LA and RA groups. SV in the RA group increased significantly during
incremental to maximal exercise (p=0.02); however, no differences in SV were observed
in the LA (p=0.4). The SV, Q, HR, and calculated (a-v)O₂diff profiles are displayed in
Figure 1. SV was significantly higher in the RA compared to LA at maximal exercise and
across most submaximal exercise intensities. SV increased at 30% VO₂max compared to
25% VO₂max (p=0.03) and appeared to increase again at 45% VO₂max compared to 40%
VO₂max (p=0.06) in the RA.

Discussion

The primary finding of this study is that RA appear to have a more dynamic SV
response during exercise than LA. Among the RA, SV plateaued at 30-45% of maximal
exercise, while the LA had no change in SV throughout exercise. The secondary finding is
that among a matched sample of older men, higher cardiorespiratory fitness in RA is
primarily due to a greater central response (i.e., ↑SV), as the peripheral response (i.e., a-
vO₂diff) between RA and LA appears to be the same. This novel investigation fills a gap in
current knowledge pertaining to the cardiovascular response to maximal exercise testing in
non-endurance trained and non-sedentary older men.

Our findings are the first, to our knowledge, to compare the cardiovascular
response to maximal cycling exercise in recreationally active older men. We observed a
SV plateau between 30-45% of maximal exercise in the RA, similar to what has been
previously reported in highly trained older adults. Specifically, in a study of older
endurance trained male runners and cyclists, (i.e. those who had trained consistently for at
least 3 years and who routinely ran a minimum of >30km per week) participants
completed an exercise test on a cycle ergometer to volitional exhaustion. A plateau in SV
was observed at approximately 40% of VO2max in the runners and 30% of VO2max in the
cyclists (McLaren et al, 1997). Endurance trained men and master endurance trained
runners (age: 51-72 years) can progressively increase SV up to the point of 70% and 85%
of VO2max, respectively (Proctor et al, 1998; Rivera et al, 1989). Thus, training level (i.e.
highly trained versus recreational athlete versus recreationally active) appears to have a
significant impact on the cardiovascular response to maximal exercise among older adults
as well.

Visually, a second increase in SV appeared after the initial plateau at 65% of
VO2max in the RA (p=0.15 NS). This observation is of particular interest, as the bulk of the
research investigating such an increase in SV has primarily focused on young highly
trained adults. There is some evidence that such an increase may occur in moderately
active adults aged 18-30 years, but no such data are available in older men. From data on
middle-aged men with moderate fitness levels, it appears that the dominant response of SV
to maximal exercise is a plateau followed by a subsequent decrease in SV (Ferguson et al,
2011; Skof et al, 2012). A study by Skof and colleagues (2012) examined the SV response
to maximal exercise in a group of middle-aged men who were either highly trained
runners [VO2max: 54.1±3.8 (ml/kg/min)] or moderately trained runners (VO2max: 36.8±3.3
ml/kg/min). The highly trained group exhibited higher VO2max, Q, and SV, similar to the
present study. Skof and colleagues (2012) also noted various responses in SV in both
groups: a plateau, a plateau with a subsequent drop, a progressive increase, and a plateau
with a significant decrease (in the highly trained group). Our data indicate that an increase
may be possible in older men who are RA as well.
The higher SV observed in the more trained groups among previous studies may be related to a higher EDV. In the present study, EDV was greater in the RA at VT and approaching significance at maximal exercise compared to the LA; however EDFR was not. A study comparing older trained and sedentary adults using Doppler echocardiographic data found no differences in left ventricular diastolic filling characteristics except for a trend for atrial filling fraction (Jungblut et al, 2000). Similarly, Carrik-Ranson et al. (2012) did not find differences in left ventricle filling or lengthening when comparing younger and older men. In other words, it seems that preload may not be predicting differences in SV among older men, but rather factors such as blood volume or ventricular contractility and autonomic response may be. Future research is needed to determine which factors are leading to differences in SV among older men and to confirm the possibility of an increase in SV after the initial plateau. Nevertheless, the present finding offers interesting insight into cardiovascular aging and lifelong exercise engagement.

The observation that differences in VO2max were exclusively due to differences in central components between groups was of significant interest. Research by Murias and colleagues (2011) showed that older men who engaged in a 12 week aerobic training program on a cycle ergometer were able to increase (a-v)O2diff, citrate synthase and capillarization (Murias et al, 2011). As such, it was expected that the RA group in the present study would have a higher calculated (a-v)O2diff than the LA. Evidence from cross-sectional studies of men and women support the findings of the present study in that they have also noted reliance on central components and no differences in peripheral components between trained and untrained groups (Proctor et al, 1998; Dogra et al, 2012).
Specifically, in a study by Sagiv and colleagues (2007), 15 older aerobically trained (VO_{2\text{max}}: 42.1±2.1 ml/kg/min) and 15 older untrained (VO_{2\text{max}} 31.1±2.4 ml/kg/min) men underwent a maximal exercise test on a cycle ergometer. The trained group exhibited greater reliance on central components of cardiorespiratory fitness; similar oxygen extraction was noted in both trained and untrained groups (Sagiv et al, 2007). This discrepancy in (a-v)O_2diff between cross-sectional and laboratory based intervention research requires further investigation as it may ‘shed light’ on important methodological limitations or physiological mechanisms associated with the aging process.

The present study utilized technology that enabled continuous measurement of SV. This may have allowed for more accurate identification of a peak in both our RA and LA groups as well as the identification of a second increase in SV. Previous research has primarily used methods such as acetylene wash in, which assesses Q and SV at predetermined workloads only (Dogra et al, 2012). The continuous measurement of Q was a significant strength of this study. Limitations of the present study were the lack of direct measurement of (a-v)O_2diff, lack of a detailed history of exercise levels, and the cross-sectional study design. Future research should make use of technology such as near infrared spectroscopy to better understand the physiological responses of muscle oxygen extraction.

Conclusions

In conclusion, it appears that a higher cardiorespiratory fitness in older aerobically active men (RA) is due primarily to a higher Q, specifically SV, when compared to LA older men. Of note, the present findings suggest a secondary increase in SV may be
possible in RA whereas, the SV response in the LA remains unchanged during incremental
to maximal exercise. These findings provide novel insight into the cardiovascular
response to incremental cycling exercise among non-elite and non-sedentary older men.
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Table 1. Sample Characteristics (Mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Recreational Athletes (n=15)</th>
<th>Leisurely Active (n=13)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>65.4±3.5</td>
<td>67.6±4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>79.6±7.3</td>
<td>78.7±11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>173.7±6.1</td>
<td>172.3±4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist Circumference (cm)</td>
<td>90.3±6.6</td>
<td>93.4±8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (kg.m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>26.3±2.1</td>
<td>26.5±3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>117.0±8.1</td>
<td>116.2±8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>74.0±9.1</td>
<td>71.8±5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting Heart Rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>57.3±9.3</td>
<td>64.7±8.5*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05
Table 2. Cardiovascular Parameters from Incremental to Maximal Cycling Exercise in Recreational Athletes (n= 15) and Leisurely Active (n=13) Older Men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>At Ventilatory Threshold</th>
<th>At Maximal Exercise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recreational Athletes</td>
<td>Leisurely Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO(_2) (l/min)</td>
<td>2.6±0.3</td>
<td>1.8±0.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO(_2) (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>34.1±7.8</td>
<td>23.4±4.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a-v)O(_2)diff (mlO(_2)/100ml)</td>
<td>14.1±5.8</td>
<td>12.4±4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>138.3±21.6</td>
<td>130.2±12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke Volume (ml/beat)</td>
<td>131.9±28.7</td>
<td>112.8±19.9^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac Output (l/min)</td>
<td>17.7±3.5</td>
<td>14.7±2.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power (Watts)</td>
<td>193.3±46.7</td>
<td>146.4±30.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End Diastolic Volume (ml)</td>
<td>213.1±41.9</td>
<td>176.1±31.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Diastolic Filling Ratio</td>
<td>74.1±37.9</td>
<td>75.0±47.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p<0.05; ^p 0.05 to <0.10

CI: Confidence Interval; VO\(_2\): Oxygen Uptake; (a-v)O\(_2\)diff: arterio-venous Oxygen difference
Figure 1. Cardiac Output a, Stroke Volume b, Heart Rate c, and (a-v)O\textsubscript{2}\text{diff} d Response to Incremental to Maximal Cycling Exercise in Recreational Athletes and Leisurely Active Older Men.

**Fig. 1**

a. 

![Cardiac Output](image1)

b. 

![Stroke Volume](image2)

c. 

![Heart Rate](image3)

d. 

![(a-v)O\textsubscript{2}\text{diff}](image4)

- *p<0.05* between groups
- †p<0.05 within RA
- ‡p<0.05 within LA
Figure Description

Legend: Number of subjects who completed each workload by group:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workload (Watts)</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>150</th>
<th>175</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>225</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>275</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recreational Athletes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisurely Active</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>