CARDIOVASCULAR REGULATION AND BODY TEMPERATURE: EVIDENCE FROM A NAP VS SLEEP DEPRIVATION RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL

CARDIOVASCULAR REGULATION AND BODY TEMPERATURE

Joanna Słomko¹, Monika Zawadka-Kunikowska¹, Jacek J. Klawe¹, Małgorzata Tafil-Klawe², Julia Newton³, Paweł Zalewski¹

1. Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Ergonomics, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Ludwik Rydygier Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz M. Sklodowskiej-Curie 9 85-094 Bydgoszcz, POLAND

2. Department of Human Physiology, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Ludwik Rydygier Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz, Karłowicza 24 85-092 Bydgoszcz, POLAND

3. Institute for Ageing and Health, The Medical School, Newcastle University, Framlington Place Newcastle-upon-Tyne NE2 4HH, UNITED KINGDOM

Correspondence:
Pawel Zalewski
Ludwik Rydygier Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz
Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun
Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Ergonomics
SUMMARY

In this study we set out to understand if sleep fragmentation affects the cardiovascular regulation and circadian variability of core body temperature more or less than sleep deprivation. 50 healthy men (age 29.0±3.1 years; BMI 24.3±2.1 kg/m²) participated in a 3-day study that included one adaptative night and one experimental night involving randomization to: sleep deprivation (SD) and sleep fragmentation (SF). The evaluation included hemodynamic parameters, measures of the spectral analysis of heart rate and blood pressure variability, and the sensitivity of arterial baroreflex function. Core body temperature (CBT) was measured with a telemetric system.

SF affects heart rate (61.9±5.6 vs 56.2±7.6, p<0.01) and stroke index (52.7±11.1 vs 59.8±12.2, p<0.05) with significant changes in the activity of the ANS (LF-sBP: 6.0±5.3 vs 3.4±3.7, p<0.05; HF-sBP: 1.8±1.8 vs 1.0±0.7, p<0.05; LF-dBP: 5.9±4.7 vs 3.5±3.2, p<0.05) more than SD. Post-hoc analysis revealed that after SD mean value of CBT from 21:30 to 06:30 was significantly higher compared to normal night’s sleep and SF.

In healthy men SF affects the hemodynamic and autonomic changes more than SD. Sympathetic overactivity is the proposed underlying mechanism.
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INTRODUCTION

Sleep loss and sleep deprivation have been studied for the past century and are known to have negative effects on metabolism, cognitive and neurobehavioral functions, inflammatory system and cardiovascular regulation. Epidemiological studies report an increased risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality among persons who report short or long sleep duration. Recent studies have revealed relationships between sleep deprivation and coronary heart disease, hypertension and diabetes mellitus (Thomas & Calhoun, 2016; Morris et al., 20212; Palma et al., 2013). Numerous studies describe the effect of shift work on disruption of the circadian rhythm of core body temperature (CBT). All of these studies have primarily focused on duration of nighttime sleep and have not independently considered the potential risk associated with napping (Gangwish 2014; Faraut et al., 2016). Unlike sleep deprivation, the relationship between sleep fragmentation/napping and CBT is less clear. In this study we set out to understand is sleep fragmentation affects the cardiovascular regulation more or less than sleep deprivation. Therefore the aim of this study was to analyze dynamic fluctuations in the circadian rhythm of CBT in healthy adults exposed to experimental sleep deprivation compared to sleep fragmentation, using fully objective measurement methods.

METHODS

Subjects

The study included volunteers, healthy, adult men, aged 20-40 years old. Apart from giving their voluntary consent to participation in the study, the main enrolment criteria included sex, no co-morbidity, no reported sleep disorders (Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index <5). The exclusion criteria were: shift work in the past 2 years, drinking more than two cups of
caffeinated drinks or two standard drinks of alcohol per day, sport at competitive level, BMI above 30 kg/m², taking any medicines / supplements during the study, cardiovascular disorders observed during the study. Clinical assessment of subjects included: a basic examination, and evaluation of the autonomic nervous system (shortened Low’s questionnaire) performed by a doctor.

A permit from the Bioethics Commission of the Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz of Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun to carry out this study was obtained.

Design

The entire study period was 2 nights. During the experiment the subjects stayed in the chronobiology laboratory (windowless and sound-insulated room, temperature 22°C, humidity 60%, light bedcover) employing a constant routine. Subjects reported to the laboratory in the evening for an 8-h sleep adaptation episode. Additionally, the device Actigraph GT3X was used during the adaptive night – total sleep time was TST=421.2±68.2 min, sleep efficiency SE=95.5±3.0 and wake after sleep onset WASO=18.1±12.2.

They ate the same meals at the same time of the day. Water (100 ml) was administered at hourly intervals. They were cared for by trained personnel for 24 hours.

Subjects were randomized to one of two groups: group A (sleep deprivation) and group B (sleep fragmentation), figure 1. After the adaptive night physical activity was restricted to a minimum, subject were not allowed to drink caffeine containing liquids. On the second night subjects from group B remained in bed from 22:00 till 9:00 (semirecumbent during wakefulness and supine during scheduled sleep episodes). 3 alternating sleep-wake cycles (or nap cycles, naps 1–3) of 150 min of scheduled wakefulness (light phase, <8 lux) and of 75 min of scheduled sleep (dark phase, 0 lux). The low-light intensity (<8 lux) was chosen because it is below the threshold for suppressing melatonin secretion.
Measurements

Functional assessment of the autonomic nervous system was carried out in a non-invasive manner, using the Task Force Monitor (TFM) system (model 3040i by CNSystems Medizintechnik, Graz, Austria). The main area of TFM application is as an automated and computerized beat-to-beat analysis of impedance cardiography (ICG), electrocardiogram (ECG), oscillometric and non-invasive continuous blood pressure measurement (oscBP, contBP). The evaluation included hemodynamic parameters, parameters of myocardial contractility, parameters of the spectral analysis of heart rate and blood pressure variability: HRV and BPV, parameters of the sensitivity of arterial baroreflex function. All the functions of the Task Force Monitor have been validated prior to the study, and the instrument has already been used successfully in numerous advanced clinical and scientific projects (Fortin et al., 1998; Fortin et al., 2006).

Measurements of cardiovascular system parameters took place twice: 9:00 (baseline, after adaptive night) on the first day of the study and also at 9:00 after 24-hours of sleep deprivation (group A) or after sleep fragmentation (group B).

Core body temperature (CBT) was measured with a telemetric system Vital Sense from Mini Mitter, currently Philips Respironics (Vital Sense, Mini Mitter Co. Inc., Bend Oregon, USA). The system consists of two components: a mobile recording display storing and exporting digital data for measured temperature values, and a telemetric capsule - Core Body Temperature Capsule (CBTC). The telemetric capsule transmits the measured core body temperature values by radio (McKenzie, Osgood 2004). For a detailed analysis of dynamics of the core temperature fluctuations and to avoid errors resulting from possible single and occasional artefacts appearing during temperature measurements, a specific form of analysis of core temperature measurements was applied. Signals obtained throughout the
study were divided into 20-minute measurement intervals, for which core temperature means were calculated and then analysed statistically.

Statistical methods

All data are presented as means ± SD. Normal distribution of the study variables was verified with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Levane’s test was used to check the homogeneity of variances in the analyzed samples. To analyze differences in results among several groups (depending on protocol), the non-parametric ANOVA Kruskal-Wallis test was used. For the detailed comparative analysis of results among separate groups the post-hoc testing for multiple comparisons was used. All calculations were performed with the package Statistica 10 (StatSoft), with the assumed level of statistical significance of α<0.05.

RESULTS

We initially recruited 52 healthy men volunteers for the study. 2 subjects were excluded before study entry because of elevated blood pressure. 5 subjects were excluded from the final analysis because they did not comply with the study design schedule. We therefore included in the final analysis 45 subjects (mean: age 29.0±3.1 years; height 1.79±0.1 m, weight 80.4±9.9 kg; BMI 24.3±2.1 kg/m²). Table 1 shows the mean results for cardiovascular and autonomic parameters before and after sleep deprivation and sleep fragmentation.

Mean values of core body temperature during adaptive night, 24-hours sleep deprivation (group A) and sleep fragmentation (group B) are shown graphically in Figure 2. There were no significant differences between CBT over 24 hours in normal sleepers (adaptive night) compared to those undergoing sleep fragmentation. Post-hoc analysis revealed that in
group B mean value of core body temperature from 21:30 to 06:30 was significantly higher compared to group A and group C (p<0.01).

DISCUSSION

The major finding in this study is that sleep fragmentation affects the hemodynamics, notably stroke index (SI) with significant changes in the activity of the autonomic nervous system more than sleep deprivation.

Sleep fragmentation is defined by the presence of arousals characterized by central nervous system reactivity that causes changes in cardiovascular parameters, such as RR intervals (RR), blood pressure (BP), and systemic vascular resistance, under autonomic control. The differences in activity of the autonomic nervous system detected in this study are in keeping with previous reports that confirm that sleep fragmentation is associated with sympathetic nervous system activation, elevated systolic BP and higher risk of hypertension, after controlling for confounders (Dettoni et al., 2012). Chouchou et al. shows that sleep fragmentation and indices of sympathetic activation were associated with elevated systolic BP and higher risk of systolic hypertension in a large population of elderly volunteers. This result was independent of the influence of SDB, hypoxaemic load, sex, BMI, diabetes, hypercholesterolaemia, and self-reported sleep duration and quality (Chouchou et al., 2013). Our previous study showed that sleep deprivation during night work evoked changes in circadian blood pressure curve during next 24 hours, increasing blood pressure during day-and night time. This effect was especially explicit in subjects of morning chronotype. Increase in blood pressure was related to the decreased baroreceptor sensitivity and their impaired circadian rhythmicity (unpublished results). Clinical effects of sleep fragmentation versus sleep deprivation on cardiovascular regulation are less known. Recent studies prove
that common symptoms associated with sleep fragmentation and sleep deprivation include increased objective sleepiness, decreased psychomotor performance on a number of tasks including tasks involving short term memory, reaction time, or vigilance; and degraded mood. Both sleep fragmentation and sleep deprivation can exacerbate sleep pathology by increasing the length and pathophysiology of sleep apnea. There are many instances of sleep fragmentation as a component in both medical illnesses (fibrosis, intensive-care-unit syndrome, chronic pain and movements disorders) and life-requirements (infant care, medical residents, shift work). Most of these situations are a combination of chronic partial sleep loss and chronic sleep fragmentation. NREM sleep is characterized by marked stability of autonomic regulation with a high degree of parasympathetic neural tone, prominent respiratory sinus arrhythmia. Baroreceptor gain is high and contributes to the stability of arterial blood pressure. During REM sleep sympathetic activity increases and is concentrated in irregular periods. Heart rate and blood pressure reach levels higher than during wakefulness, with increased variability. Sleep fragmentation is probably related more to the shortening of NREM sleep (than REM sleep). Thus, during night higher level of sympathetic activity is stabilized, resulting in fixation of this pattern. Sleep deprivation does not promote an increase in sympathetic activity, typical for REM sleep (Bonnet & Arand, 1997; Sommers et al., 1993; Mancia, 1993; Smyth et al., 1969).

Interestingly, this study has confirmed that there are no differences in CBT circadian rhythm after adaptive night and those undergoing a sleep fragmentation regime. There was however a significant increase in CBT from 21:30 till 07:30 in the sleep deprivation group. These results are in keeping with previous studies. Launay et al. indicated that sleep deprivation causes a significant increase in a minimum temperature, from 36.1° C before the experiment to 36.5° C after 62 hours of sleep deprivation (Launay 2002). Similar results
obtained by Murray et al. indicate that during 98-hours of sleep deprivation core body temperature maintains its sinusoidal pattern with accompanying gradual reduction in its amplitude (Murray 1958; Kelly 2007). Vaara et al., suggest that this phenomenon may result from a direct effect of sleep deprivation on reduced activity of cerebral centres, including the hypothalamus, disrupting the circadian rhythm of the core body temperature (Vaara et al., 2009). Numerous studies describe the effect of shift work on disruption of the circadian rhythm of the core body temperature. Findings in people not tolerating shift work included reduction in the circadian fluctuations in the core body temperature, a shift in the daily maximum, and appearance of free rhythms of the frequency other than 24 hours (Vaara 2009; Gupta, Pati 1994; Pati, Saini 1991).

The available literature confirms that the measurement method used to measure the core body temperature influences results. Many authors consider blood temperature in the pulmonary artery as the correct core body temperature. The most common, due to its easy availability, is measurement of the body temperature in the axilla and measurement with a infra-red sensor placed near the tympanic membrane. An important shortcoming of these measurement methods is the fact that the temperature of the tympanic membrane or the axilla frequently differs significantly on both sides and between successive measurements. Rectal measurement is considered accurate, but relatively rarely used in experiments, and it is significantly correlated with temperature measurements conducted in the pulmonary artery. One of the relatively recently developed methods for measurement of core and surface body temperature is the use of remote temperature sensors, transmitting measured values by radio. The use of a telemetric capsule and a dermal (skin) sensor was first described in 1968. A robust development of digital technologies has allowed development of this easily available, non-invasive and very reliable method for temperature measurements.
Advantages of this method include: the ability to obtain continuous core temperature measurements, observations of circadian dynamic fluctuations in the core body temperature and measurement precision, together with the repeatability and reliability of results (Byrne, Lim 2007; Lim et al. 2008). Studies indicate that there is a strong correlation between measurements of the core body temperature with the Vital Sense system and blood temperature in the pulmonary artery \((r=0.96 \ (p<0.0001))\) (Giuliano 1999).

These findings have implications. Sleep fragmentation affects the hemodynamics with significant changes in the activity of the autonomic nervous system more than sleep deprivation. It would therefore be anticipated that the adverse consequences of sleep deprivation such as hypertension and excess cardiovascular mortality are of more significance in those who nap compared to those who are sleep deprived. Unlike sleep deprivation, sleep fragmentation using the protocol outlined in this study does not appear to impact upon the circadian rhythm of CBT.
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Table 1 Mean values for cardiovascular and autonomic parameters before (01) and after (02) sleep deprivation and sleep fragmentation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sleep deprivation 01</th>
<th>Sleep fragmentation 01</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Sleep deprivation 02</th>
<th>Sleep fragmentation 02</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>57.8±7.5</td>
<td>63.3±5.6</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>56.2±7.6</td>
<td>61.9±5.6</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sBP</td>
<td>124.0±9.4</td>
<td>124.8±8.9</td>
<td>P&gt;0.05</td>
<td>121.1±7.6</td>
<td>123.8±7.3</td>
<td>P&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dBp</td>
<td>79.7±7.6</td>
<td>79.7±7.4</td>
<td>P&gt;0.05</td>
<td>76.3±5.8</td>
<td>79.6±6.2</td>
<td>P&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mBP</td>
<td>96.4±8.3</td>
<td>93.4±8.5</td>
<td>P&gt;0.05</td>
<td>93.7±5.9</td>
<td>92.7±6.3</td>
<td>P&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI (ml/m²)</td>
<td>57.0±13.1</td>
<td>56.1±12.4</td>
<td>P&gt;0.05</td>
<td>59.8±12.2</td>
<td>52.7±11.1</td>
<td>0.0391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI (l/min/m²)</td>
<td>3.3±0.8</td>
<td>3.6±0.9</td>
<td>P&gt;0.05</td>
<td>3.4±0.8</td>
<td>3.2±0.8</td>
<td>P&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPRI</td>
<td>2456.6±775</td>
<td>2215.6±749.4</td>
<td>P&gt;0.05</td>
<td>2316.3±655.6</td>
<td>2371.7±652.9</td>
<td>P&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hemodynamic parameters**

**Spectral analysis of HRV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LF (ms²)</th>
<th>HF (ms²)</th>
<th>LF-sBP (mmHg²)</th>
<th>HF-sBP (mmHg²)</th>
<th>LF-dBP (mmHg²)</th>
<th>HF-dBP (mmHg²)</th>
<th>Total-Events Slope (ms/mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>1299.0±1665.4</td>
<td>1496.0±3261.1</td>
<td>4.1±6.2</td>
<td>1.3±1.5</td>
<td>3.6±2.7</td>
<td>0.8±0.6</td>
<td>29.4±16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>843.2±66.9</td>
<td>610.2±489.8</td>
<td>5.9±5.5</td>
<td>1.6±1.3</td>
<td>5.5±4.7</td>
<td>0.7±0.4</td>
<td>21.4±8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4±3.7</td>
<td>1.0±0.7</td>
<td>3.5±3.2</td>
<td>0.8±0.9</td>
<td>27.4±13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0±5.3</td>
<td>1.8±1.8</td>
<td>5.9±4.7</td>
<td>1.1±0.5</td>
<td>24.8±12.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Spectral analysis of BPV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LF-sBP (mmHg²)</th>
<th>HF-sBP (mmHg²)</th>
<th>LF-dBP (mmHg²)</th>
<th>HF-dBP (mmHg²)</th>
<th>Total-Events Slope (ms/mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>4.1±6.2</td>
<td>1.3±1.5</td>
<td>3.6±2.7</td>
<td>0.8±0.6</td>
<td>29.4±16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.9±5.5</td>
<td>1.6±1.3</td>
<td>5.5±4.7</td>
<td>0.7±0.4</td>
<td>21.4±8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.4±3.7</td>
<td>1.0±0.7</td>
<td>3.5±3.2</td>
<td>0.8±0.9</td>
<td>27.4±13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.0±5.3</td>
<td>1.8±1.8</td>
<td>5.9±4.7</td>
<td>1.1±0.5</td>
<td>24.8±12.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Baroreflex sensitivity**

&(HR – heart rate, sBP – systolic blood pressure, dBP – diastolic blood pressure, mBP – mean blood pressure, SI – stroke index, CI – cardiac index, TPRI - total peripheral resistance index, HRV – heart rate variability, BPV – blood pressure variability, LF – low frequency, HF – high frequency)
Figure 1. Study protocol
Figure 2 Circadian fluctuations in the core body (group A – sleep deprivation, group B – sleep fragmentation).