# ECG Body Surface Mapping (BSM) in Type 1 Diabetic Patients

# D. ŽĎÁRSKÁ, P. PELÍŠKOVÁ, J. CHARVÁT, J. SLAVÍČEK<sup>1</sup>, M. MLČEK<sup>1</sup>, E. MEDOVÁ<sup>1</sup>, O. KITTNAR<sup>1</sup>

Department of Medicine, Second Medical Faculty, and <sup>1</sup>Institute of Physiology, First Medical Faculty, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

Received May 10, 2006 Accepted June 20, 2006 On-line available August 22, 2006

#### Summary

Diabetes mellitus is a risk factor of cardiovascular diseases. ECG of patients with diabetes mellitus type 1 (DM 1) shows tachycardia (block of parasympathetic innervation) and abnormal repolarization (increased QT interval and QT dispersion (QTd)) indicating a risk of ventricular tachycardia and sudden death in young people with DM 1. The aim of the present report was to measure 145 parameters of the heart electric field in 22 patients (14 men, 8 women) with DM 1 without complications (mean age 32.8±11.4 years) and in 22 controls (11 men, 11 women, mean age 30.1±3.4 years). The duration of diabetes was 13.9±7.8 years. The parameters were registered by the diagnostic system Cardiag 112.2 and statistically evaluated by the Student and Mann-Whitney test. Tachycardia (86.3±2.7 beats.min<sup>-1</sup>), shortening of both QRS (79.9±1.6 ms) and QT (349.0±5.9 ms) and increased QT dispersion (115±36 ms) were observed in DM 1 when compared with the controls (75.0 $\pm$ 2.1 beats. min.<sup>-1</sup>, QRS 89.9 $\pm$ 2.7 ms, QT 374.0 $\pm$ 4.4 ms, QTd 34.0 $\pm$ 12.0 ms, p<0.01). The QTc was 415.2±4.1 ms in DM 1 and 401.4±6.6 ms in controls (NS). Other significant findings in DM 1 were: higher maximum of depolarization isopotential maps (DIPMmax) in the initial phase of QRS and less positive in the terminal phase, more negative minimum (DIPMmin) during QRS similarly as the minimum in depolarization isointegral maps (DIIMmin) and the minimum in isointegral map of the Q wave (Q-IIMmin), lower maximum in repolarization isopotential maps (RIPMmax) and less negative minimum (RIPMmin), more negative amplitude of Q wave (Q-IPMAM) and more pronounced spread of depolarization (activation time). Our results confirmed a decreased parasympathetic to sympathetic tone ratio (tachycardia, shortening of the activation time) and revealed different depolarization and repolarization patterns in DM 1. The differences in heart electric field parameters measured by the BSPM method in DM 1 and in the controls indicate the importance of ECG examination of diabetic patients type 1 in the prevention of cardiovascular diseases.

#### Key words

Diabetes mellitus type 1 • ECG • VCG • Body surface maps

# Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is associated with poor

cardiovascular prognosis (Kannel *et al.* 1986, Charvát 2001). The diagnosis of type 1 diabetes mellitus is usually established at a relatively young age. The

## PHYSIOLOGICAL RESEARCH

© 2007 Institute of Physiology, v. v. i., Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic E-mail: physres@biomed.cas.cz

*ISSN 0862-8408* Fax +420 241 062 164 http://www.biomed.cas.cz/physiolres development of macrovascular changes therefore takes many years (Alberti and Zimmet 1998, Beckman et al. 2002, Pickup and Williams 2003). Twelve leads resting electrocardiogram is often falsely negative in diabetic patients with coronary artery disease (Zellweger and Pfisterer 2001). Although certain examinations might be of importance (e.g. echocardio-graphy, carotid sonography), the stress test is often necessary for myocardial ischemia detection in asymptomatic patients (Paillole et al. 1995, Charvát et al. 2004). Apart from cardiovascular morbidity type 1 diabetes mellitus is associated with microvascular complications including autonomic neuropathy (Alberti et al. 1978). The lengthening of QTc (QT corrected for heart rate) in the ECG was observed in patients with diabetes mellitus type 1 (DM 1) both with and without autonomic neuropathy suggesting that autonomic dysfunction does not contribute to hypoglycemia- induced QTc prolongation (Heller 2002).

The blood pressure variability in DM 1 patients is lower than in the controls (Ruzicska *et al.* 2003). The impaired parasympathetic control of heart rate was observed. No differences in vascular sympathetic control were detected (Javorka *et al.* 2005).

The insulin hypoglycemia in DM 1 patients causes an abnormal electrocardiogram with an increase in the QT interval and its dispersion (Heller 2002). The abnormalities in cardiac repolarization indicate a risk of ventricular tachycardia and sudden death in DM 1 patients (Marques *et al.* 1997). In the present report, we studied the electrocardiogram, vectorcardiogram and body surface mapping in asymptomatic DM 1 patients with the aspect to prevent cardiovascular diseases. The preliminary results have been presented elsewhere (Štěpánková *et al.* 2006).

#### **Patients and Methods**

#### Patients

Twenty-two DM 1 patients examined in 2005 and 22 healthy control subjects have been included in the study (Table 1). The duration of diabetes mellitus type 1 was  $13.9\pm9.8$  years. The diagnosis of diabetes mellitus type 1 was based on the WHO criteria (Alberti and Zimmet 1998).

The DM 1 patients were treated with insulin applied either by a continuous subcutaneous injection (CSII) or by insulin applicators in an intensive insulin therapy. They were without any known microvascular or

**Table 1.** The characteristics of controls and diabetic patients type 1 (DM 1)

	Controls	DM 1
Number	22	22
Mean age (years)	$30.1 \pm 3.4$	$32.8 \pm 3.5$
Men	11	14
Women	11	8

macrovascular complications. Their 12 leads ECGs were within normal limits. Apart from insulin, no medication was applied to the patients and healthy controls. We recorded the duration of type 1 diabetes mellitus and its long-term metabolic compensation. The long-term metabolic compensation of diabetic patients evaluated by HbA1c according to IFCC was  $6.5\pm1.3$  %. The average total daily insulin dosage was  $46.6\pm10.4$  IU and was applied by CSII in 7 patients and by insulin applicators in intensive insulin therapy in 14 patients.

#### Measurements

The electrocardiogram (ECG), vectorcardiogram (VCG) and body surface potential maps (BSPM) – isopotential, isointegral and isoarea maps – were registered altogether using the diagnostic system CARDIAG 112.2 (Pišvejcová *et al.* 2002). All examinations were performed in the morning between 9 to 11 h in order to avoid any influence of circadian rhythms (Švorc *et al.* 1994, 2000). Heart rate, duration of PQ, QRS, QT and QTc intervals were recorded and evaluated by 12-lead ECG.

In the VCG evaluation the Frank orthogonal lead system was used (Frank 1956). The QRS axis deviation in frontal plane was measured. The QRS-STT angles in the frontal, transversal and left sagittal planes and the shape of QRS loops were registered.

For evaluation of the QT dispersion (QTd) the QT interval was measured by 80 unipolar chest leads used for body surface potential mapping. The QT interval was measured from the start of the Q wave to the end of the T wave, QT dispersion was then defined as the difference between the maximal and minimal QT interval in any of the leads measured.

The depolarization, repolarization isopotential maps (DIPM, RIMP), isointegral depolarization and repolarization maps (DIIM, RIIM) and their maximum and minimum were recorded and evaluated by ECG body surface potential maps (BSPM). Depolarization isoarea

	Group	n	Mean ± S.E.M.	Mann-Whitney	р
Heart rate (min. <sup>-1</sup> )	controls	22	75±2.11	113	0.002
	DM 1	22	86.32±2.67		
	controls	22	158.3±7.09	219	NS
PQ (ms)	DM 1	22	147.9±3.67		
	controls	22	89.9±2.67	131	0.009
QRS (ms)	DM 1	22	79.9±1.58		
	controls	22	374.0±4.4	101.5	0.001
QT(ms)	DM 1	22	349.0±5.9		
	controls	22	401.4±6.1	234.5	NS
QTc (ms)	DM 1	22	415.2±4.1		
	controls	22	34±12	104	0.001
QTd (ms)	DM 1	22	115±36		

Table 2. Heart rate, PQ, QRS, QT, QTd and QTc in controls and DM 1 patients

Data are means ± S.E.M.

maps, their maximum and minimum in  $\mu$ Vs (isointegral maps from the beginning of QRS until 40 ms – DIAM max 40, DIAM min 40) and depolarization areas and their maximum and minimum in  $\mu$ Vs (isointegral maps from the point J to 40th ms – RIAM max 40 and RIAM min 40) were also examined. Isointegral minimum (Q-IIM) and amplitude (IPMAM-Q) of the Q wave as well as QT duration in chest electrodes during BSPM measurement were compared between DM 1 patients and the healthy controls. Activation time (ICHVAT in ms) was measured between the beginning of depolarization in an orthogonal lead and the R wave in the individual chest leads.

Statistical comparison was performed by Student's t-test and Mann-Whitney test.

# Results

The results of 12 leads ECG are shown in Table 2. The heart rate in DM 1 patients was increased (p<0.002), QRS and QT interval shortened (p<0.009; p<0.001) and QTd increased (p<0.001) in comparison with the controls. The Qtc interval (QT corrected for the heart rate) was not significantly prolonged in DM 1 patients.

Significant differences in the parameters of heart electric field between diabetic patients and control subjects during BSPM measurements are presented in Table 3. The absolute value of the maximum (extremum) in depolarization isopotential maps (DIPMmax) from the beginning of Q wave until the 30th ms of the QRS was more positive in DM 1 patients than in the controls (DIPMmax 30). But this parameter was less positive in the later phase of QRS (40th-80th ms of QRS – DIPMmax 50 in Table 3). The minimum (extremum) in DIPM maps (DIPMmin) was more negative in DM 1 patients than in the controls during QRS.

The more negative minimum of depolarization isointegral map (DIIMmin) in DM 1 patients confirmed the findings in DIPM maps ( $-48.2\pm19.4 \mu$ Vs in DM 1 and  $-33.7\pm15.5 \mu$ Vs in controls in Table 3, p<0.01).

The depolarization isoarea map maximum from the beginning of Q wave until the 40th ms of depolarization (DIAMmax 40) was higher in DM1 patients (18.9 $\pm$ 1.5  $\mu$ Vs) than in controls (14.3 $\pm$ 0.9  $\mu$ Vs, p<0.01). This finding has well corresponded to the DIPMmax values. The depolarization isoarea map minimum (DIAMmin 40) was more negative in DM 1 patients ( $-11.6\pm2.2 \mu$ Vs) than in controls ( $-6.5\pm4.6 \mu$ Vs, p<0.001) similarly as the DIPMmax and DIIMmax. The minimum in isointegral map of Q wave (Q-IIMmin) was more negative in DM 1 patients ( $-22.8\pm2.8 \mu$ Vs) than in the controls (-15.1 $\pm$ 1.2  $\mu$ Vs, p<0.03) similarly as the minimum in DIPM and DIIM maps. The amplitude of the Q wave (Q-IPMAM) was more negative in DM 1 patients  $(-861.0\pm92.1 \ \mu\text{V})$  than in controls  $(-634.0\pm49.2 \ \mu\text{V})$ p<0.03). The activation time (ICHVAT), the speed of activation of the heart measured on the surface of thorax, was faster in DM 1 patients (63.2±1.2 ms) when compared to controls (70.5±2.0 ms, p<0.01 in Table 3).

Parameter	Controls (n=22)	DM 1 (n=22)	р
DIPMmax 10 ( $\mu V$ )	96.09±11.3	122.64±7.9	0.008
DIPMmax 30 (µV)	722.5±52.6	965.6±79.9	0.023
DIPMmin 40 (µV)	-829.6±131.3	$-1468.7 \pm 164.9$	0.001
DIPMmax 50 (µV)	1108.4±108.2	815.8±78.9	0.046
DIPMmin 50 (µV)	$-1160.4 \pm 531.9$	$-1510.0\pm110.8$	0.03
DIIMmin (µVs)	-33.6.4±3.3	-48.1±4.	0.01
RIPMmax 10 (µV)	168.8±26.1	119.14±21.2	0.017
RIPMmin 30 (µV)	-327.9±193.3	-96.9±11.6	0.041
RIPMmin 90 (µV)	-313.4±133.2	$-143.0\pm19.8$	0.029
RIPMmax 100 (µV)	248.3±38.9	142.0±12.6	0.013
RIPMmin 100 (µV)	-289.2±122.7	-119.0±23.3	0.006
DIAMmax 40 (µVs)	14.2±0.92	18.9±1.51	0.011
DIAMmin 40 (µVs)	$-6.5\pm1.0$	$-11.6 \pm 2.25$	0.001
$Q$ -IIM ( $\mu Vs$ )	$-15.0\pm1.17$	$-22.8 \pm 2.82$	0.039
Q-IPMAM (µV)	-634.2±49.2	-861.2±92.1	0.038
ICHVAT (ms)	70.4±2.0	63.2±1.5	0.011

Table 3. The significant differences of heart electric field parameters in DM 1 patients and in controls measured by BSPM method.

Data are mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. DIPMmax, DIPMmin - maximum, minimum in depolarization isopotential map ( $\mu$ V). IPMmax, RIPMmin - maximum, minimum in repolarization isopotential map ( $\mu$ V). DIIMmin - minimum in depolarization isointegral map ( $\mu$ Vs). DIAMmax 40, DIAMmin 40 - maximum, minimum in depolarization isoarea map from the beginning of QRS to 40th ms of depolarization -  $\mu$ Vs. Q-IPMAM - maximum amplitude of Q wave ( $\mu$ V). ICHVAT - activation time between the onset of electrical activation registered by orthogonal leads x, y, z and the thoracic surface ECG (ms).

This could well be explained by an activation of the sympathetic nervous system.

The repolarization isopotential map maximum (RIPMmax) was less positive and minimum (RIPMmin) less negative in DM 1 patients than in the controls (Table 3) showing the different rate of repolarization in DM 1 patients during the T wave.

The QT interval was significantly shortened in all 96 thorax leads in DM 1 patients than in the controls thus confirming the activation of the sympathetic nervous system (data not shown).

# Discussion

Our results showed the tachycardia, shortening of the QRS and QT intervals and increase of the dispersion of QT interval (Table 2) in DM 1 patients without cardiovascular complications. The prolongation of QTc was nonsignificant probably due to the small number of diabetic patients. Our work confirmed the previous findings registered by the classic 12-leads ECG (Pilati *et al.* 1981, Krahulec *et al.* 2002). The decrease in T wave amplitude in DM 1 patients (Krahulec *et al.*  2002) was confirmed in our results by the lower value of maximum and minimum in repolarization isopotential maps (RIPMmax, RIPMmin) in DM 1 patients in comparison with controls (Table 3). Unfortunately, we have no possibility to compare our present results obtained by measuring of heart electric field parameters using the BSPM method in DM 1 patients with another work in the literature (except of the 12-leads ECG) as we were the first who used this method for evaluating heart electric field in DM 1 patients.

The BSPM method was used in the ontogeny of healthy humans (Slavíček *et al.* 2001), in coronary artery disease (Kittnar *et al.* 1993), in hypertension (Tichý *et al.* 2001), or in depressive patients treated with antidepressants (Slavíček *et al.* 1998, Paclt *et al.* 2003, Kitzlerová *et al.* 2003, Kittnar *et al.* 2004), in panic disorder before treatment (Pišvejcová *et al.* 2002), or in late pregnancy and after delivery (Lechmanová *et al.* 2002).

The similar results of heart electric field parameters which we have obtained in the present work in DM 1 patients were also observed in the depressive patients treated with the tricyclic antidepressants (Slavíček *et al.* 1995, 1998, Paclt *et al.* 2003, Kitzlerová *et al.* 2003) or in panic disorder (Pišvejcová *et al.* 2002) due to activation of the sympathetic nervous system. On the other hand, ontogenic changes of the heart rate (the bradycardia in older healthy humans) have been characterized by different alterations in the parameters of heart electric field (Slavíček *et al.* 2001) than in the present work. The vectorcardiographic parameters were unchanged in our present work although the effect of dosulepine caused a deviation of the QRS axis (Kitzlerová *et al.* 2003) due to activation of the sympathetic nervous system.

QTd was evaluated using the method of BSPM. The decision to use a greater number of leads for the determination of QT dispersion helps to determine the QTd more accurately than the assessment with only 12 or even 6 precordial leads. The use of a low number of leads was undoubtedly the main cause of the repeatedly suggested poor reproducibility (Kautzner *et al.* 1994, Day *et al.* 1990). Enhanced accuracy for QT dispersion assessment from a 12-lead ECG in comparison with only 6 precordial leads was also reported (van de Loo *et al.* 1994, Higham and Campbell 1994).

Measurements performed in the present study indicate that type 1 diabetes causes an increase in the QT dispersion even in diabetic patients without any clinically evident microvascular and/or macrovascular complications. This finding is in agreement with that from our previous study (Lechmanová et al. 2002), where QT dispersion was measured using the same method on healthy female volunteers in a late phase of pregnancy. In the above study, we concluded that QT dispersion can reflect not only an increased risk of serious tachyarrhythmias, especially due to myocardial ischemia, but it can also be increased physiologically by a changed spatial arrangement of the chest organs, including the heart. In the present study, the results are very similar but, in the latter case, we suppose that the increased QT dispersion is a non-specific sign of a changed course of repolarization, which could reflect the changes in the tone of autonomic nervous system.

The non-specifity of QTd is supported by the fact that it corresponds to the dispersion of T wave amplitude (Havránek *et al.* 2004). We suppose that changed T wave shape does reflect the same abnormality as QTd does, as both of them could be non-specific signs of an attenuated repolarization pattern (and flattening of T wave is well known non-specific sign of a defective course of repolarization).

Diabetes mellitus can be considered as a vascular disease because it causes both microvascular and macrovascular complications. It is one of the most common chronic diseases in the world (Nathan et al. 1997). Cardiovascular diseases account for at least 66 % of deaths in diabetic patients (Zimmet and Alberti 1997). Due to the sensitive neuropathy, the coronary artery disease in diabetic patients is frequently asymptomatic (Langer et al. 1991). This fact underlines the importance of laboratory testing. Twelve ECG leads at rest can be within normal limits even in an advanced stage of coronary artery disease (Wackers et al. 2004). Therefore, the stress test may play an important role in detection of significant coronary stenosis (Bigi et al. 2001, DeLorenzo et al. 2002). In this respect BSPM may be a useful tool in coronary ischemia diagnosis, however, there is not yet any available BSPM in asymptomatic diabetic patients.

In our present study, we have studied DM 1 patients without any clinically evident microvascular and/or macrovascular complications. These patients represent a group of young people with a very low probability of asymptomatic coronary artery disease. ECG changes including BSM results in our diabetic patients should therefore be interpreted carefully. Heart rate acceleration, shortening of QRS and QT intervals, significant changes of depolarization and repolarization in BSPM measurement can be explained by activation of the adrenergic system in diabetic patients and compared to nondiabetic subjects. The augmented sympathethic nervous activity is one of the assumptions for the development of arrhythmias and changes of ventricular repolarization (Abildskov 1985). However, no diabetic patients in our group fulfilled the criteria for autonomic neuropathy defined by the Ewing test or by a heart rate variability study (Alberti and Zimmet 1998).

The influence of autonomic neuropathy and of the left ventricle mass on BSPM should be addressed in future studies because these situations are frequently seen in diabetic patients. Finally the position of BSPM in coronary ischemia detection may be appreciated in asymptomatic diabetic patients with resting 12 leads ECG within normal limits using its association with the stress test (e.g. stress myocardial SPECT) or with coronary angiography.

It could be concluded that our results have confirmed a decreased parasympathetic to sympathetic tone ratio (tachycardia, shortening of the activation time) and revealed different depolarization and repolarization patterns in DM 1 patients. The differences in heart electric field parameters measured by BSPM method in DM 1 and in the controls show the importance of ECG examination of DM 1 patients in the prevention of cardiovascular diseases.

## Acknowledgements

This study was partially supported by research grants GAUK 53/2004, 1ET201210527 and GACR 106/04/1181.

# References

- ABILDSKOV JA: Neural mechanisms involved in the regulation of ventricular repolarization. *Eur Heart J* **6** (Suppl D), 31-39, 1985.
- ALBERTI KG, ZIMMET PZ: The WHO Consultation, definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part I: diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Provisional report WHO Consultation. *Diabet Med* **15**: 539-553, 1998.
- ALBERTI KG, ZIMMET PZ, DEFRONZO RA: International Textbook of Diabetes Mellitus. John Wiley, Ontario, 1978.
- BECKMAN JA, CREAGER MA, LIBBY P: Diabetes and atherosclerosis: epidemiology, pathophysiology and management. *JAMA* 287: 2570-2581, 2002.
- BIGI R, DESIDERI A, CORTIGIANI L, BAX JJ, CELEGON L, FIORENTINI C. Stress echocardiography for risk stratification of diabetic patients with known or suspected coronary artery disease. *Diabetes Care* 24: 1602-1607, 2001.
- CHARVÁT J: Diabetes Mellitus and Macrovascular Complications (in Czech). Triton, Prague, Czech Republic, 2001.
- CHARVÁT J, MICHALOVÁ K, TÁBORSKÁ K, CHLUMSKÝ J, KVAPIL M: Comparison of the exercise ECG and stress myocardial SPECT in detection of the significant coronary artery disease in the asymptomatic patients with diabetes mellitus type 2. *Bratisl Lek Listy* **105**: 56-61, 2004.
- DAY CP, McCOMB JM, CAMPBELL RWF: QT dispersion: an indication of arrhythmia risk in patients with long QT intervals. *Br Heart J* 63: 342-344, 1990.
- DELORENZO A, LIMA RS, SIQUEIRA-FILHO AG, PANTOJA MR. Prevalence and prognostic value of perfusion defects detected by stress technetium-99 sestamibi myocardial perfusion single-photon emission computed tomography in asymptomatic patients with diabetes mellitus and no known coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol* **90**: 827-832, 2002.
- FRANK E: An accurate, clinically practical system for spatial vectorcardiography. Circulation 13: 737-749, 1956.
- HAVRÁNEK Š, MLČEK M, PACLT I, SLAVÍČEK J, KITTNAR O, DOHNALOVÁ A, BRIZMAN E, HÁLA P, KITZLEROVÁ E, PIŠVEJCOVÁ K: QT dispersion estimated from 80 body surface potential map leads and from standard 12-leads ECG in psychiatric patients treated with dosulepin. *Prague Med Rep* **105**: 53-63, 2004.
- HELLER SR: Abnormalities of the electrocardiogram during hypoglycaemia: the cause of the dead in bed syndrome? *Int J Clin Pract* Suppl 129: 27-32, 2002.
- HIGHAM PD, CAMPBELL RWF: QT dispersion. Br Heart J 71: 508-510, 1994.
- JAVORKA M, JAVORKOVA J, TONHAIZEROVA I, JAVORKA K: Parasympathetic versus sympathetic control of the cardiovascular system in young patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging* **25**: 270-274, 2005.
- KANNEL WB, NEATON JD, WENWORTH D, THOMAS HE, STAMLER J, HULLEY SB, KJELSBERG MO: Overall and coronary heart disease mortality rates in relation to major risk factors in 325 348 men screened for the MDFIT. Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. *Am Heart J* **112**: 825-36, 1986.
- KAUTZNER J, GANG YI, CAMM AJ, MALIK M: Short- and long-term reproducibility of QT, QTc and QT dispersion measurement in healthy subjects. *PACE* **17**: 928-937, 1994.
- KITTNAR O, SLAVÍČEK J, VÁVROVÁ M, BARNA M, DOHNALOVÁ A, MÁLKOVÁ A, ASCHERMANN M, HUMHAL J, HRADEC J, KRÁL J: Repolarization patterns of body surface potential maps (BSPM) in coronary artery disease. *Physiol Res* 42: 123-130, 1993.

- KITTNAR O, PACLT I, MLČEK M, SLAVÍČEK J, DOHNALOVÁ A, HAVRÁNEK Š, BRIZMAN E, KITZLEROVÁ E, PIŠVEJCOVÁ K: QT dispersion and electrical heart field morphology in patients with dosulepin. *Physiol Res* **53**: 379-386, 2004.
- KITZLEROVÁ E, SLAVÍČEK J, PACLT I, PIŠVEJCOVÁ K, DOHNALOVÁ A: Plasma levels of dosulepin and heart electric field. *Physiol Res* **52**: 319-325, 2003.
- KRAHULEC B, MIKEŠ Z, BALAŽOVJECH I: The effect of cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy on resting ECG in type 1 diabetic patients. *Bratisl Lek Listy* **103**: 54-58, 2002.
- LANGER A, FREEMAN MR, JOSSE RG, STEINER G, ARMSTRONG PW: Detection of silent ischemia in diabetes mellitus. *Am J Cardiol* **67**: 1037-1038, 1991.
- LECHMANOVÁ M, KITTNAR O, MLČEK M, SLAVÍČEK J, DOHNALOVÁ A, HAVRÁNEK Š, KOLAŘÍK M, PAŘÍZEK A: QT dispersion and T loop morphology in late pregnancy and after delivery. *Physiol Res* **51**: 121-129, 2002.
- MARQUES JL, GEORGE E, PEACEY SR, HARRIS ND, MACDONALD IA, COCHRANE T, HELLER SR: Altered ventricular repolarization during hypoglycaemia in patients with diabetes. *Diabet Med* 14: 648-654, 1997.
- NATHAN DM, MEIGS J, SINGER DE. The epidemiology of cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus: how sweet it is... or is it? *Lancet* **350** (Suppl I): 4-9, 1997.
- PACLT I, SLAVÍČEK J, DOHNALOVÁ A, KITZLEROVÁ E, PIŠVEJCOVÁ K: Electrocardiographic dosedependent changes in prophylactic doses of dosulepine, lithium and citalopram. *Physiol Res* **52**: 311-317, 2003.
- PAILLOLE C, RUIZ J, JULIARD JM, LEBLANC H, GOURGON R, PASSA P. Detection of coronary artery disease in diabetic patients. *Diabetologia* **38**: 726-31, 1995.
- PICKUP JC, WILLIAMS SG: Textbook of Diabetes. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, 2003.
- PILATI G, CIAVARELLA A, MARCHESINI G, ALLEGRO G, BARONI G, VANNINI P, PISI E: Abnormal cardiovascular reflexes in juvenile diabetics as preclinical signs of autonomic neuropathy. *G Ital Cardiol* 11: 2139-2143, 1981.
- PIŠVEJCOVÁ K, PACLT I, SLAVÍČEK J, KITTNAR O, DOHNALOVÁ, A, KITZLEROVÁ, E: Electrocardiogram, vectocardiogram and body surface maps in patients with panic disorder. *Physiol Res* **51**: 401-406, 2002.
- RUZICSKA E, NAGY V, SARMAN B, SKOUMAL R, SOMOGYI A: Blood pressure volatility a new parameter for determining blood pressure variability in type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Orv Hetil* **144**: 1779-1784, 2003.
- SLAVÍČEK J, PACLT I, KITTNAR O, DOHNALOVÁ A: Some electrocardiographic side effects of antidepressant drugs. Cor Vasa 37: 212-216, 1995.
- SLAVÍČEK J, PACLT I, HAMPLOVÁ J, KITTNAR O, TREFNÝ Z, HORÁČEK BM: Antidepressant drugs and heart electrical field. *Physiol Res* 47: 297-300, 1998.
- SLAVÍČEK J, KITTNAR O, NOVÁK V, TREFNÝ Z, HORÁČEK BM: ECG body surface isointegral and isoarea maps (BSM) in 30 and 60-year-old healthy humans. *Sborn Lék* **102**: 369-374, 2001.
- ŠTĚPÁNKOVÁ I, ŽĎÁRSKÁ, D, PELÍŠKOVÁ P, CHARVÁT J, SLAVÍČEK J, MLČEK M, MEDOVÁ E, KITTNAR O: ECG body surface mapping (BSM) in diabetic patients (type 1). *Physiol Res* **55**: 45P, 2006.
- ŠVORC P, WILK P, MURÁR J, PODLUBNÝ I, KUJÁNIK S, BRAČOKOVÁ I, MURÍN M: Circadian rhythm of the ventricular fibrillation threshold in female Wistar rats. *Physiol Res* **43**: 355-358, 1994.
- ŠVORC P, BRAČOKOVÁ I, PODLUBNÝ I: Relation of ventricular fibrillation threshold to heart rate during normal ventilation and hypoventilation in female Wistar rats: a chronophysiological study. *Physiol Res* **49**: 711-719, 2000.
- TICHÝ JA, SLAVÍČEK J, KITTNAR O, TROJAN S, ČERVENKA V, TREFNÝ Z, HOJEROVÁ M: Changes in systemic hemodynamics and ECG body surface potential mapping during treatment of essential hypertension. *Noninvasive Cardiol Cardiovasc Rev Rep* **22**: 177-182, 2001.
- VAN DE LOO A, ARENDTS W, HOHNLOSER SH: Variability of QT dispersion measurements in the surface electrocardiogram in patients with acute myocardial infarction and in normal subjects. *Am J Cardiol* **74**: 1113-1118, 1994.

- WACKERS FJ, YOUNG LH, INZUCCHI SE, CHYUN DA, DAVEY JA, BARRET EJ, TAILLEFER R, WITTLIN SD, HELLER GV, FILIPCHUK N, ENGEL S, RATNER RE, ISKANDRIAN AE: Detection of silent myocardial ischemia in asymptomatic diabetic subjects, the DIAD study. *Diabetes Care* 27: 1954-1961, 2004.
- ZELLWEGER MJ, PFISTERER ME: Silent coronary artery disease in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Swiss Med Wkly* **131**: 427-432, 2001.
- ZIMMET PZ, ALBERTI KG: The changing face of macrovascular disease in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: an epidemic in progress. *Lancet* **350** (Suppl I): 1-4, 1997.

#### **Corresponding author**

Otomar Kittnar, Institute of Physiology, First Medical Faculty, Charles University, Albertov 5, 128 00 Prague 2, Czech Republic. E-mail: okittnar@lfl.cuni.cz