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Summary
Dental management behavior problems are thought to be both multifactorial and multidimensional, consisting of physiological, behavioral and cognitive components. The stress response to pain or even the anticipation of distress initiates activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and causes an increase of cortisol and catecholamines. The literature on the role of hormones in dental management behavior problems comprises about one hundred papers, which have mainly been focused on this activation of the HPA axis in various situations in dental care. They have generally used salivary cortisol as a marker of the activity of the HPA axis, sometimes combined with salivary alpha amylase. Here we summarize the literature data on the role of stress hormones in dental management behavior problems.
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Introduction
“Dental management behavior problems” is the common expression for uncooperative and disruptive behaviors that result in delays of treatment or make treatment impossible. Given the increasing prevalence of behavioral disorders in dental treatment, especially in children (on the European continent 6-22 % of children), this issue has received considerable attention, not only among care providers but also in collaboration with other specialists.

In the literature, dental management behavior problems include the phenomena of fear, anxiety and phobia, each of which has a precise definition referring to specific types of reactions.

Fear is defined as a response to an imaginary or real threat, and is considered an integral part of the adaptive physiological aspects of human development (Rantavuori 2008). Clinically, it is used as a description for the pathological fear response to specific objects. Exposure to these dreaded objects creates four component reactions in the body: cognitive, somatic, emotional, and behavioral (Lang and Cuthberg 1984). Anxiety is very similar to fear, and in a healthy individual at risk it is a physiological and effective phenomenon; however, in other cases it can become a pathological phenomenon. Phobia is an intense fear related to a specific object, which usually leads to the avoidance of this object (Rantavuori 2008). Avoidance of such an intensity that causes significant problems and interferes with the social behavior and status of the individual qualifies these reactions as phobias (Milgrom et al. 1995a). Phobic individuals overestimate the consequences of exposure to feared stimuli, and their responses are disproportionate to the actual risk (Rantavuori 2008).

Dental fear is commonly thought to be multifactorial and multidimensional, consisting of physiological, behavioral and cognitive components...
(Karjalainen et al. 2003, Milsom et al. 2003). Although dental fear is a much studied and prevalent phenomenon, the acquisition and characteristics of dental fear are still not well understood, especially in children. Dental fear has been shown to be acquired mainly in childhood and adolescence (Locker et al. 1999). On the other hand, some children do not acquire dental fear despite painful invasive experiences. These contradictory findings have been one of the major motivations for studying dental fear in children.

The prevalence of dental anxiety has been the subject of many surveys. Studies have reported estimates of the prevalence in the general population ranging from 4 to 23.4 %. In the USA it is estimated that as many as 75 % of adults experience some degree of dental fear from mild to severe, and approximately, 5 to 10 % of U.S. adults are considered to experience dental phobia (Kleinknecht et al. 1995, Holtzman et al. 1997).

Dental anxiety can prevent patients from cooperating fully during dental treatment. Given that there is a connection between dental anxiety and uncooperative behavior, it is important for dentists to be able to assess anxiety in their patients. The methods to analyze the objective and subjective scales that are most commonly used to assess the degree of anxiety of children in a dental setting were reviewed by Guinot Jimeno et al. (2011). Phobia of dental care is mostly diagnosed using a fear measurement instrument such as Corah's Dental Anxiety Scale or the Modified Dental Anxiety Scale.

As in other types of stress situations, those involving fear, anxiety or phobia have led researchers to consider the role of hormones, especially those originating from the adrenals. In fact, the role of adrenal hormones in dental fear was already studied in 1954 as an example of stress situations by Hans Selye, the founder of stress theory (Selye 1954).

Anxiety is regarded as a form of stress, and thus has a physiological impact on the body. Stressors can cause the activation of the autonomic nervous system, which prepares the body for the fight-or-flight reaction, and the activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. The activated autonomic nervous system releases epinephrine and norepinephrine from the adrenal medulla. Norepinephrine has been shown to increase the secretion of salivary alpha amylase (SAA) from the acinar cells of the parotid and submandibular salivary glands. It has been suggested that the level of alpha amylase in the saliva reflects the autonomic nervous system activity, and that measuring it presents an easy, non-invasive measure comparable to measuring the actual catecholamine levels in serum (Dušková et al. 2010). The activity of HPA axis is well reflected by cortisol levels. In plasma, it is standard to measure only total cortisol, which includes both free cortisol and cortisol bound to albumin, corticosteroid-binding globulin and other plasma proteins. Changes in the plasma proteins significantly influence total cortisol levels, so for evaluating the HPA axis measuring free cortisol would be preferable. Free cortisol is possible to measure in the saliva and in the urine. For these reasons, salivary cortisol is a better marker of HPA axis activity (Dušková et al. 2010, Dušková et al. 2016). However, there remains the question of the appropriate cut-off to associate corresponding cortisol levels with a physiological stress reaction or with anxiety or phobia. Otherwise, a physiological stress-induced increase of cortisol cannot be distinguished from a cortisol increase in patients with anxiety or phobias.

The literature on the role of hormones in dental management behavior problems comprises about one hundred papers, which have mainly been focused on the activation of the HPA axis in various situations during dental care. They have generally used salivary cortisol as the marker of the activity of the HPA axis, sometimes simultaneously with salivary alpha amylase, and in several cases this activity was evaluated using the cortisol awakening response (CAR) method (Blomqvist et al. 2007, Kosaka et al. 2014, Barbosa et al. 2012).

The relevance of stress markers for understanding dental management behavior problems

The stress response to pain or even the anticipation of distress initiates activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and causes an increase of cortisol and/or catecholamines. This reaction is a physiological process and can be found in all people, with some rare exceptions. Testing the level of stress hormones in subjects experiencing a toothache or in the dental chair gives us information on the stress reaction, but little about the level of anxiety or whether it is a true phobia. A simple but effective way of evaluating stress in dental patients is with the use of salivary cortisol (Ummanu et al. 2015). Salivary cortisol levels at various stages of dental treatment in patients with caries were found to be significantly higher in comparison with
a control group receiving no dental treatment (Kandmir et al. 1977).

In fact, many studies have described associations of the levels of cortisol, alpha amylase and/or epinephrine with the intensity of pain or type of dental care, but several studies failed to show any significant correlation between dental anxiety scores and salivary cortisol and/or alpha amylase levels (Hartung 1976, Hashem et al. 2006, Sadi et al. 2013, Kanegane et al. 2009, Rodrigues Gomes et al. 2013, Patil et al. 2015). However, there are also reports indicating that cortisol levels are indeed associated with dental anxiety (Benjamins et al. 1992, Krueger et al. 2005, Yfantis et al. 2014). Interestingly, some oral diseases inducing stress, such as lichen planus or recurrent aphthous stomatitis, have also been associated with an increase of cortisol levels (McCarron et al. 1996, Koray et al. 2003, Albanidou-Farmaki et al. 2008, Shah et al. 2009, Lopez-Jornet et al. 2016).

Cortisol levels have also been used for evaluations of the intensity of pain and subsequent anxiety during dental care. Cortisol levels are influenced also by the duration and types of treatment. Adrenal stress response associated with tooth extraction(s) is greater than that associated with other routine dental procedures. Cortisol levels at the end of the procedure were elevated by 55 % in groups with prophylaxis and by 48 % in those with extraction compared with baseline cortisol levels (Miller et al. 1995). Several authors have compared patients with anxiety to non-anxious patients according to the Dental Anxiety Scale, showing that anxious patients produced higher levels of cortisol during dental care (Benjamins et al. 1992).

In our opinion, the best way to study patients is to quantify the degree of anxiety independently from the dental care and later compare the stress induced by dental care in anxious and non-anxious patients, similarly as has been done in some studies (Brand 1999, Blomqvist et al. 2007, Barbosa et al. 2012, Kosaka et al. 2014).

Cortisol levels are also influenced by anesthesia. Patients receiving treatment under local anesthesia show lower levels of stress response than those under general anesthesia (Hill and Walker 2001). The efficacy of various sedatives such as midazolam (Jerjes et al. 2005, Isik et al. 2008, Pereira-Santos et al. 2013, Gomez et al. 2015, Shamnugaavel et al. 2016), nitrous oxide, diazepam, cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitors or ineffective melatonin (Seet et al. 2015) have been tested using cortisol levels. Music therapy also has a positive effect in the control of dental anxiety (Mejia-Rubalcava et al. 2015). The perception of pain and dental anxiety can be also altered by the use of oral contraceptives (Rezaei and Ernberg 2010).

Salivary catecholamine levels in children undergoing restorative dental treatment were measured (Mitome et al. 1997) to assess the degree of anxiety during the dental procedures. Salivary norepinephrine significantly increased when the children lay in the dental chair and subsequently received infiltration anesthesia, while salivary epinephrine levels did not significantly change. After treatment, salivary norepinephrine returned to pre-treatment levels. The increase in salivary norepinephrine before infiltration anesthesia likely reflected enhanced peripheral catecholamine release as a result of stress-induced sympathetic responses. However, a principal limitation of that study was the low number of participants.

For better descriptions of dental management behavior problems, additional markers that are also characteristic for other psychic problems might be useful. Bigos et al. (2009) reported 24-h serum dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), dehydroepiandrosterone sulphate (DHEA-S), and cortisol concentrations in a young man with obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD) and in 15 healthy young men. Circadian patterns of DHEA and cortisol were markedly different in the subject with OCD than in controls. In addition, DHEA and DHEA-S concentrations were substantially higher in the OCD subject than in the controls, while cortisol levels were similar. Similarly, in our studies of the steroid metabolome in various psychiatric disorders we have found that anxious subjects have significant and typical changes in the levels of some of C19 and C21-steroid metabolites in comparison with controls (Dušková et al. 2016, Hill et al. 2016, Šrámková et al. 2017). Thus, a “dental phobia marker” allowing the discrimination between phobia and stress may be identified in future studies.

Conclusion

Stress during dental care increases cortisol levels, but these elevated cortisol levels do not inform us to what extent dental management behavior problems contribute to this increase. For a better understanding and description of dental management behavior problems, it will be necessary to include additional markers that are associated with other behavioral disorders. The non-invasive collection of salivary markers will likely be preferable to blood sampling, which itself causes stress
reactions that can elevate cortisol levels significantly. Changes in neuroactive steroids are characteristic for different neuropsychiatric disorders and could be potential markers allowing discrimination between disorders. However, changes in the cortisol/cortisone ratio may also be necessary to further assess stress reactions. Such a complex view, using changes in both stress and neuroactive hormones, may potentially allow better diagnoses of dental management behavior problems and ameliorate treatment for patients.
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