# Physiological Research Pre-Press Article

The effect of *Apium graveolens* L., *Levisticum officinale* and *Calendula officinalis* L. on cell
 viability, membrane integrity, steroidogenesis, and intercellular communication in mice
 Leydig cells *in vitro*.

- 4
- 5 Tomas Jambor<sup>1\*</sup>, Julius Arvay<sup>2</sup>, Eva Tvrda<sup>3</sup>, Anton Kovacik<sup>3</sup>, Hana Greifova<sup>3</sup>, Norbert Lukac<sup>3</sup>
- 6
- <sup>1</sup>BioFood Centre, Faculty of Biotechnology and Food Sciences, Slovak University of
  Agriculture in Nitra, Tr. A. Hlinku 2, 949 76 Nitra, Slovak Republic
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Biotechnology and Food Sciences, Slovak University of
- 10 Agriculture in Nitra, Tr. A. Hlinku 2, 949 76 Nitra, Slovak Republic
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Physiology, Faculty of Biotechnology and Food Sciences, Slovak
- 12 University of Agriculture in Nitra, Tr. A. Hlinku 2, 949 76 Nitra, Slovak Republic
- 13
- 14 \*Address correspondence to MSc. Tomas Jambor, Ph.D., BioFood centre, Faculty of
- 15 Biotechnology and Food Sciences, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Tr. A. Hlinku 2,
- 16 949 76 Nitra, Slovak Republic. tel. +42191516 635, tomasjambor1@gmail.com
- 17
- 18 **Running head:** Higher doses of extracts are cytotoxic for mice Leydig cells
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- ∠4
- 25

### 26 Summary

27 Several plants have the potential to protect essential reproductive processes such as spermatogenesis or steroidogenesis, however, effective concentrations and main mechanisms 28 29 of action are still unknown. This in vitro study was aimed to assess the effects of Apium graveolens L., Levisticum officinale, and Calendula officinalis L. extracts on the structural 30 integrity, functional activity and gap junctional intercellular communication (GJIC) in mice 31 Leydig cells. TM3 cells were grown in the presence of experimental extracts (37.5; 75; 150 and 32 300 µg/ml) for 24 h. For the present study, high-performance liquid chromatography analysis 33 was used to quantify flavonoids or phenolic acids. Subsequently, Leydig cell viability was 34 35 assessed by alamarBlue assay, while the cell membrane integrity was detected by 5carboxyfluorescein diacetate-acetoxymethyl ester. The level of steroid hormones production 36 was determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Additionally, GJIC was assessed by 37 38 scalpel loading/dye transfer assay. According to our results, Apium graveolens L. significantly increased the viability and cell membrane integrity at 75 µg/ml (109.0±4.3%) followed by a 39 decline at 300 µg/ml (89.4±2.3%). In case of Levisticum officinale and Calendula officinalis L. 40 was observed significant decrease at 150 µg/ml (88.8±11.66%; 87.4±6.0%) and 300 µg/ml 41 (86.2±9.3%; 84.1±4.6%). Furthermore, Apium graveolens L. significantly increased the 42 43 progesterone and testosterone production (75 and 150 µg/ml) however, Levisticum officinale and Calendula officinalis L. significantly reduced steroid hormones synthesis at 150 and 300 44 µg/ml. Finally, the disturbance of GJIC was significantly affected at 300 µg/ml of Levisticum 45 officinale (82.5±7.7%) and Calendula officinalis L. (79.8±7.0%). The balanced concentration 46 ratio may support the Leydig cell function, steroidogenesis as well as all essential parameters 47 that may significantly improve reproductive functions. 48

49

50 Key words: Leydig cells, viability, membrane integrity, steroidogenesis, GJIC,

### 51 Introduction

52 Reproduction is an essential part of our common life, and the factors affecting it have always been a focus of extensive and continuous research. Nowadays, we recognize plenty of 53 exogenous factors, which may interact with human and wildlife reproductive health, including 54 heavy metals, endocrine disruptors, and other xenobiotics (Sedeh et al. 2012; Jambor et al. 55 2019). The majority of their negative effects, such as decreased testis weights, prostate cancer, 56 poor semen quality, and insufficient production of steroid hormones, are frequently linked to 57 damage of essential cellular organelles or disruptions to the processes responsible for normal 58 reproductive functions (Smith 2007). In general, most of the mentioned problems could be 59 solved by standard medical methods, especially surgical procedures, hormone therapy, or 60 assisted reproductive technology methods. Inversely, an alternative therapy mediated by 61 medicinal herbs may be another effective way to protect the reproductive system. Several 62 63 studies have confirmed the higher compatibility of these plants with the human body and weak side effects in comparison to chemical drugs (Kooti et al. 2016). The most beneficial effect of 64 65 medicinal herbs is related to the content of biologically active substances that are able to improve spermatogenesis, steroidogenesis, increase sperm count and motility, and in some 66 cases, reverse the overall subfertility. However, properly balanced doses determine the potential 67 68 effects of individual herbs. In many cases, the significant positive and protective effect was confirmed in the lower doses of medicinal plants, while the higher doses and long-term 69 exposition could be hazardous for normal reproductive functions in males (Liu et al. 2004; 70 Nantia et al. 2009). 71

*Apium graveolens L. (Apiaceae)* is one of the most confronted herbs with a high level
of bioactive components such as limonene, sedanolide, alpha-pinene, or coumarin. *Apium* has
a broad spectrum of effects such as anti-cancer, anti-microbial anti-inflammatory, and analgesic
(Subhadradevi *et al.* 2011). *Levisticum officinale* from the same family as *A. graveolens L.*

contains a variety of bioactive molecules, and many previous studies confirmed anti-cancer, 76 77 anti-bacterial, or spasmolytic effects. Extracts from Levisticum are also commonly used to treat rheumatism and urethritis (Ekiert 2000). Calendula officinalis L. (Asteraceae) is mainly known 78 79 for its antitumor activity and cytotoxic effects on tumor cell lines. Besides, flowers from Calendula are traditionally used for their anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties. They 80 are also rich in pharmacologically active components, including coumarins, quercetin, beta-81 amyrin or narcissin (Preethi et al. 2010). Lower experimental concentrations of all plants 82 mentioned above have been reported to have a significant impact on libido, spermatozoa 83 quality, sexual hormone production or testis weight, and pituitary-gonadal axis (Halo et al. 84 85 2019; Saha et al. 2019; Tvrdá et al. 2019; Jambor et al. 2020). Nevertheless, current knowledge about the consequences of their higher concentrations on the reproductive functions is poor and 86 extremely limited. Simultaneously, specific molecular mechanisms of action by which 87 88 medicinal plants could modulate the reproductive processes and parameters are not sufficiently understood. 89

There is significant evidence that gap junctional intercellular communication (GJIC) is 90 essential for normal reproductive development. GJIC is made up of transmembrane proteins 91 called connexins (Cx) and, they considered as major molecular regulators of male fertility. 92 Namely, the most abundant expressed gap junction protein connexin 43 (Cx43) it necessary for 93 spermatogenesis, steroidogenesis and healthy reproductive functions. Thus, testicular GJIC 94 dysregulation caused by different stressors could affect the etiopathology of subfertility 95 correlated with various reproductive abnormalities (Gilleron, 2015). Undoubtedly, there is a 96 critical need to elucidate cellular interactions and clearly define effective doses of medicinal 97 herbs for the reproductive system's proper functioning (Abbas 2017). 98

99 The present *in vitro* study aims to investigate the impact of ethanolic extract from *Apium*100 *graveolens* L., *Levisticum officinale*, and *Calendula officinalis* L. on mice TM3 Leydig cells

during 24 h cultivation. The experiments had in view to determine whether the use of the selected medicinal herbs of known composition exhibits any positive or negative effects on the mitochondrial activity or membrane integrity, sexual hormones release, as well as intercellular communication in mice Leydig cells.

105

### **106** Material and Methods

### 107 Preparation of the herbal extracts

The leaves from Apium graveolens L., Levisticum officinale, and flowers from Calendula 108 officinalis L. were collected at the local university's field in Nitra (Slovak Republic). Plant 109 material was dried in the shade, mechanically comminuted, weighed, and subsequently 110 extracted with 96% ethanol (CentralChem, Bratislava, Slovak republic) for 2 weeks. After that, 111 the ethanol was evaporated (Stuart RE300DB rotary evaporator, Bibby Scientific Limited, 112 113 United Kingdom and vacuum pump KNF N838.1.2KT.45.18) under reduced pressure (0.5 bar/g) and elevated temperature 40 °C in order to remove any residual ethanol. The crude extract 114 115 was dissolved in a standard organic solvent dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) and adjusted to 100 mg/ml as a starting solution (Tvrdá et al. 2016). 116

117

### 118 HPLC-DAD analysis of phenolic compounds

In the case of quantitative analysis of the phenolic compounds, the aliquots of plant materials were subjected to the high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC-DAD). One g of lyophilized leaves and flowers were dissolved in methanol (10 ml; 80%; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). Afterward, the mixture was shaken on a horizontal shaker (25 °C, during 8 h, at 250 rpm) and filtered through 84 g/m<sup>2</sup> filter paper (Munktell, Germany). The samples were subsequently extracted in 20 ml of 80% (v/v) methanol by shaking horizontally (Unimax 2010; Heidolph Instrument, GmbH, Germany). The high-performance liquid chromatograph (Agilent

1260 Infinity HPLC Technologies; Waldbronn, Germany) with quaternary solvent manager 126 coupled with degasser, sampler manager, Diode Array Detector, and column manager were 127 used to analyse phenolic content in the harvested leaves of Apium graveolens L., Levisticum 128 officinale and from flowers of Calendula officinalis L. HPLC measurements were performed 129 on a Purosphere reverse phase C18 column (Darmstadt, Germany). The mobile phase consisted 130 of acetonitrile and 0.1% phosphoric acid in double-deionized water (ddH<sub>2</sub>O). The gradient 131 elution was as follows: 0-1 min isocratic elution (90% C and 10% D), 1-6 min linear gradient 132 elution (85% C and 15% D), 6-12 min (80% C and 20% D), 12-20 min (30% C and 70% D) 133 and 20-25 min (30% C and 70% D). The column thermostat was heated up to 30 °C, while the 134 samples were kept at 6 °C in the sampler manager. The collected data were processed using the 135 Agilent OpenLab ChemStation software for LC 3D Systems (Lukšič et al. 2016). 136

137

### 138 *TM3 Leydig cell culture*

The TM3 mouse Leydig cell line derived from the testis strain BALB/c nu/+ was obtained from 139 140 the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; CRL-1714; Manassas, USA). As a nontumorigenic line, TM3 Leydig cells are commonly used for a short-term in vitro cultivation to 141 reflect variance in steroid hormone secretion. The cell culture medium consisted of DMEM/F12 142 (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium/Nutrient Mixture (Ham's) F12; Sigma-Aldrich, St. 143 Louis, USA) supplemented with 5% HS (horse serum; Gibco-Life Technologies, New 144 Zealand), 2.5% FBS (fetal bovine serum; BiochromAG, Berlin, Germany) together with 2.5 145 mmol<sup>-1</sup> L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin solution 146 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). Leydig cells were cultured at 37 °C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 95% 147 saturated atmospheric humidity. Cells were regularly screened for contamination. The Leydig 148 cells density was determined using automated cell counter TC 20<sup>TM</sup> (Bio-Rad Laboratories, 149 California, USA) and adjust with culture medium to a final concentration of  $4 \times 10^3$  cells per 150

well. The cells were grown in a 96-well plate followed by pre-cultivation of the cells for 24 h 151 until a monolayer was formed. Afterward, the medium was replaced to include varying 152 concentrations of experimental extracts Apium graveolens L., Levisticum officinale, and 153 Calendula officinalis L. at 37.5; 75; 150 and 300 µg/ml. All treated groups were compared to 154 the non-treated (control) Leydig cells cultured in cell-culture media. The applied concentration 155 range was selected according to the results of our pilot range-finding experiments. The TM3 156 Leydig cells remained in culture for 24 h. The time of exposition has been chosen regarding to 157 previous pilot study with bovine spermatozoa (Benko et al. 2019; Tvrdá et al. 2019). After the 158 set time, cell viability, cell membrane integrity, steroid hormone production, and intercellular 159 160 communication were evaluated.

161

### 162 *Cell viability assay (alamarBlue)*

To determine the effect of experimental concentrations  $(37.5 - 300 \,\mu g/ml)$  of the herbal extracts 163 on the TM3 Leydig cell viability after 24 h exposure, alamarBlue<sup>TM</sup> assay was exploited. 164 AlamarBlue<sup>TM</sup> cell viability reagent (AB; ThermoFisher Scientific, Invitrogen, Vantaa, 165 Finland) is a sensitive oxidation-reduction indicator that fluoresces and changes the blue colour 166 of resazurin to a pink reduced form - resorufin upon reduction by living cells mediated by 167 mitochondrial enzymes (Hamid et al. 2004). Following respective exposure, the culture 168 medium was removed, the treated cells were washed with PBS (phosphate-buffer saline; 7.2 169 pH) and cultured with serum-free DMEM/F12 containing 5% (v/v) alamarBlue solution at 37 170 °C under a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After 30 min incubation, the 171 fluorescence was measured at 530 nm against 590 nm (excitation/emission) wavelengths by a 172 microplate reader (GloMax<sup>®</sup>-Multi<sup>+</sup>; Promega Corporation, Madison, USA). The results are 173 expressed as a percentage of the control (non-treated) group. 174

176 *Cell membrane integrity assay (CFDA-AM)* 

177 To examine the impact of experimental concentrations  $(37.5 - 300 \mu g/ml)$  of the herbal extracts on TM3 cells membrane integrity after 24 h incubation, 5-carboxyfluorescein diacetate, 178 acetoxymethyl ester (CFDA-AM; ThermoFisher Scientific, Invitrogen, Vantaa, Finland) was 179 used according to the previous study (Schreer et al., 2005). In essence, culture media 180 supplemented with herbal extracts was replaced with fresh cultured media together with 4 µM 181 CFDA-AM. Subsequently, the TM3 cells were incubated for 30 min in the dark at 37 °C with 182 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, and 95% saturated atmospheric humidity. The concentrations of the fluorescent 183 metabolites of CFDA-AM were measured at wavelength 485 – 530 nm (excitation/emission) in 184 a microplate reader (GloMax<sup>®</sup>-Multi<sup>+</sup>; Promega Corporation, Madison, USA). The results are 185 expressed as a percentage of the control (non-treated) group. 186

187

### 188 Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

To evaluate the progesterone and testosterone production, TM3 Leydig cells were incubated 189 together with experimental concentrations  $(37.5 - 300 \,\mu\text{g/ml})$  of the herbal extracts. After a 24 190 h in vitro cultivation period, the cell culture media was aspirated from each well and stored in 191 Eppendorf tubes at -80 °C until assay. To investigate the level of steroid hormone, a 192 commercially available ELISA kits (Dialab; progesterone Cat. #K00225 and testosterone Cat. 193 #K00234, Austria) was used. The ELISA assay was carried out according to the manufacturer's 194 specifications. The optical density was measured by an ELISA microplate reader (Multiscan 195 FC, ThermoFisher Scientific, Vantaa, Finland) at 450 nm wavelength. Cell culture media was 196 collected from four independent (n=4) experiments. The results are expressed as a percentage 197 of the control (non-treated) group. 198

199

*Gap junctional intercellular communication assay (GJIC)* 201

TM3 Leydig cells were cultured for 24 h exposure with selected concentrations (37.5 - 300 202 µg/ml) of the herbal extracts. After respective treatment, the scalpel loading/dye transfer 203 (SL/DT) method was done as published previously Upham et al. (2016) whit slight 204 modification. A gap junction permeable tracer lucifer yellow (1 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich, St. 205 206 Louis, USA) was added to the cells and introduced into them by three parallel cuts made by a scalpel blade. After 6 min of incubation, the cells were washed three times with CaMg-PBS 207 208 and fixed with a 4% formaldehyde solution. The images were captured by fluorescent microscope DMI 6000B (Leica Microsystems; Wetzlar, Germany) with DCF 345 FX camera. 209 The area of cells stained with lucifer yellow was evaluated using ImageJ software (Schneider 210 et al., 2012). The results are expressed as a percentage of the control (non-treated) group.

212

211

#### 213 **Statistics**

The obtained data were statistically analysed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software 214 215 Incorporated, San Diego, California, USA). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test was used for statistical evaluations. Results were 216 expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (S.D). All experiments were repeated at least three 217 times. Statistical differences were expressed at a significance of P < 0.05. 218

219

#### Results 220

#### *Bioactive compounds prevalence in herbal extracts* 221

We identified bioactive substances based on the retention time and the UV spectra 222 chromatogram pattern. Detected levels of all flavonoids are summarized in Table 1 and phenolic 223 acids in Table 2. The most prevalent flavonoids in Apium graveolens L. were vitexin 224 (160.18±20.33 mg/kg) and cynaroside (49.57±5.45 mg/kg) followed by kaempferol, diaidzein, 225

or kaempferol. On the other hand, ferulic acid (523.04±42.12 mg/kg) and trans-p-coumaric acid 226  $(140.69\pm11.32 \text{ mg/kg})$  were identified as the predominant phenolic acids in the leaves of A. 227 graveolens L. extract. Similarly, Levisticum officinale contained the highest amount of 228 cynaroside (440.35±10.21 mg/kg) together with kaempferol (44.47±5.00 mg/kg) and rutin 229 (40.32±3.77 mg/kg). The most prevalent phenolic acids were identified as chlorogenic acid 230 (523.67±15.55 mg/kg) and neo-chlorogenic acid (365.90±3.09 mg/kg). From analysed 231 flavonoids of Calendula officinalis L. rutin (34.36±2.87 mg/kg), kaempferol (22.77±2.01 232 mg/kg), and apigenin (22.01±2.09 mg/kg) were the most prevalent. From the phenolic acids 233 were identified as rosmarinic acid (207.52±17.98 mg/kg) and chlorogenic acid (196.64±12.21 234 235 mg/kg).

236

### 237 *Effects of the herbal extract on cell viability*

238 As shown in Figure 1, experimental concentrations of Apium graveolens L. had a concentrationdependent effect on the cell viability of exposed cells compared to the control  $(100.0\pm6.7\%)$ . 239 240 The results showed that 75  $\mu$ g/ml (109.0±4.3%) caused a significant (P<0.05) increase in mitochondrial activity followed by a significant (P < 0.01) decrease at the highest tested 241 concentration (300  $\mu$ g/ml; 89.4 $\pm$ 2.3%). On the other hand, the same experimental 242 concentrations of Levisticum officinale and Calendula officinalis L. had no significant effect up 243 to 75 µg/ml on the presented parameter. However, higher concentrations of *Levisticum* initiated 244 a significant (P < 0.05; P < 0.01) decline in the cell viability ( $88.8 \pm 11.66\%$ ;  $86.2 \pm 9.3\%$ ) together 245 with Calendula (P < 0.0001; 87.4±6.0%; 84.1±4.6%) after 24 h cultivation comparing to the 246 control (100.0±9.7% and 8.8%). 247

248

249

251 *Effect of the herbal extract on cell membrane integrity* 

The results present in Figure 2. have revealed that almost all applied concentrations of Apium 252 graveolens L. positively affect this parameter with significant (P < 0.05) impact at 75 µg/ml 253 (109.6 $\pm$ 7.9%). Significant reduction (P<0.01) was recorded at 300 µg/ml (85.9 $\pm$ 2.9%). In 254 respect to remaining extracts, 150  $\mu$ g/ml (88.8±11.6%) and 300  $\mu$ g/ml (86.2±9.3%) of 255 Levisticum officinale significantly (P < 0.05; P < 0.01) reduced presented parameters. In 256 addition, a significant (P < 0.0001) cytotoxic effect was confirmed at the same concentrations 257 of *Calendula officinalis* L. Reduced cell membrane integrity fluctuated between 87% (±6.2%) 258 and 84% ( $\pm 6.8\%$ ). Experimental groups were compared to the control (100.0  $\pm 3.9\%$ ; 9.2 and 259 260 7.4%).

261

### 262 *Effect of the herbal extract on hormone production*

263 As seen in Figure 3A applied doses (75 and 150 µg/ml) of Apium significantly enhanced progesterone production (116.0 $\pm$ 3.1% and 114.4 $\pm$ 8.5%) followed by decline at 300 µg/ml. On 264 the other hand, higher experimental concentrations of Levisticum decreased progesterone 265 release at 300  $\mu$ g/ml (90.9 $\pm$ 8.5%), while the same dose of *Calendula* reduced steroid production 266 significantly (86.1±7.5%). All experimental groups were compared to the control group 267 (100.0±4.9%;6.7% and 1.6%). Figure 3B indicated the strongest stimulating potential of Apium 268 graveolens L. with a significant increase at 150  $\mu$ g/ml (114.4 $\pm$ 2.1%), while the highest 269 concentration (300 µg/ml) caused a non-significant decline. Overleaf, a weak stimulating effect 270 was observed after Levisticum and Calendula treatment. Higher concentrations (150 and 300 271 µg/ml) initiate a gradual decline in testosterone production, but only Calendula caused a 272 significant decrease (P < 0.05; P < 0.001). The level of testosterone was defined at 87.9±4.9% 273 and  $77.5\pm6.8\%$  comparing to the control (100.0±3.2% and 4.9%). 274

### 276 *Effect of the herbal extract on intercellular communication*

As seen in Figure 4A, exposure to none of the treatments by *Apium* (37.5-300  $\mu$ g/ml) caused significant changes in intercellular communication. Overleaf, this biomarker was significantly (*P*<0.05) inhibited at 300  $\mu$ g/ml of *Levisticum officinale* (82.5±7.7%) and *Calendula officinalis* L. (79.8±7.0%). All treated groups were compared to the control group (100.0±4.6%; 4.6% and 4.4%). The representative images of GJIC activity are shown in Figure 4B.

282

### 283 Discussion

Numerous studies have shown that medicinal herbs, which are a rich source of different 284 285 phytoconstituents, could be associated with many health benefits. Bioactive compounds appear to play an important protective role in cardiovascular diseases, hepatic diseases, reproductive 286 problems, the onset of cancer, and other chronic pathologies (Nour et al. 2017). The results of 287 288 our in vitro study indicate a significant dose-dependent effect of medicinal herbs extracts on TM3 Leydig cells. Lower applied doses of positively affect selected cellular parameters, while 289 290 the highest concentrations (150 and 300 µg/ml) of Calendula and Levisticum progressively reduced cell viability and cell membrane integrity, decreased progesterone, and testosterone 291 secretion as well as inhibited intercellular communication. 292

293 The quantitative evaluation of experimental extract performed by HPLC-DAD analysis confirmed a wide range and variegated ratio of polyphenols and phenolic acids (Table 1 and 2). 294 Many of them are capable to positively affect the reproductive functions in males. A high 295 proportion of bioactive molecules was confirmed by Yao et al. (2010). Their study identified 296 major phenolic acids in different cultivars of Apium graveolens such as p-coumaric acid (105 297 mg/kg) ferulic acid (99.3 mg/kg), followed by flavonoids apigenin (92.1 mg/kg), luteolin (90.5 298 mg/kg) or kaempferol (94.6 mg/kg). Similar to our results, Złotek et al. (2019) identified ferulic 299 acid, ellagic acids, p-coumaric acid, caffeic acid, kaempferol, rutin, apterin, and quercetin-3-O-300

deoxhexoside-O-hexodside as the most abundant in Levisticum officinale L. Frum (2017) has 301 302 monitored the level of polyphenols in *Calendula officinalis* L. where the highest concentrations of rutin, syringic acid, and gallic acid were recorded. The lower amounts of cinnamic acid, 303 resveratrol, and ferulic acid were also detected. All presented studies above confirmed similar 304 levels of bioactive substances in our experimental medicinal herbs. We are convinced that their 305 detailed identification and monitoring is definitely required for a better understanding of the 306 307 physiological mechanism as well as to help understand the potential changes in the male reproductive system. 308

Mutual comparison of individual cellular models confirmed different reactions to 309 310 presented medicinal herbs extracts. The vast majority of in vitro studies are focused on tumorogenic cell lines where the increasing concentrations of herbal extract inhibit cancer 311 proliferation. In contrast, the result of our in vitro study confirmed that lower experimental 312 313 concentrations might positively affect essential parameters of non-tumorigenic cells, especially the cell viability and cell membrane integrity, but with increasing doses start at 150 to 300 314 µg/ml are able to significantly damage these parameters. Comparable consequences have 315 previously been reported by Subhadradevi et al. (2011). Mouse lung fibroblast L929 cells were 316 exposed to Apium graveolens at concentrations ranging from 2 to 20 µg/ml during 48 h and the 317 number of viable cells was determined by the MTT assay. The herbal extract statistically 318 inhibited this parameter in a concentration-dependent manner. Sertel et al. (2011) evaluated the 319 impact of Levisticum officinale extract on the head and neck squamous carcinoma cells 320 (HNSCC) using XTT cytotoxicity assay. The biological model was cultured together with 321 experimental concentrations (0.0001 to 10 mg/ml) of extract for 72 h in vitro. The 322 concentration-response curve showed a steady rise in the viability up to 0.1 mg/ml with a 323 subsequent rapid decrease in cell viability to 4.7% (1 and 10 mg/ml) when compared to the 324 untreated control cells. The beneficial effects of Calendula officinalis L. were confirmed by 325

many experimental studies focused on cancer diseases in most cases. However, only a few 326 studies provide information about the cytotoxic concentrations in non-carcinoma cells. 327 Alnuqaydan et al. (2015) measured the cytotoxicity of the extract from C. officinalis L. at 328 329 different concentrations for 4, 24, and 48 h on HaCaT cells in vitro. Calendula showed limited toxicity with a significant effect in the highest concentration. Only 4.4 and 4.2 mg/ml expressed 330 as 2% (v/v) and 5% (v/v) showed a significant toxicity. The viability of HUVEC cells was 331 monitored after 48 h *in vitro* cultivation with C. officinalis L.  $(0.5 - 500 \mu g/ml)$  by MTT assay. 332 The results suggest a gradual decline up to 10 µg/ml, followed by a radical cytotoxic effect at 333 250 and 500 µg/ml (Preethi et al. 2010). According to the current knowledge, extract from 334 335 selected medicinal herbs used in our study could protect sensitive cellular organelles and cell homeostasis in a concentration-dependent manner. It is caused by the mutual ratio of bioactive 336 molecules whose high levels have been confirmed by the previous part of our analysis. Obtained 337 338 results suggest that some experimental concentrations may negatively affect basal cellular parameters what could result from higher toxic potential of selected extracts. Furthermore, we 339 340 can assume that the cellular membrane destruction or cell death could destroy steroidogenesis enzymes activity resulting in decreased hormone production. To resolve this issue, further 341 investigations are required. At the same time, we are convinced that adequately applied dose 342 settings could improve males' reproductive functions. The cell structure and mitochondrial 343 activity are closely related to the steroidogenic process ongoing in Leydig cells responsible for 344 steroid hormone production. 345

Our *in vitro* study's data suggest that the secretion of progesterone and testosterone could be positively affected by the lower doses (75 and 150  $\mu$ g/ml) of *Apium graveolens* L. However, at the highest concentration of *Apium graveolens* L., *Levisticum officinale.*, and *Calendula officinalis* L. has recorded a significant decrease in steroidogenic capacity resulting in a decline of progesterone and testosterone levels. The efficacy of hydro-alcoholic extracts of

A. graveolens L. on the serum levels of testosterone in male rats was investigated by Kooti et 351 352 al. (2016). Male Wistar rats were orally administered to 200 and 300 mg/kg of A. graveolens L. for 20 days. The results showed a slight decrease in testosterone production at 300 mg/kg, 353 but without significant changes. Similarly, Madkour (2014) administered orally male albino 354 rats at 200 mg/kg per day of A. graveolens L. oil for 8 weeks. The radioimmunoassay revealed 355 an increased concentration of testosterone when compared to the control group. Interestingly, 356 Helal (2014) confirmed a slight decrease in testosterone secretion in male Wistar rats after 6 357 weeks of exposure to 50 µg/kg per body weight of A. graveolens L. Ghaedi et al. (2018) 358 published an experimental study focused on the effect of Levisticum officinale extract on the 359 360 testis histology and testosterone production in diabetic rats. Treatment of rats with 500 mg/kg significantly increased the testis weight and serum testosterone levels. The authors assumed 361 that effective concentrations might reduce testicular tissue destructions. The effect of Calendula 362 363 on the male reproductive functions of rats was evaluated by Kushwaha et al. (2007). Healthy male albino rats were orally administrated 200 mg/kg body weight of an extract from C. 364 officinalis for 60 days. The results confirmed a significant decrease in sperm motility and 365 density as well as a significant reduction in serum testosterone level. 366

Gap junctional intercellular communication control testis functions at multiple steps 367 368 such as testis development, steroid hormone production or spermatogenesis. At the same time, GJIC is extremely sensitive to exogenous stressors, and in many cases could partly participate 369 in subfertility. Similarly, to our results Gao et al. (2014) evaluated the effect of Apium 370 graveolens L. seed extract on expression of gap junctional protein in human stomach cancer 371 cell line - Hs746T in vitro. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR, and Western blot analysis revealed an 372 increase in endogenous Cx43 mRNA and protein expression following by Apium treatment, 373 especially at 100 µg/ml after 72 h. Nakamura et al. (2005) evaluated the effect of kaempferol, 374 as an important molecule of Calendula and Levisticum on GJIC of MSU-2 human foreskin 375

fibroblasts (HCT116) and human colon cancer cells (KNC). GJIC was measured 7 days after addition of experimental doses (5 and 10  $\mu$ M). Kaempferol was found to enhance the level of GJIC in KNC cells to 1.33 times (5  $\mu$ M) and 1.29 times (10  $\mu$ M) higher than control- untreated cells. On the other hand, no enhancement of GJIC was detected in HCT116 cells following kaempferol treatment.

We are convinced, that dysregulation of GJIC presented in our study could be an 381 essential part of the toxic mechanism related to the action of experimental extracts. According 382 to presenting data, the TM3 mice Leydig cells are susceptible to the highest doses of applied 383 medicinal herbs extracts with a toxic impact on essential cellular organelles and functions. 384 385 However, as we mentioned before, the exact determination of proper concentrations may definitely affect the activity of mice Leydig cells and ensure sufficient production of male 386 steroid hormones. Nowadays, the majority of experimental studies provide a broad spectrum of 387 388 information, which is not consistent. Therefore, systematic and detailed research is definitely required for an exact conclusion formulation. 389

390

### 391 Conclusion

Presented data revealed significant concentration-dependent effects of Apium graveolens L. 392 Levisticum officinale and Calendula officinalis L. on cell viability, membrane integrity, 393 steroidogenesis, and intercellular communication of TM3 Leydig cells after short time 394 cultivation. It has been shown that although medically used plants have a strong potential to 395 inhibit the onset of many pathological conditions as well as support reproductive abilities, 396 higher applied doses can encourage toxic effects mediated through reduced viability, membrane 397 integrity as well as GJIC inhibition. Given these in vitro observations, we assume that a 398 balanced concentration ratio may support the Leydig cell function, steroidogenesis, and all 399 essential parameters that may significantly improve reproductive capacity in males. 400

401	Ackno	owledgments				
402	This w	vork was financially supported by the Scientific Agency of the Slovak Republic VEGA				
403	no. 1/	0083/21 and Slovak Research and Development Agency Grant no. APVV-16-0289,				
404	APVV	7-15-0543.				
405						
406	Declar	ration of interest				
407	There is no conflict of interest.					
408						
409	Refere	ences				
410	1.	ABBAS MA: Is the use of plants in Jordanian folk medicine for the treatment of male				
411		sexual dysfunction scientifically based? Review of in vitro and in vivo human and				
412		animal studies. Andrologia. 49: 1-21, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1111/and.12619.				
413	2.	ALNUQAYDAN AM, LENEHAN CE, HUGHES RR, SANDERSON BJ: Extracts				
414		from Calendula officinalis offer in vitro protection agains H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> induced oxidative stress				
415		cell killing of human skin cells. Phytother Res. 29: 120-124. 2015.				
416		https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.5236.				
417	3.	BENKO F, PALKOVIČOVÁ V, ĎURAČKA M, ÁRVAY J, LUKÁČ N, TVRDÁ E:				
418		Antioxidant effects of marigold (Calendula officinalis) flower extract on the oxidative				
419		balance of bovine spermatozoa. Contemporary Agriculture. 68: 92-102. 2019.				
420		https://doi.org/10.2478/contagri-2019-0015.				
421	4.	EKIERT H: Medicinal plant biotechnology: the Apiaceae family as the example of rapid				
422		development. Pharmazie. 55: 561-567. 2000.				
423	5.	FRUM A: HPLC determination of polyphenols from Calendula officinalis L. flowers.				
424		AUCFT. 21: 97-101. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1515/aucft-2017-0020.				
425	6.	GAO LL, ZHOU CHX, SONG, XF, FAN KW, LI FR: Inhibition effects of celery seed				

- 426 extract on human stomach cancer cell lines Hs746T. In: Frontier and Future
  427 Development of Information Tecnology in Medicine and Education. S Li, Q Jin, X
  428 Jiang, JJ Park. (eds), Springer, Switzerland AG, 2014, pp 2553-2560.
  429 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-7618-0\_319.
- GHAEDI N, POURABOLI I, DABIRI S: Effect of Levisticum officinale extract on
  oxidative stress markers, testosterone level and histology of testis tissue in diabetic rats.
  Ir J Physiol Pharmacol. 2: 192-200. 2018.
- 433 8. GILLERON J: Connexins as potential therapeutic targets for testis pathologies. Cell.
  434 Mol. Med. 1: 1-3. 2015. https://doi.org/10.21767/2573-5365.100001.
- 435 9. HALO JR M, MASSANYI P, GREN A, LASAK A, SLANINA T, ONDRUSKA L,

MUCHACKA R, GALBAVY D, IVANIC P, SCHNEIR R, FORMICKI G:Time and
dose-dependent effect of Viscum album quercus on rabbit spermatozoa motility and
viability *in vitro*. Physiol. Res. 68: 955-972, 2019.
https://doi.org/10.33549/physiolres.934223.

- 10. HAMID R, ROTSHTEYN Y, RABADI L, PARIKH R, BULLOCK P: Comparison of
  alamar blue and MTT assays for high through-put screening. Toxicol In Vitro. 18: 703710. 2004. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2004.03.012.
- 11. HASAN A, SHAHRAKI A, SHAHRAKI J: The hepatoprotective effects of aquatic
  extract of Levisticum officinale against paraquat hepatocyte toxicity. Pak J Pharm Sci.
  30: 2363-2368. 2017.
- 446 12. HELAL MAM: Celary oil modualtes DEHP-induced treproductive toxicity in male rats.
  447 Reprod Biol. 14: 182-189. 2014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.repbio.2014.04.002.
- 448 13. JAMBOR T, KOVACIKOVA E, GREIFOVA H, KOVACIK A, LIBOVA L, LUKAC
- N: Assessment of the effective impact of bishpenols on mitochondrial activity and
  steroidogenesis in a dose-dependency in mice TM3 Leydig cells. Physiol Res. 4: 689-

693. 2019. https://doi.org/10.33549/physiolres.934200.

- 452 14. JAMBOR T, ARVAY J, IVANISOVA E, TVRDA E, KOVACIK A, GREIFOVA H,
- LUKAC N: Investigation of the properties and effects of *Salvia Officinalis* L. on the viability, steroidogenesis and reactive oxygen species production in TM3 Leydig cells in vitro. Physiol. Res. 69: 661-673. 2020. https://doi.org/10.33549/physiolres.934457.
- 456 15. KOOTI W, FAROKHIPOUR M, ASADZADEH Z, ASHTARY-LARKY D, ASADI-
- 457 SAMANI, M: The role of medicinal plants in the treatment of diabetes: a systematic
  458 review. Electron Physician. 8: 1832-1842. 2016. https://doi.org/10.19082/1832.
- 16. KUSHWAHA S, AGARWAL M, MUTREJA A, CHAUHAN A: Impact of 50% 459 ethanolic extract of Calendula officinalis (flower) on the reproductive function of male 460 albino (Rattus norvegicus). Egypt Biol. 9: 1-5. 2007. 461 rats J https://doi.org/10.4314/ejb.v9i1.56552. 462
- 17. LIU J, LIANG P, YIN C, WANG T, LI H, LI Y, YE Z: Effects of several Chinese herbal
  aqueous extracts on human sperm motility in vitro. Andrologia. 36: 78-83. 2004.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0272.2004.00607.x.
- 466 18. LUKŠIČ L, ÁRVAY J, VOLLMANNOVÁ A, TÓTH T, ŠKRABANJA V, TRČEK J,
- GERM M, KREFT I. Hydrothermal treatment of Tartary buckwheat grain hinders the
  transformation of rutin to quercetin. J Cer Sci. 72: 131-134. 2016.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2016.10.009.
- 470 19. MADKOUR NK: Beneficial role of celery oil in lowering the di(2-ethylhexyl) phtalata471 induced testicular damage. Toxicol Ind Health. 30: 861-872. 2014.
  472 https://doi.org/10.1177/0748233712464808.
- 20. NAKAMURA Y, CHANG CH, MORI T, SATO K, OHTSUKI K, UPHAM BL,
  TROSKO JE: Augmentation of differentiation of gap juncion function by kaempferol

- 475 in partially differentiated colon cancer cells. Carcinogenesis. 26: 665-671. 2005.
  476 hhtps://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgi003.
- 477 21. NANTIA EA, MOUNDIPA PF, MONSEES TK, CARREAU S: Medicinal plants as
  478 potential male anti-invertility agents: a review. Bas Clin Adrol. 19: 148-158. 2009.
  479 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12610-009-0030-2.
- 22. NOUR V, TRANDAFIR I, COSMELESCU S: Bioactive compounds, antioxidat
  activity and nutritional quality of different culinar aromatic herbs. Not Bot Horti Agro
  Cluj Nap. 45: 179-184. 2017 https://doi.org/10.15835/nbha45110678.
- 483 23. PREETHI KC, SIVEEN KS, KUTTAN R, KUTTAN G: Inhibition of metastasis of
- B16F-10 melanoma ce BL/6 mice and extract of calendula officinalis L flowers. Asian
  Pac J Cancer Prev. 11: 1773-1779. 2010.
- 486 24. SAHA R, ROYCHODHURY S, KAR K, VARGHESE AC, NANDI P, SHARMA GD,
- FORMICKI G, SLAMA P, KOLESAROVA A: Coenzyme Q10 ameliorates cadmium
  induced reproductive toxicity in male rats. Physiol. Res. 68: 141-145, 2019.
  https://doi.org/10.33549/physiolres.934000.
- 490 25. SEDEH MA, SIAHPOUSH EA, DARVISHZADEH Z: The investigation of fertility
  491 increase and effective factors on it among the kord clan in Andimeshk. JISDS. 4:81-98,
  492 2012.
- 26. SERTEL S, EICHHORM T, PLINKERT PK, EFFERTH T: Chemical composition and
  antiproliferative activity of essential oil from the leaves of a medicinal herb, Levisticum
  officinale, against UMSCC1 head and neck squamous carcinoma cells. Anticancer Res.
  32: 185-191. 2011.
- 497 27. SCHNEIDER CA, RASBAND WS, ELICEIRI KW: NIH image to ImageJ: 25 years of
  498 image analysis. Nat. Methods 9: 671-675, 2012. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089.
- 499 28. SCHREER A, TINSON CH, SHERRY JP, SCHIRMER K: Application of alamar

- blue/5-carboxylfluorescein diacetate acetoxymethly ester as a noninvasive cell viability
  assay in priamry hepatocytes from rainbow trout. Anal Biochem. 344: 76-85. 2005.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2005.06.009.
- 503 29. SMITH S: Drubs that cause sexual dysfunction. Psychiatry. 6: 111-114. 2007.
   504 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mppsy.2006.12.004.
- 505 30. SUBHADRADEVI V, KHAIRUNISSA K, ASOKKUMAR K, SIVASHANMUGAM
   506 MUA, JAGANNAT P: Induction of apoptosis and cytotoxic activities of Apium
- 507 graveolnes Linn. using in vitro models. Mid East J Sci Res. 9: 90-94. 2011.
- 508 31. SUN J, WANG H, LIU B, SHI W, SHI J, ZHANG Z, XING J: Rutin attenuates H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> -
- iduced oxidation damage and apoptosis in Leydig cells by activating PI3K/Akt signal
  pathways. Biomed Pharm. 88: 500-506. 2017.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2017.01.066.
- 32. TVRDÁ E, MICHALKO J, MATUŠÍKOVÁ I, LUKÁČ N: *In vitro* effects of the *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* extract on bovine spermatozoa. J Microbiol Biotech Food
  Sci. 6: 972-975. 2016. https://doi.org/ 10.15414/jmbfs.2016/17.6.3.972-975.
- 515 33. TVRDÁ E, VARGA A, SLÁVIK M, ÁRVAY J: Levisticum officinale and its effects
- on bovine spermatozoa activity. J Microbiol Biotech Food Sci. 8: 1212-1216. 2019.
  https://doi.org/ doi: 10.15414/jmbfs.2019.8.5.1212-1216.
- 34. UPHAM BL, SOVADINOVA I, BABICA P: Gap juncional intercellualr
  communication: a funcional biomarker to assess adverse effects of toxicants and toxins,
  and health benefits of natural product. J Vis Exp 118: 54281, 2016.
  https://doi.org/10.3791/54281.
- 522 35. YAO Y, SANG W, ZHOU M, REN G: Phenolic composition and antioxidant activities
  523 of 11 celery cultivars. J Food Sci. 75: 9-13. 2010. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750524 3841.2009.01392.x.

### **FIGURES CAPTIONS**

526 Figure 1 The effects of Apium graveolens L., Levisticum officinale and Calendula officinalis L. on TM3 Leydig cell viability in vitro after 24 h cultivation 527 ctrl - control group. Each bar represents the mean (±S.D) viability % of control (untreated) and 528 treated groups. Data were obtained from four (n=4) independent experiments. The level of 529 significance was set at (P < 0.05). Statistical differences between the values of control and 530 experimental groups are indicated as: "Significant difference from the control P < 0.05; 531 <sup>b</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.01; <sup>c</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.01532 0.001; dSignificant difference from the control P < 0.0001. 533

534

Figure 2 The effects of *Apium graveolens* L., *Levisticum officinale* and *Calendula officinalis*L. on TM3 Leydig cell membrane integrity *in vitro* after 24 h cultivation

537 ctrl – control group. Each bar represents the mean (±S.D) cell membrane integrity % of control 538 (untreated) and treated groups. Data were obtained from four (n=4) independent experiments. 539 The level of significance was set at (P < 0.05). Statistical differences between the values of 540 control and experimental groups are indicated as: <sup>a</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.05; <sup>b</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.01; <sup>c</sup>Significant difference from the control 542 P < 0.001; <sup>d</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.0001.

543

Figure 3A Progesterone production in TM3 Leydig cells exposed to different concentrations
of experimental extracts from *Apium graveolens* L., *Levisticum officinale* and *Calendula officinalis* L. *in vitro* after 24 h cultivation

547 ctrl - control group. Each bar represents the mean ( $\pm$ S.D) progesterone production % of control

(untreated) and treated groups. Data were obtained from four (n=4) independent experiments.

549 The level of significance was set at (P < 0.05). Statistical differences between the values of

control and experimental groups are indicated as: <sup>a</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.05; <sup>b</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.01; <sup>c</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.001; <sup>c</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.0001.

553

Figure 3B Testosterone production in TM3 Leydig cells exposed to different concentrations of
experimental extracts from *Apium graveolens* L., *Levisticum officinale* and *Calendula officinalis* L. *in vitro* after 24 h cultivation

557 ctrl – control group. Each bar represents the mean (±S.D) testosterone production % of control 558 (untreated) and treated groups. Data were obtained from four (n=4) independent experiments. 559 The level of significance was set at (P < 0.05). Statistical differences between the values of 560 control and experimental groups are indicated as: <sup>a</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.05; <sup>b</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.01; <sup>c</sup>Significant difference from the control 562 P < 0.001; <sup>d</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.0001.

563

**Figure 4A** Intercellular communication in TM3 Leydig cells exposed to different concentrations of experimental extracts from *Apium graveolens* L., *Levisticum officinale* and *Calendula officinalis* L. *in vitro* after 24 h cultivation

567 ctrl – control group. Each bar represents the mean (±S.D) GJIC % of control (untreated) and 568 treated groups. Data were obtained from three (n=3) independent experiments. The level of 569 significance was set at (P < 0.05). Statistical differences between the values of control and 570 experimental groups are indicated as: <sup>a</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.05; 571 <sup>b</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.01; <sup>c</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.05; 572 0.001; <sup>d</sup>Significant difference from the control P < 0.001.



578 Figure 1



- 597
- ----
- 598





# 661 Figure 4B



- Table 2 Major phenolic acids identified and quantified (mg/kg) in *Apium graveolens* L., *Levisticum officinale* and *Calendula officinalis* L.
- 672

# 673 **Table 1**

Polyphenols	Apium graveolens L.		Levisticum	officinale	Calendula officinalis L.	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
	[mg/kg]	[mg/kg]	[mg/kg]	[mg/kg]	[mg/kg]	[mg/kg]
Rutin	5.98	0.98	40.32	3.77	34.36	2.87
Vitexin	160.18	20.33	-	-	6.27	0.96
Cynaroside	49.57	5.45	440.35	10.21	12.99	1.11
Resveratrol	3.32	0.76	-	-	13.80	1.24
Apigenin	7.00	1.02	33.43	3.19	22.01	2.09
Kaempferol	7.88	1.14	44.47	5.00	22.77	2.01
Quercetin	4.95	0.78	-	-	17.42	1.55
Diaidzein	7.45	1.02	-	-	14.71	1.72
Catechin	-	-	-	-	12.22	0.98
Myricetin	-	-	-	-	11.16	1.02

674 S.D. – standard deviation

# **Table 2**

Phenolic acids	Apium graveolens L.		Levisticum officinale		Calendula officinalis L.	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
	[mg/kg]	[mg/kg]	[mg/kg]	[mg/kg]	[mg/kg]	[mg/kg]
Neo-chlorogenic	8.79	1.54	365.90	3.09	36.55	2.55
acid						
Protocatechuic acid	130.78	12.78			-	-
trans-p-Coumaric	140.69	11.32	10.99	1.08	7.36	0.99
acid						
Sinapinic acid	-	-	5.30	1.04	55.30	4.01
trans-Sinapic acid	21.99	2.05			56.32	4.44
Ferulic acid	523.04	42.12	88.61	6.55	18.01	2.01
trans-ferulic acid	-	-	19.02	2.99	5.94	0.67
Rosmarinic acid	90.89	7.86			207.52	17.98
Chlorogenic acid	17.39	1.12	523.67	15.55	196.64	12.21
p-Coumaric acid	22.76	1.77			-	-
Caffeic acid	-	-	55.65	4.01	28.88	3.09
trans-Caffeic acid	-	-	22.33	2.69	57.97	3.63
Cinnamic acid	-	-			21.99	2.88
Gallic acid	-	-			6.99	0.78
S.D standard deviation	n					